

Grand Jury – Session 1¹

Preliminary statements

February 5, 2022

The purpose of the Grand Jury procedure by the Peoples' Court of Public Opinion is to strengthen the public conscience through natural law.

Injustice to one is injustice to all. The allegation is that the governments of the world have come under the influence of corrupt and criminal power structures. They have colluded to stage a pandemic that they have been planning for years. To this end, they deliberately created mass panic through false statements of fact and a socially engineered psychological operation whose messages were transmitted through the corporate media.

The purpose of this mass panic was to persuade the population to accept the so-called "vaxxinations²" which, in the meantime, have proven to be neither effective nor safe, but extremely dangerous and even deadly. The economic, social and health damage to the world's population from these crimes against humanity is measured in the quadrillions of dollars.

The attorneys listed below, with the assistance of a number of respected scientists and experts from

around the world, under the auspices of a judge from Portugal, will conduct this Grand Jury investigation. They will provide the jury (the citizens of the world) with a complete picture of these crimes against humanity.

The purpose of the investigation of the "*People's Court of Public Opinion*" is twofold: on the one hand, it is to serve as a model of procedure and to obtain indictments against some of the leading figures criminally and civilly responsible for these crimes against Humanity.

On the other hand, it is about, by showing a complete picture of what we are facing, including the geopolitical and historical context, to create an awareness of the real collapse of the current system, hijacked, and its institutions.

To have an awareness therefore of the need for the peoples themselves to take back their sovereignty and the need to stop first the measures of this pandemic by refusing to comply with them as well as the need to launch our own new system of health care, education, economy and justice, in order to restore democracy and the rule of law on the basis of our constitutions

The Judge and the Lawyers

Viviane Fischer - Lawyer from Germany

Ana Garner - Lawyer from the United States

Rui da Fonseca e Castro - Judge from Portugal

Deana Sacks – Attorney from the United States

Reiner Fuellmich - Attorney from the United States and Germany

Dipali Ojha - Attorney from India

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt - Attorney from South Africa

¹ <https://youtu.be/ELTFNkCdziA>
<https://odysee.com/@laileastick:4/01-Grand-Jury-VF:00>

² We will write "vaxxins" to talk about injections of products, authorized for experimental purposes because there would be no treatment for the disease, which have nothing to do with a vaccine. Although the pronunciation is the same, this distinction facilitates the clarity.

00:17 Viviane Fischer

Good morning and welcome to the first day of the Grand Jury proceedings. We are here in a specially constructed room to hear witnesses and experts on what happened in the corona crisis. We are connected to an international group of lawyers. To begin, I would like to call on attorney Ana Garner from the United States of America.

00:46 Ana Garner

Thank you Viviane. It is an honor and a pleasure for me to participate in this international Grand Jury investigation. A criminal Grand Jury against some of the perpetrators who, in a worldwide criminal collaboration, committed crimes against Humanity against the entire world population in the form of a planned pandemic called covid-19. We, a group of international lawyers and a judge, are conducting a criminal investigation modeled after the US Grand Jury proceedings. This Grand Jury investigation serves as a model legal proceeding, to present to a jury of world citizens, all available evidence of the crime against humanity that is covid-19, against the leaders, instigators, organizers, and accomplices who aided, abetted, or actively participated in the formulation and execution of a common plan for a pandemic.

The crimes under investigation include all acts performed or omitted by any person in furtherance of a common design to commit crimes against humanity and all such criminal acts convicted in the various jury communities throughout the world. This investigation is by the people, for the people. It will be referred to as the *"Court of Public Opinion"*.

Since we have not been able to find a tribunal to hear the actual evidence in the courts of the present system, we are undertaking this procedure outside the present system, on the basis of natural law. This, in turn, is based on the firm belief that each person can easily distinguish between right and wrong, what is good or bad.

This procedure could be one of the most important extrajudicial events of the year. We, as a group of international lawyers, will present real evidence from real experts, such as scientists, historians, doctors, as well as victims.

There are no actors in this presentation, only real people who want to bring justice to the world. We need your help. The reason for a Grand Jury process

is that our world is at a critical stage of Humanity, which will depend on all people waking up to reverse a course that could forever alter the way we live as free humans; we must reverse the trajectory of that course now.

Representing a Grand Jury investigation is the fastest way we know how to bring the evidence before the public court of the world. You, the people. In the United States, only the district attorneys or attorneys general of the various states are authorized to initiate such criminal proceedings. Since no one has done so in the United States, we come to present the evidence to you in a form modeled after this type of court proceeding that is common in the United States.

We base this model on the US criminal Grand Jury. In general, the Grand Jury determines whether there is sufficient evidence to bring a criminal indictment against one or more defendants. It is held in camera. Neither the defendant nor his or her attorney is present in this type of proceeding. However, we carry out this process under the eyes of the court of public opinion. You, the people.

The purpose of this investigation is to reveal evidence that could be used in this type of proceeding in your jurisdiction. We invite you to join us in open transparency using real evidence, real lawyers, a real judge, and real expert witnesses that could be presented in a real criminal Grand Jury proceeding in the courts of the United States.

The history of this country's success was written by people who trusted constitutional governance for the people. Our forefathers met the epic challenges of our history on the basis of our constitution. It was this constitution and its recognition of natural rights and freedoms that liberated free human beings from the yoke of the british Crown.

Therefore, recognizing the urgency, we will ask you, as a court of public opinion, to consider the evidence presented, in order to reclaim our rights and freedoms afforded to every person in this country and in the world at the conclusion of these proceedings.

5:34 Viviane Fischer

Thank you, Ms. Garner, I would like to turn to Judge Rui da Fonseca e Castro, who is presiding over these proceedings. Mr. Fonsesca, good morning.

5:47 Rui da Fonseca e Castro

The legitimacy of the court of public opinion is based on Natural Law. In the last two years, we have witnessed the rapid collapse of the democratic rule of law. In the name of an idea of the commons that was never endorsed, the most fundamental rights and freedoms have been taken away from us. We can already feel the approach of a gigantic wave of victims. The judicial systems, once bastions of fundamental rights and freedoms, are no longer fulfilling their functions. That is, there are no more judges in Berlin.

Should we sit back and do nothing until we are completely wiped out? Or should we assume our responsibilities as members of our communities? It is our right, and above all our duty, to the people and to future generations to resist oppression and tyranny. Natural law, which is binding on human beings, regardless of its positivity, gives us sacred rights to resist oppression. If constitutions are the source of fundamental rights and liberties, natural law is its original source.

