
Jeanne Translation

Grand Jury - Session 21

February 13, 2022

A group of international lawyers and a judge are conducting a criminal investigation modeled after the U.S. Grand Jury proceedings to present to the public all available evidence of crimes against humanity committed under the cover of covid-19. To date, this investigation is being conducted against the "leaders, organizers, instigators and accomplices" who aided, abetted or actively participated in the formulation and execution of a common plan for a pandemic. This investigation is being conducted by the people, with the people, and for the people, so you can be on the jury.

By showing a complete picture of what we are facing [including the geopolitical and historical backdrop] the procedure aims to create awareness about the real collapse of the current system and its institutions and, therefore the need for the peoples themselves to regain their sovereignty and the need to first stop the measures of this pandemic by refusing to comply with them and the need to launch their own new system of health care, education, economy and justice, in order to re-establish democracy and the rule of law on the basis of our constitutions.

The Stakeholders

Reiner	FuellmichGermanyand USA- Lawyer Ana GarnerUSA- Geneticist, lawyer and prosecutor in New York Mexico
Withney	WebbUSA- Author and investigative journalist
Astrid	StuckelbergerSwitzerland- International Health Scientist and researcher
Dipali	OjhaInde- Lawyer
Viviane	FischerGermany- Lawyer Debi EvansGreat Britain- Retired
Nurse	Virginie de Araujo Recchia France - Lawyer
Matthew	EhretCanada- Journalist, author and
historian	Dexter L-J. RyneveltdtSouth Africa- Lawyer
Silvia	BehrendtAustria- Former who legal consultant and expert in pandemic management James BushUSA- former technical and operational director of the Infectious Disease Research Center at the University of Colorado
Alex	ThomsonGreat Britain- Former Agency Officer british intelligence gchq, nsa partner agency and member of the gchq interdisciplinary team for chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (cbrn) threats
Brian	GerrishGreat Britain- Speaker and journalist investigation
Roman	MironovGermany- Human Rights Defender

00:14 Reiner Fuellmich



Good evening or good morning, wherever you are. This is the second day of the grand jury investigation. This is a model proceeding that will take a very close look at the entire coronavirus pandemic

how it started, the details of PCR testing. We begin today with an in-depth look at the historical and geopolitical context. Our first expert is Alex, Alex Thompson. Alex, please introduce yourself. Then we'll go straight to "*in medias res*" as the Latinists say.

01:10 Alex Thomson



Thank you very much. Reiner. I'm Alex Thomson. For eight years I was with the British intelligence agency gchq², the partner agency of the nsa³. Then I was the officer in charge of the former Soviet Union.

and a transcriber in several languages, including Russian and German, of intercepted material. In the latter half of this period, I was also a member of the gchq's interdisciplinary team for chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear threats, cbrn⁴.

In this capacity, I have come to know how the Anglo-American intelligence services and military establishment view their state of dominance with full knowledge of anything that might affect the large-scale health and militarization potential of these agents. You asked me to give a summary of about twenty minutes of the geopolitical situation as it was in the world during the pivotal period before the post-World War II era, because most of the testimony of

tonight and subsequent grand jury sessions will focus on the post-1945 world. It was during this time that many plans to unify world governments began to take shape in theory, including the health issues you are concerned about.

I argue that the dominant power in the world, namely the City of London, the financial heart

of the British Empire, prepared for this situation, starting around 1870. In the modern world, the monopolization, the cartelization of the world theoretically begins at that time. Everything we do [by "*we*" I mean UK Column news, because I'm also joined tonight by Brian Gerrish, the co-editor, who will testify later...]. Everything we do I said, all our investigations into the corruption emanating from the British crown monopolies and the money in the city of London seem to go back to that period around 1870, when, in short, several revolutions were led by the British elite. All were aimed at containing productivity and preventing the growth of intelligence and intellectual property among the indigenous peoples of the British Empire and competing nations. So there was a revolution in what you might call mindspace, which since 2010 has been a term explicitly used by the central department of the British government, the cabinet office.

A revolution in the quality of education offered to British schoolchildren and later to other Western schoolchildren, a revolution in the theft of intellectual property by the elite.⁵ A revolution in the model of health care and open access to it. A revolution in the model of health care and open access to it, and a constitutional revolution at home, from the classic British liberal democratic model, which I know has been explicitly copied from Britain by the European continent and its law schools, to a model that rigorously controls what happens in parliament and in agencies run by governments using the party voting instruction system.

2 gchq: government communications headquarters, the United Kingdom department responsible for signals intelligence and information systems security. Why should we give importance to these organizations that could, under certain circumstances, deserve capital letters?

3 nsa: national security agency, a government agency of the U.S. Department of Defense responsible for signals intelligence and the security of U.S. government information systems.

4 The abbreviation cbrn is used to designate chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear materials which, under the control of terrorists, can be very dangerous.