Natural law gives the people the legitimacy to defend fundamental rights and liberties against states that have become tyrannical. We now see the inability of national and international justice systems to protect people against tyranny that has taken hold. It is in the natural law and the unwritten law inherent to the human condition that this court of public opinion finds its legitimacy to initiate the judicial process that begins today. Thank you.

8:10 Viviane Fischer

Thank you for that introduction, Judge Fonseca e Castro. I would like to give the floor to attorney Diana Paula Sacks from the United States of America.

8:34 Deana Pollard Sacks

Hello. My name is Deana Pollard Sacks. For the past 22 years, I have been a law professor, constitutional scholar, civil rights activist, and attorney. I'm here today to discuss the origin of our Liberty Clause, which goes back to natural law, and to explain why covid 19 vaxxins are, according to our historic case law, all unconstitutional. On July 4, 1776, our founding Fathers signed the declaration of independence. Here is what they said, *"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness."*

In 1891, our Supreme Court, in a case called *Botsford*, explained that medical freedom is inalienable and is one of our most precious rights. They said, *"No right is held more sacred or is more carefully guarded by the common law than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from the restraint or interference of others, unless by clear and indisputable authority of law, the right of a person may be regarded as a right of complete immunity, to be left alone."*

In 1914, Justice Cordozo, who later became a US Supreme Court justice, put it this way: *"Every adult human being of sound mind has the right to determine what to do with his own body, and a surgeon who performs an operation without the consent of his patient commits an assault for which he is liable for damages."*

These same concepts and textual statements from our courts have been reiterated over the decades. In 1990, for example, in a case called *Cruzan v. director of the Missouri department of health*, the Supreme Court affirmed that every individual has the right to refuse medical treatment. This goes back to english common law.

Here is what Justice Brennan said in *Cruzan*: *"Anglo-american law begins with the principle of complete autonomy. It follows that every man is considered the master of his own body and that he may, if he is of sound mind, expressly forbid the performance of life-saving surgery or other medical treatment."* How is it then that our governments impose medical treatment on us without consent, without true consent? They are forcing our citizens to take an experimental vaxxin under the threat of losing their livelihoods, homes and education. Our government is relying on a case called *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*.

So I'm going to explain this case and tell you why it goes our way, that we have the right to choose medicine and medical treatments for our bodies. The case does not support vaccine manufacturers and does not support vaccination mandates. In 1902, during a small pandemic that killed hundreds of millions of people around the world, the state of Massachusetts passed a law stating that every person must be vaccinated against smallpox or pay a five dollar fine. That's exactly what the law said. It was an *"either or"* law giving people the option of paying a \$5 fine if they did not want to be vaccinated.

Mr. Jacobson thought that his freedom of action protected him from both the vaccination and the \$5 fine. So he went all the way to the US Supreme

Court after paying the \$5 fine and wanted his money back. The Supreme Court reviewed the medical evidence. The smallpox vaccine had been used for 100 years. It was used around the world with great effectiveness and helped stop one of the worst pandemics in the world history. After carefully considering the medical evidence, after making findings regarding the efficacy and safety of the vaccine and the need for the smallpox vaccine, the court ruled that Mr. Jacobson could not get his \$5 back.

Now, let's keep in mind that Mr. Jacobson thought it was in the interest of freedom to walk around town and be seen all over town without being vaccinated. So he flaunted the fact that he wasn't vaccinated because he thought he had the right to go out and walk around without the medical treatment he didn't want. The court's opinion was based on these facts. These facts do not support covid warrants today.

In fact, one of the last things the court said in Jacobson v. Massachusetts, quote, *"We now decide only that the statute covers the present case and that nothing clearly appears which would justify this court in holding it unconstitutional and inoperative in its application to the plaintiff Mr. Jacobson."* There are several reasons why Mr. Jacobson does not support today's proposed coronavirus vaccination mandates.

First, there is a huge difference regarding the public risks involved. The smallpox pandemic killed up to 60% of the people in the villages where it occurred. Between 20% and 60% of people died, for an overall mortality rate of about 30%. At times, over 90% of babies exposed to smallpox died. Now compare that to covid 19, it kills a tiny fraction of 1% of people. The public risk is not comparable.

The second thing is that covid-19 vaxxins are not really vaccines. Unlike the history of vaccines, which stopped infection and transmission, these vaxxins do neither. These experimental vaxxins have not been around for 100 years. They have not been tried and tested and our citizens are being subjected to experimental vaxxins when none of us really know what the long-term effects will be.

Finally, the law in Jacobson v. Massachusetts gave people the option of paying \$5. Today, that would be just under \$150. Compare that to people losing their livelihoods, everything they've worked for, their homes and their college educations because they refuse to submit to an experimental vaxxin. There is simply no possible compa-raison.

Jacobson v. Massachusetts does not support vaccine manufacturers or vaccine requirements. So some of you may be wondering why covid vaccine mandates have not been declared totally unconstitutional.

Well, some have been. But there was one that withstood Supreme Court scrutiny on January 13, 2022. So I want to explain that, first of all, the health care worker vaccination mandate stems from the tax and spending clause of the US constitution, which is found in article 1, section 8. Congress has always had wide latitude to impose conditions on federal funds. So you take the sour with the sweet. If you want federal money, you have to abide by the conditions of congress.

Throughout history, congress has been allowed to place conditions on the receipt of medicare and medicaid funds and specifically authorized conditions to limit the transmission of communicable diseases. Even so, the opinion was five to four, with only five justices agreeing that the vaccination mandate for health care workers passed the initial test to see whether or not the court would halt enforcement of the vaccination mandate.

But the real question is, as Justice Thomas said, why wasn't the efficacy of vaxxin taken into account? It wasn't considered. And Justice Thomas made that clear in his dissent, joined by three other justices. The reason that the efficacy and safety of vaxxin was not considered is that the issue was not before the court. Of the 22 states that challenged the vaxxin mandate, none claimed that the mandate violated the liberty clause. The liberty clause is where we find our medical freedoms.

Throughout history, the liberty clause has been used to protect us from unwanted medical procedures and even to allow us to demand the medical procedures we want.

I have been confronted with the fact that when our judges are presented with the medical facts about the efficacy of vaxxin, the necessity of vaxxin, and how our governments intimidate and coerce people to be vaxxed against their will, the court will uphold our liberty rights and declare all vaxxin unconstitutional. Later today and in the days that follow, you will hear from a number of medical professionals about why this vaxxin has nothing to do with the vaccines of the past. So I encourage you to stay tuned and I thank you for following along.

18:12 Viviane Fischer

Thank you, Ms. Deana Pollard Sacks, for your opening statement. I would now like to turn the floor over to Dr. Reiner Fuellmich, a lawyer in Germany, for an overview.

18:25 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you. Hello. My name is Reiner Fuellmich. I am pleased to be one of a group of distinguished international lawyers and jurists who have been collaborating for many months on this very important case. This case, which involves the most heinous crimes against humanity, committed under the guise of a global coronavirus pandemic, seems complicated only at first glance. When you put all these pieces together, all these little pieces of the puzzle, as we will do for you, with the help of many renowned experts and other witnesses in these proceedings, you will find four sets of facts.

First, there is no corona pandemic, but only a PCR testing plague, fueled by an elaborate psychological operation to create a constant state of panic among the world's population. This program has been planned for a long time. Its precursor, which ultimately failed, was the swine flu a dozen years ago. It was concocted by a group of psychopathic and sociopathic super-rich people who hate and fear people. At the same time, they have no empathy and are driven by a desire to take total control of all of us, the people of the world. They use our governments and the mainstream media, which they literally own, to spread their panic propaganda, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

Second, the virus itself can be safely and effectively treated with vitamins C, D, zinc, etc. and also with the unauthorized use of ivermectin, hydroxy-chloroquine, etc. All these methods of treatment, not alternative, but real, have been banned by those who use the pretext of this pandemic to achieve their ultimate goal, which is to make sure that everyone receives, as we will show in this procedure, experimental injections that are not only ineffective, but also very dangerous, even deadly.

Third, the same people who made the swine flu (a mild flu) into a pandemic twelve years ago, by changing the definition of a pandemic and instilling

panic, created this corona pandemic. The swine flu was their first real attempt to create a pandemic. Just as one of their goals at the time was to divert our attention from the blatantly fraudulent activities of their financial industry, more appropriately called the financial mafia, which had become visible with the Lehman crisis, it is also one of the main goals of this corona pandemic today.

If we had looked closer during the Lehman crisis, instead of blindly believing the promises of our governments that the perpetrators of these financial crimes would be held accountable, we would have seen that they have been looting and plundering our public coffers for decades. We would have seen that our governments are no longer our governments, but have been taken over by the other side through their main platform, the world economic forum, which started creating its own global leaders through its young global leaders program as early as 1992: the first graduates being Angela Merkel and Bill Gates. We would have already understood then what we are going to show you now, through this procedure. These financial crimes have not been challenged by our politicians because they aid and abet those who commit them and profit from them.

Fourth. Ultimately, however, we will show to the jury, that the other side's main goal is to gain total control over us all. This involves the completion of their looting by deliberately destroying our small and medium sized businesses, our retail businesses, our hotels and restaurants, so that platforms like amazon can take over. This involves population control, which they say requires both massive population reduction and manipulation of the dna of the remaining population, using, for example, experimental mrna injections.

This also requires, according to them, the deliberate destruction of democracy, the rule of law and our constitutions through chaos, so that we ultimately accept the loss of our national and cultural identities and instead accept a one-world government under the aegis of the ³uno now under their total control. Their global economic forum, a digital passport with every movement monitored and controlled and a digital currency, which we could only receive from one world bank, theirs of course.

3 UN, WHO...: should the "global" institutions bought by the minority of multi-billionaires keep their capital letters from now on. It would still be possible to use lower case letters to represent them. Should we evaluate the case before giving so much deference to those organizations or words that "require" capital letters. The case of covid is symptomatic: it is a virus or a disease. When have we seen leprosy, plague, the common cold with capital letters?

At the end of the proceedings and after you have heard all the evidence, we are confident that you will recommend indictments against the six alleged defendants: Christian Drosten of Germany, Anthony Fauci of the United States, Tedros of the World Health Organization, Bill Gates, BlackRock and Pfizer.

Ladies and gentlemen, this case is about a long-running scheme by a group of ultra-rich people and their financial mafia based in the City of London and Wall Street to use a pseudo-pandemic as a pretext behind which, while our attention is focused on the pandemic, they want to complete their decades-long effort to gain total and complete control over us all. There are many platforms on which this group has met and discussed this agenda, but the most important is the World Economic Forum, which was invented in 1971 by the then 33-year-old K. Schwab.

Its members are one thousand global companies with annual sales of at least \$5 billion, politicians, media representatives, scientists and other so-called high-level personalities. They meet once a year in Davos. There are other such meetings, for example in China. Since 1992, they have created and presented to us their own group of political leaders for the world. Among the first graduates, as I said before, are Angela Merkel and Bill Gates in 1992. Others are Sebastian Kurz, until recently Chancellor of Austria, Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister of Canada, Jacinda Ardern, Prime Minister of New Zealand, Emmanuel Macron, President of France and many, many others.

This group is now called the "*Davos clique*". The Great Reset by Klaus Schwab is one of the most important sources for this organization. It openly promotes the transfer of the world's assets to this group of super-rich people, so that by 2030, "*ordinary people will own nothing and be happy*," as explicitly stated in their one-world government, with a digital currency given to us by their one-world bank. They also openly promote, in close collaboration with people like the putative defendant Bill Gates, the Rockefellers and others, the drastic reduction of the world's population and the manipulation of the DNA of the remaining population until transhumanism.

Their most important goal is the controlled implosion, by them of course, of the completely plundered financial system and the simultaneous introduction of a digital currency issued by a single world bank controlled by them. Equally important

is the world government under the UN, which will come under their total control in 2019.

To this end, they have been making concrete plans for this corona pandemic since at least the spring of 2001: operation dark winter, followed by another such rehearsal, the Rockefeller Foundation's lockstep exercise in 2010. Finally, the 201 event in October 2019 in New York City, sponsored by the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security, the Rockefeller Foundation, the World Economic Forum and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

As members of the jury, we will call a number of different renowned expert witnesses from all walks of science and also witnesses who will testify to the damage they suffered as a result of obtaining the experimental injections. After our opening statements, we will begin in a week's time by calling former members of the US military, James Bush, who participated in operation dark winter in 2001, former members of the British Intelligence Services Brian Gerrish and Alex Thompson, as well as investigative journalists Whitney Webb and Matthew Ehret, and former employees and advisors to the World Health Organization, Dr. Silvia Behrendt and Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger.

They will explain the historical and geopolitical context of what we are facing. They will show us how this agenda has been planned for at least 20 years, starting with operation dark winter in 2001, and a decade later, the lockstep scenario of the Rockefeller Foundation, and ending with the dress rehearsal, event 201 in October 2019.

They will explain how, when there were no cases, which they needed to declare a public health emergency of international concern, they created them. By asking the accused Drosten to invent the story of asymptomatic infections that do not exist. How can this PCR test detect through mass screening of perfectly healthy people, those who are infected. This is a lie, as we will show.

We will continue with the next panel of witnesses, Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, an experienced pulmonologist and former member of the German Bundestag and the Council of Europe who successfully unmasked the other side's first attempt at a pandemic, the swine flu of twelve years ago, as a mild flu. Professor Ulrike Kämmerer, a biologist from the University of Würzburg, Dr. Mike Yeadon, former vice-president of Pfizer, Dr. Silvia

Behrendt, Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger, professor Dolores Cahill from the University of Dublin, professor Antonietta Gatti from Italy, professor Bergholtz from Germany. These experts will explain what lies behind the legend of the Wuhan wet market epidemic. They will show that the virus is no more dangerous than ordinary flu. The PCR test cannot tell us anything about the infections, but it is the only basis for all anti-corona measures, including the ultimate measure, the so-called vaxxins.

The so-called vaxxins are not only ineffective, but also extremely dangerous. Regardless of the natural or human origin of the virus, our immune system is perfectly capable of dealing with the virus, as evidenced by a case fatality rate of 0.4 to 0.5% or even less. There was no excess mortality anywhere before the experimental injections began. There were not even any cases in early 2020. However, they needed cases to declare a public health emergency of international concern, because that was the only basis on which it would be possible, according to their own rules invented and accepted by all the governments of the member states of the world health organization, to use new, untested drugs, experimental injections, on people.

After a first failed attempt to announce this public health emergency of international concern because there were no cases, they tried again at the end of January 2020 after creating cases using that now infamous Drosten PCR test and announced this public health emergency of international concern two weeks later. These experts will tell us that these cases were almost all false positive test results.

The next panel is composed of Dr. Thomas Binder from Switzerland, Dr. Bryan Ardis from Texas, Dr. Shankara Chetty from South Africa, Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg from Germany and John O'Looney, a gravedigger in England. These experts will explain how, from the outset, we witnessed a deliberate and totally senseless ban on normal, effective and safe methods of treating respiratory illnesses and, instead, a requirement for treatments that must now be considered serious medical malpractice: intubation, remdesivir, midazolam.

John O'Looney will explain how he initially believed the other side's claims of a pandemic and even helped the BBC spread their panic propaganda, until he realized that under the guise of the pandemic, people were being killed intentionally.

We will then call the following panel of experts, including professor Alexandra Henrion Caude from France, Dr. Mike Yeadon, professor Sucharit Bhakdi from Germany, professor Luc Montagnier from France, Dr. Vanessa Schmidt-Krüger from Germany, Dr. Robert Malone, inventor of the mRNA vaccine technique from the United States, and professor Anna Blochat, pathologist from Germany.

They will show us that while the virus has not caused excess mortality, its survival rate is 99.97%. The injections are now killing people and have caused up to 40% excess mortality since September, due to spike protein poisoning and shutting down our immune system.

Dr. Mike Yeadon will tell us how a group of scientists even found concrete evidence that vaccine manufacturers are experimenting with lethal dosages, to see how lethal side effects can be manipulated so that the public doesn't immediately understand what is going on.

The next panel of experts will explain how this was achieved. This panel includes professor Matthias Desmet from Belgium, psychologist Ariane Bilheran from France, author Meredith Miller from the United States, professor Harald Walach from Germany and Stefan Kuhn, an employee of the German Ministry of the Interior. These experts will explain how the other side, after quickly establishing the public health emergency of international concern, has introduced us to confinement, absurd and dangerous mask requirements, and highly damaging social distancing, both physically and psychologically, until they reach their ultimate goal, ineffective and dangerous, even deadly, injections. They will explain in detail how our consent to all of this was made possible by a gigantic psychology operation whose panic message was conveyed to us by the relentless propaganda of the mainstream media and our politicians, both owned by the other side.

The following panel includes Leslie Manóokian, former American investment banker, Naomi Wolf, American journalist and author, Anzweifel, German economist, professor Christian Kreiz, German economist, professor Hogareko, German economist, and Marcus Karl, German economist.

These experts will explain in detail the intentional destruction of our economies and how the other side is working on a controlled crash of the financial

system in order to escape the crimes they have already committed over the past decade, decades of looting our public coffers until there is nothing left and introducing a single world bank, a digital currency and a digital passport.

The final panel includes Matthew Ehret, an investigative journalist from Canada, Vera Sharav, a Holocaust survivor, Ilana Rachel Daniel from Israel, Rabbi Smith from New York, Patrick Wood, a technocracy expert from the United States, Avital Livni from Israel. In the last part of the investigation, these experts will explain how an important part of the other side's program is related to population control, or rather eugenics. After world war II, eugenics had a bad reputation, as Julian Huxley, who founded the whole of unesco, said. But he and the very large group of people who supported the idea of eugenics would soon be able to continue their efforts in this direction, he explained openly.

The parallels between what happened 80 years ago and what is happening now should not be ignored. Vera Sharav will remind us of this. After hearing all the evidence, we have no doubt that you will recommend indictments against all our putative defendants for crimes against humanity. Thank you.

36:30 Viviane Fischer

Thank you for that overview and also for giving us an overview of all of the experts that we will be hearing from. I would now like to return to attorney Ana Garner from the United States, to give us more information on PCR testing.

36:52 Ana Garner

Thank you. To understand the enormity of the planning of this global criminal collaboration, we must start with the basis of the pandemic. It was a big lie. A lie for the governments of the world to declare, almost in unison, a global pandemic. A word like so many others involved in this official narrative, whose definition was changed to fit the agenda.

Specifically, what I wanted to talk about is the big lie based on the PCR test, on the tests. This is not a pandemic, but a "*case*". The information we have been given by the cdc and the fda on the PCR test is obviously false. We will have elements to prove it.

In fact, this method is not a test at all, not a diagnostic test, but a genetic manufacturing technology, which looks for specific snippets of

code and amplifies them by doubling them in each cycle. Its inventor, Kary Mullis, who won a Nobel prize for the process he invented, said it was never intended to be a valid diagnostic tool. It is known to all who use it that it cannot detect active infection.

Drosten, one of the defendants, knew this. He knew the test was meaningless and wrong. However, this test was used to create worldwide panic and obedience. The cdc even admitted that its own test, recently withdrawn, was based on falsified samples. The grossly excessive cycling thresholds recommended by who, that is, the number of amplifications that a small amount of genetic material undergoes to determine if something is present, are well beyond industry standards. They render any test useless, with false positive results at rates approaching 100%. Studies have shown that the false positive rate for this PCR test is actually closer to 97%. That means that 3% of the population that test positive may be sick with something. But we don't know what they are sick with, because the test doesn't determine that. This test has been misused around the world to fraudulently justify the existence of a pandemic and to determine falsely inflated case and death figures.

We will demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the defendants have participated in this global lie and have labeled a widely geographically dispersed disease, with survival rates equivalent to seasonal flu, as a pandemic enabling false global declarations of a public health emergency.

From this emergency situation, which has now lasted for almost two years, governments have imposed draconian restrictions, separation and isolation of vulnerable populations, such as the elderly and children, as well as the implementation of mandatory vaxxins around the world.

We know that the next step will be the implementation of vaccine passports, which will further lock down our planet, and quarantine camps everywhere. Our trust has been betrayed by the corruption of the system and we will provide you with verifiable facts proving that we have all been badly misled into believing a big lie. The ultimate goals were, in the words of one of the defendants, Bill Gates, to vaxx every man, woman and child on the planet with experimental gene-altering injections.

These PCR tests were used to increase the number of cases and deaths. Let's look at the definition of a case. Historically, a case was a sick person, not just a positive test in the absence of any symptoms. With tests done all over the world on healthy people, they have grossly inflated the number of cases. This is constantly being broadcast to us by the mainstream media, by the department of highway signs in the United States and perhaps in other countries. This has been used to incite panic and obedience around the world.

The important drawbacks are why PCR testing should only be performed on symptomatic patients and why a positive test should only be considered as one factor in any diagnosis. You can't diagnose someone in the absence of clinical symptoms and in the absence of a medical diagnosis.

So we end up testing healthy people in large numbers, resulting in falsely inflated numbers and panic. The cdc and fda have recognized this potential, as have the perpetrators, which we will present.

The evidence will show that Drosten, who claims to have invented the covid 19 test, was aware of its limitations and falsity. The test was not specific for any virus or bacteria. Therefore, it is useless for determining what might even cause symptoms. What the test is good for is causing worldwide panic. Even the deaths, another falsely inflated figure, must be examined in light of these false PCR tests. A death with a positive PCR test is considered a death due to covid. Whether you were admitted to the hospital as a result of a car accident, heart attack or even childbirth. If you tested positive and died within 28 days or so of your test, your death was attributed to covid 19. Even our cdc admitted later in the pandemic that 95% of the deaths attributed to covid were due to other causes. It was simply based on a false test. The manufacturers also knew all this, including, and I quote, *"the inventor of the covid 19 test, Drosten"*.

We are now witnessing a message of fear that has caused institutionalized discrimination against people who choose not to be vaxxed and who choose not to be tested. This is morally reprehensible by any human standard. The result of these false tests is enormous catastrophic harm to people around the world. There are scientists and physicians who will testify to the enormous catastrophic harm that has been caused by these tests. The legal proof of intent and knowledge is

found in the various fda and related documents. They are designed to obfuscate and mislead even the lawyers who read and peruse these documents. As you can begin to see here, the pillars of the official covid narrative and the media narrative, which are one and the same, fall like dominos when the evidence is shown.

There is irrefutable evidence of fraud and multifaceted malfeasance throughout the covid regime. If you have the courage and intellectual honesty to look at them, study them and consider them fairly, do so. It may be shocking to some, incredible to others.

I assure you that this evidence is real and disturbing. One of the things that comes out of this is that the system has to pretend that the only answer to these exaggerated numbers of cases and deaths are vaxxins. They did this so that their emergency use would be allowed and they would be on children's schedules. That's what's coming next. Thank you for your attention.

44:54 Viviane Fischer

Thank you very much, Ms. Garner for that additional voice. I would like to turn now to Dipali Ojha from India.

45:09 Dipali Ojha

Yes. Thank you very much. Good morning, everyone. I am attorney Dipali Ojha from India. It is a great honor for me to present my opening statement to the Grand Jury of the People's Court of Public Opinion. I thank Judge Rui da Fonesca e Castro and all my fellow jurists for this hopeful and insightful presentation.

In march 2020, most of us were caught off guard when governments around the world announced the onset of a pandemic supposedly created by a new SARS-CoV2 virus that could originate in Wuhan, China.

As soon as the pandemic was declared, a series of unprecedented announcements were made, such as the closing of international borders, a complete halt to international flights, mandatory masking, social distancing, and deadly measures such as containment. In India, rail services came to a screeching halt for the first time in its 167-year history. Each country quickly adopted similar measures, supposedly to prevent and control the spread of the infection.

In this state of chaos, panic, shock, uncertainty and fear, most of us rely on the mainstream media as a source of authentic information about this virus and the havoc it has wreaked on us. One of the most striking aspects of the communication we constantly receive from governments and health authorities around the world is that there is no known cure for the Sars-Cov-2 virus. In many countries, sick patients were refused admission to hospitals and sent home on the pretext that there was no cure for this disease.

During this phase, there were honest doctors and primary care physicians who treated patients with old drugs, which is certainly not a new phenomenon in allopathic medicine. And some physicians have proposed their own treatment protocols based on their vast and rich clinical experience.

While physicians are doing their solemn duty to save the lives of their patients in the best way possible, using old and proven safe drugs in combination with supplements like zinc, vitamin C, vitamin D, etc., we have seen vehement opposition from the same health authorities who were at the forefront of designing the response to the pandemic. The agitation of these health authorities was manifested in the rejection of any information revealing the effectiveness of early treatment and the existence of alternative therapies to treat patients with covid 19.

Any person of ordinary intelligence would have found this unreasonable since saving lives is obviously the first and most important goal, especially when physicians, on the basis of their clinical expertise, claimed to be able to cure patients with early treatment.

I will deviate here for a moment to share with you something from the perspective of my country, India. In India, we have a rich tradition of alternative systems of medicine like Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani and other healing systems like naturopathy and homeopathy. In fact, there is a specific ministry within the indian government called AYUSH, which is an acronym for Ayurveda, Yoga and Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy.

Recognized doctors who are trained to practice these alternative systems of medicine have successfully treated patients covid 19. However, after the launch of these experimental vaxxins, we should not call them vaccines. In fact, they are

injections, which would be a more appropriate description. After the rollout of these experimental injections, the blockage of any discussion around alternative treatments seems to have become more pronounced, including the firing of physicians who advocated early treatments for covid, those who proclaimed that early treatments were indeed safe and effective. Some physicians have even been disciplined by their medical boards. Some have been publicly ridiculed and risked having their medical licenses revoked or suspended.

Such an academic response from health authorities, governments and medical boards was in itself a red flag that something, somewhere, was wrong; it was not right. It is interesting to note that most of the people who were dictating the answers for covid 19 from countries all over the world were not even treating covid patients, but paying no attention to the honest and diligent doctors who were actually treating patients in the field with early treatment.

As my learned colleague has already pointed out, the possible reason for the blockage of any information regarding the effectiveness of early treatment is for emergency use authorization, which is only granted when there is no suitable drug, first, approved and available for treatment.

The assertion that there is no treatment for covid 19 allowed the manufacturers of vaxxin to apply for emergency use authorization. In addition, pharmaceutical companies are given immunity when this authorization is granted. I wish to inform here that in India, there are no specific provisions like those laid down by the US fda for granting emergency use authorization. Therefore, until recently, alternative treatments or early treatment drugs were part of the indian national protocol for the management of covid.

Ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine, were included in a national protocol until end of September 2021. In fact, states like Uttar Pradesh and Goa in India have gained global recognition for successfully demonstrating the use of ivermectin in controlling the spread of covid-19 and curing patients quickly. However, these successes have been deliberately undermined and suppressed by all those compromised individuals and media platforms who have invested heavily in vaxxin companies or have a vested interest in some form or another in keeping the success of these early treatment drugs out of the public eye.

The clear absence of any scientific debate about the efficacy of early treatment, coupled with the silencing of voices trying to publicize the efficacy of early treatment drugs, is a clear sign that governments and health authorities around the world are pushing vaxxin as the only solution to cure covid 19.

Another aspect specific to India is that there is no immunity for vaccine manufacturers in India, which was confirmed by an affidavit filed by the Indian government in the Supreme Court of India. Recently, we helped provide a petition to the Bombay high court by a father who lost his daughter to the first dose of these experimental vaxxins. He sought compensation of approximately \$1.3 billion for the loss of his daughter's life.

This is the second case we have helped file. The first case was filed in November 2021 by a mother who lost her young son to the first dose of these experimental vaxxins. In this case, the son was forced to take the experimental vaxxin in order to access the public transportation system, which only allows vaxxed individuals to travel, according to the government's administrative order. In addition to monetary compensation for the loss of her son's life due to these experimental injections, this mother has also demanded an investigation approved by India's premier investigative agency into the role of everyone involved in the chain of events, from the manufacturers of these vaxxins, to the people in charge of the covid-19 response here in India, to all those who have claimed that these covid vaxxins are 100% safe and effective.

We expect several complaints to be filed in the country in the near future by victims of these medical experiments. It would be inappropriate to call these products "*vaccines*", as vaccines are supposed to provide immunity against a disease. While we were promised that vaxxin covid would protect us from a supposedly deadly virus, we now find that vaxxin covid does not prevent contact with the disease or stop its transmission. In addition, these vaxxins have been shown to have serious side effects, including deaths, so it is impossible to justify experimental medical products that do not stop infection or transmission. In addition, vaxxed individuals are required to follow appropriate containment behavior, stay indoors, wear masks, and respect social distances.

There is another alarming aspect of the way these experimental injections are being administered to

the world's population that I want to bring to your attention. It is about informed consent and how the established laws around informed consent have been blatantly violated.

In our lawsuit here in India, in addition to the India-specific judicial precedents set by our courts, high courts and the Supreme Court, we also cited the provisions of the 2005 universal declaration on bioethics and human rights, which require detailed information to be provided to the public in order to obtain their informed consent to such medical experiments.

All of these provisions have been violated. For example, article 3 of the universal declaration on bioethics and human rights states that human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms must be fully respected and that the interests and welfare of the individual must be given priority over the interests of science and society.

Similarly, article 6 of the same declaration states that any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention can only be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. This consent must be expressed, if necessary, and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without inconvenience or prejudice.

There is also another landmark judgment that we have cited in the proceedings we have filed, namely the 2015 judgment of the UK Supreme Court in *Montgomery v Lanarshire health board*. As we discuss the crucial points about the lack of informed consent and the violation of several international boards and agreements in this process of deploying these experimental vaxxins, the opposing side, Mr. Global, generally relies on a very weak review to justify these experimental medical products, namely that the benefits outweigh the risks.

Our expert witnesses will present evidence that shows the extent to which these experimental products have inflicted serious and severe effects on the public from these injections, including deaths, the lack of transparency around clinical trial data, the underreporting of deaths caused by these vaxxins, all of this evidence that our experts will present to the Grand Jury in the upcoming hearings will completely demolish this rationale, commonly used to push these experimental vaxxins that the benefits of these experimental injections outweigh the risks.

In conclusion, I wish to draw the jury's attention to the following. The reason why the authorities state that there is no treatment for covid 19 is not clear until today since there has been no scientific debate or discussion between those in charge of the covid response in the countries and the doctors, scientists and physicians who claim to have a proven and documented success story around effective early treatment. Second, there has been no initiative or effort to conduct clinical trials on the effectiveness of early treatment drugs by those responsible for designing the official country response to covid. There has been a general denial of natural immunity, immunity developed after natural infection, despite the publication of several studies to that effect.

The major technology companies deliberately censor anyone who chooses to talk about the existence of alternative treatments and the fact that they are safer than experimental injections.

Finally, the fact that old drugs, for which there is ample safety data, are being ignored and a hastily launched experimental medical injection is being touted as safe and effective. We know that there is no transparency around the clinical trial data that is being conducted. Given the number of adverse events reported around the world every day, these experimental products are anything but safe. Our expert witnesses will cover each of these aspects in detail in upcoming hearings. I am confident that after reviewing the evidence in the record, the Grand Jury will be fully equipped to assess the role and complicity of every person, every entity, every organization involved in the commission of these horrific crimes against humanity. Thank you for your attention.

59:12 Viviane Fischer

Thank you very much. Now we have with us lawyer Dexter Ryneveldt from South Africa. Can you hear us?

59:25 Dexter Ryneveldt

I can hear you loud and clear.

59:28 Viviane Fischer

Fantastic. The floor is yours.

59:31 Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt

Thank you very much. My sincere apologies. I am Dexter Ryneveldt, an advocate for rights in South Africa. It is with great honor and gratitude that I present to you, members of this jury, a statement

from the court of public opinion, an opening statement.

First, I want to thank Lemuel Malloy, Yvonne Katsanda, Kabura Monieki, and Walter Mashillo, as well as all the brave witnesses injured by vaxxin covid 19 around the world, for their kind assistance and participation.

I will begin with what Thomas Payne said 200 years ago, and indeed stated most eloquently. *"The greatest tyrannies are always perpetrated in the name of the noblest causes."* This statement is even more relevant today than it was 200 years ago, and will be for years and centuries to come.

The question for your honorable members of the jury: what is the noblest cause? It has been and still is being exploited since the beginning of this SARS-CoV-2 covid 19 pandemic, which is none other than the cause that encompasses: health of the body, health of the mind, summing up to the health of the human. We can all agree that the highest cause is what is in the best interest of human health and how it affects our family and friends, as well as our ability to generate income.

The evidence presented will show exactly how the defendants have orchestrated and manipulated this most noble cause of human health to advance the agenda of total control and domination over an unaware population. They will show how they have made serious inroads into popular, constitutional and inalienable rights and freedom. Since the beginning of the pandemic, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the defendants have expended and invested considerable effort and money in public relations. In fact, their public relations campaigns, with the help of the corporate media, capitalize on these human health concerns for their financial gain and their aspirations for total domination and control.

To their advantage, they use the slogan *"follow the science"*. It's a catchphrase we all know so well. Whenever they speak, they always justify the phrase *"let's follow the science"*, *"this is what the science says"* to justify and legitimize their public health policies for the so-called common good around the world with containment measures.

In addressing the human health concerns regarding covid 19, the following pertinent questions must be asked, ladies and gentlemen.

The first question, what is the true nature of the SARS-CoV-2 virus? Is it really new? If so, to what extent can we say it is new? The short answer to this question is: *"No, it is not new"*. Here, the evidence from medical and scientific experts will show beyond a shadow of a doubt that we are not dealing with a new virus. In fact, ladies and gentlemen, members of this jury, SARS-CoV-2 can in many ways be considered a seasonal flu, its composition is very comparable to SARS-CoV-1.

The second question we need to ask ourselves is: *"are there appropriate and viable medical treatments for covid 19 disease?"* Without going into too much detail, my colleague Dipali Ojha has made it clear. Yes, indeed, they facilitate alternative treatments. Not just easy alternative treatments, but they facilitate alternative treatments that work. That is the evidence that will most certainly be presented to you all.

The third and final question is: what is the survival rate for covid-19? Again, the evidence will show that the survival rate prediction at the beginning of the pandemic was nothing more than a computerized statistical prediction used to create fear. I think we are all very familiar with that fear that was instilled in all of us. In reality, the survival rate is 99.5%. Some experts will even prove that the survival rate is as high as 99.97%. The actual mortality rate is far from the informed statistical predictions. In fact, the mortality rate of seasonal flu is higher than that of covid-19. This statement alone, ladies and gentlemen, should give us pause and make us wonder if it was worth it. All the containment, sanitization, social distancing? Was it really worth it?

The three questions I just asked you, the jury, take us to the United States, to the US national library of medicine. This is a workshop that was conducted and I'm going to pull a quote from it, which you can search for via the internet. It's about rapid response to infectious diseases through medical countermeasures, implementing sustainable capabilities through an ongoing public-private partnership. And in which Dr. Peter Daszak wrote to Dr. Anthony Fauci: I quote, *"De facto, a real, present, and emergency threshold infectious disease crisis is often largely ignored. We need to increase public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures, such as simple vaxxin for coronavirus. The media is a key factor and the economy will follow the hype. We need to use the hype to our advantage to address the real issues. Investors will respond when they see benefits at the end of the process."*

That quote itself, ladies and gentlemen, is incriminating, unambiguous. It exposes the plan behind the pandemic. With the click of a button, members of the jury, you can search for yourselves via the internet who the financial beneficiaries of this pandemic are. Without a doubt, it is the investors. It is the shareholders of the vaxxin companies, much to the chagrin of the public, ordinary men and women.

What is the ultimate intention to wipe out small and medium-sized businesses? The only companies that are thriving right now are the pharmaceutical companies and the big technology conglomerates. We also see the direct impact this has had on small stores and medium-sized businesses as well.

The statements that will be presented to you will make it clear why citizens participated in the global vaxxin medical experiments and the core belief system of the vaxxin participants (you will hear this from the victims injured by these vaxxins).

The core belief system of why they participated in this medical experiment is that they thought it would be in their best health and ultimately financial interest.

So with that set, we see that there is a direct link: *"I have to do this for my health and if I don't do it, I'm going to lose financially. I won't be able to earn an income."* That's why I lay out the financial interest. If they don't participate in the experimental vaxxins, they risk losing their income. This is nothing short of coercion and blackmail, to say the least. This is what we call, ladies and gentlemen, the carrot and stick approach.

The evidence presented will elucidate the medial, ethical processes and protocols of experimentation that must always be followed when conducting mass medical experiments. Medical experiments must meet the highest standards of medical ethics as defined in the declaration of Helsinki, the Nuremberg code, as well as the codified legislative requirements of each respective country. The evidence will show that, without a doubt, the declaration of Helsinki, the Nuremberg code and the code of conduct for medical experiments of each country have been violated. In fact, they are still being violated with this mandatory vaxxination. It is being pushed all over the world.

All of this has been accomplished with the slogan *"follow the science"*. The evidence will show that SARS-CoV-2, covid-19, can be compared to an ordinary flu. Honorable members of the jury, the inventor of mrna, Dr. Robert Malone, has mentioned in multiple alternative media platforms why experimental covid-19 mrna vaxxins are dangerous and a fraud with the possibility of serious long-term sequelae. Among other things, this may include myocarditis, pericarditis, the evidence will make this clear.

We will also present a broad overview of past medical and scientific laboratory testing of mrna medical technology to date and why it is not safe to deploy mrna vaxxins on a global scale, on an unsuspecting population. The evidence will be corroborated by pharmacologists, toxicologists, immunologists, virologists, physiologists, biochemists, and others.

This is only a prelude to the evidence that will be presented by Professor Bhakdi, professor Palmer or Dr. Wodarg, and I quote, *"Overall, it is clear that a new method of introducing genetic material into human cells via adenovirus or adeno-associated viruses is fraudulent and leads to dangerous side-effects, the causes of which are not yet entirely clear. While such risks may be acceptable in the case of otherwise incurable conditions such as spinal muscular atrophy, it is absolutely irresponsible to impose them on healthy people who have little or no risk of ever experiencing severe covid-19."*

Members of the jury, to fully understand the extent of the plan behind this pandemic, we must focus attention on the extent to which the defendants have suppressed standard procedure or followed the science. We don't need to go too far to understand the game plan. We can simply look at how they changed the epidemiological, medical, and scientific definitions to fit and justify their *"follow the science"* mantra.

Ultimately, we need to look at how they have changed, adapted the medical and scientific definitions. The definitions we are talking about are: pandemic, endemic, vaccines, herd immunity and natural immunity. The medical and scientific evidence will show how these definitions have been changed, adapted to fit the premeditated and intentional crimes of the accused, of crime against humanity.

The adaptations of key epidemiological, medical and scientific definitions are criminal in that they follow

the scientific narrative. They are out of step with well-established epidemiological standards and practices.

The evidence will clearly illustrate the discrepancies between the *"follow the science"* narrative and the objective, well-established medical and scientific information and data. Honorable members of the jury, I draw your attention to a quote from Booker T. Washington. I quote *"A lie does not become true, what is false does not become right, and evil does not become good simply because it is accepted by the majority."*

Even if the majority, for the sake of argument (I just want to back up quickly, because the quote stops at majority), even if the majority, for the sake of argument accepts the distorted medical and scientific definitions, they cannot be considered correct or truthful. A simple change in medical and scientific definitions, made on a whim without clear and unambiguous medical and scientific justification, cannot justify any change in patients and therefore cannot be considered truthful. No matter how many times the defendants and/or their collaborators repeat a lie, in this case the media, it cannot become true.

The most important questions in the minds of the public, honorable members of the jury, are: How is it that the defendants were able to make so much progress in deploying the planned pandemic and vaxxins?

For what reasons did a considerable number of people fall for the defendants' pandemic narrative to the point that they presented themselves to be vaxxed with an experimental covid-19 mrna vaxxin? To answer this question, we need to look at the following concept: astroturfing. I will read you the definition of astroturfing from Merriam Webster: *"Organized activity designed to create the false impression of a widespread, spontaneous popular movement in favor of or in opposition to something, such as a policy, but which is actually initiated and controlled by a hidden group or organizations, such as corporations."*

Evidence will be provided to show how the defendants and co-conspirators mobilized public support and deployed the mainstream media to advance the premeditated global mass murder agenda by convincing a portion of the population to voluntarily accept the experimental covid-19 gene therapy vaxxins.

We will demonstrate that through the formation of a large-scale mass psychological state, the mental equity of the people has been intoxicated. As a direct consequence, the people trusted the mainstream narrative, even though it was totally absurd and patently false. I leave you with the following quote from Aristotle. It sums up the essence of our current situation at the local level.

Before quoting him, this court of public opinion asks you to consider all the evidence that will be presented in its entirety and find it in you to see the truth as it is. This is a plague, not a pandemic. And when you look at the two words plandemic, pandemic, there is one letter that is different and that is the "l". So what we're saying, we're going to present all the evidence. You will learn exactly why we are where we are. After that, you can say with confidence, I have learned, so I'm going to take that capital "L" and I'm going to insert it into the word pandemic, it's not a pandemic, it's a pLandemic.

The evidence is clear and direct. Therefore, the evidence presented today is sufficient to constitute a prima facie case against all the accused and their indictments can be, in fact must be issued against the accused and their personal or professional capacities, as well as against the co-conspirators in every country in the world who played an instrumental role in peddling the great illusion of following science to the point of literally causing death.

Here is the quote from Aristotle. *"Governments that have the common good in mind are constituted according to strict principles of justice and are therefore true regimes. But those which have only the interest of the rulers in view are all defective and perverted regimes, for they are despotic, whereas a state is a community of free men."* Thank you, honorable members of the jury.

1:20:06 Viviane Fischer

Thank you, Mr. Ryneveldt. Thank you all for the opening statements. We've come to the end of those. I would like to let the viewers know that you can be part of the jury. We have set up an e-mail address, where you can contact us; if you are, for example, a lawyer and you want to support this

effort to provide the evidence so that the indictments can begin.

Please feel free to contact us. Also if you are a victim, if you have had problems, for example with your company, if you have suffered damages related to vaccination, if you have suffered any kind of losses or restrictions, you can get in touch with us and we can present your case, maybe even here, while the proceedings are going on or in another form, for example on a website so that the whole world knows what happened to you.

1:21:22 Reiner Fuellmich

A few final words for today, perhaps. We very much appreciate the participation of the viewers in this process. It is a model proceeding. And I will say on behalf of the entire group of lawyers, some of whom you've met, and some of whom you'll see as we go through the process when we interview witnesses, for example, that I want to thank you and implore you to support us, to watch this, to tell the world what's really going on, because there aren't a lot of sources that you can trust.

There are a few sources. This is one of them. We think it's one of the most important of them. Because what we're doing here, what we're undertaking, is to give you a complete picture, a complete picture of what has happened and what is happening. Because only when you see the full picture will you come to the conclusion that we cannot trust those whom many of us used to trust. Our governments are no longer our governments. Our institutions have been infiltrated by those who commit these crimes against humanity.

Most importantly, once you realize this, you will also come to the conclusion that it is only we, the people, who will make the difference. We will put an end to this and make sure that there is a cleanup afterwards, including the necessary procedures to bring justice. And to bring to justice those who committed these

crimes against humanity.

Thank you very much.