5 It would probably be interesting to find another term for these people. The "m4" could be proposed to mean this minority of Machiavellian multi-billionaires. What do you think about it?

All of this happened, as I said, around 1870. Here in Britain, it was largely completed by the pivotal year between 1947 and 1948, when Britain had a single national health service, other than Canada, was leading the way in the military unification of the European continent and the whole of nato, and was in many other ways, including through the planning of law and citizenship, leading the world in reinventing the way it managed its population.

The central node is the city of London, that is, the city at the very heart of what is now called Greater London. This is important because the city of London and the Church of England are the only institutions that have survived all the constitutional revolutions in the British Isles, keeping their privileges and their immense wealth intact.

The city of London differs from other global megacities in that it has chosen to remain geographically small while the urban area around it has grown.

The City of London still has a separate legal status from the other 32 London boroughs and is not really part of Greater London as such, its privileges having been enshrined in the Magna Carta⁶ of 1215. Its autonomous government has never been questioned.

On several occasions in its history, it has exercised power over the British crown and thus over much of the world during the British Empire, notably during the civil wars of the mid-17th century, when the City of London remained a rival financial power to the crown and even, in a way, abolished the latter for more than a decade, after the restoration of the crowns and finally the English revolution.

Only six years later, with the arrival of the Dutch king William III on the throne of Great Britain, the Bank of England was created in 1694 with an injection of 12.5 million pounds sterling

of cash in the crown by these private stockholders, which we are told with certainty form the basis of all debts incurred from that time to the present. The present descendants of these shareholders and others entitled to shares in the Bank of England are kept secret.

The City of London also exercises power and control over the so-called "*mother of parliaments*", the Parliament of Westminster, notably in the form of a City of London official known as the *The "remembrancer"*⁷, which sits in the House of Commons, where even the monarch is not allowed to enter, and which records what is said about financial interests.

It is too complicated to give a definition of the crown according to the British model. What is important is that the cabinet office and ministry that was created in the early 20th century is the effective repository of the prerogatives of the crown.

Thus, when people outside the United Kingdom think of the crown, they often think excessively of the old situation where the monarch took an oath at his coronation and was responsible to the people.

In practice, from about 1870 onwards, the constitutional revolution meant that the financiers who controlled the political parties were in fact pulling the levers of the crown's prerogatives.

Behind the scenes, the model of government that Britain still has and has exported to the Commonwealth and the world is that of an inner sanctum, the Privy Council, which in effect governs on behalf of the Crown: this is only in appearance, as the leading constitutional writers since the 1870s have admitted. It is only for show that Parliament and the ministries are consulted, as there were a separation between the executive legislative power and the judicial power at the level of the privy council.

This is not the case.

6 The magna carta (in French, grande charte d'Angleterre or great charter), refers to several versions of a charter first wrested by the English barons from King John Lackland on June 15, 1215 after a short civil war that culminated on May 17 with the capture of London.

7 The remembrancer was originally a junior officer of the English Exchequer. This function is very old, its holder was called remembrancer, memorator, rememorator, registrar, keeper of the register, despatcher of business. The Remembrancer drew up lists of memoranda and thus reminded the Barons of the Exchequer of the business in progress.

During this crucial period we are talking about, the eminent English constitutional scholar Walter Bagehot⁸ admitted in the second edition of his book, *"The English Constitution,"* written in 1873, just as the modern party voting instructions and think tank⁹ were being put in place to establish the will of the monopolist in the City of London, Walter Bagehot wrote in one paragraph that there was a distinction between, and I quote, *"the glamorous parts of government,"* that is, the parts that are there for show and more personally the crown, and, and I quote, *"the efficient parts in the sense of the functional parts of the machine."* He admits that the attractive parts have a purpose, but only serve to draw the force of national support to the parts that really work behind the scenes.

Now, to make it as simple as possible, I think it's important to point out that the Georgetown University history scholar, Carroll Quigley, who was Bill Clinton's tutor, among others, wrote very frankly in his book, *"Tragedy and Hope"*, the history of the world at that time when there were four industrial revolutions.

This colloquial language from the world economic forum was already used in the 1960s by Quigley, and we will not understand it unless we see that the point of view adopted here is that of who owns the population, first in Britain and then in the British Empire.

In the first revolution, the ownership of land and agricultural means provides wealth. Then there is a mechanical industrial revolution, a second revolution, and another one in which financial capital dominates the world. It is from this period, around 1870, that the money reserve in the city of London realizes that even this bubble will burst. That the best way to dominate the world in the future will be to own the minds, productivity and thoughts of those in the model to prevent them from running away and becoming an overproduct of their bosses.

Thus, the modern era of capitalization in both industry and geopolitics began around

the year 1870. In the space of a few short years, the world went from a situation in which the city of London and the British empire had no serious competition to a world in which several industrialized economies were able to compete with Britain. The British empire and its financial center, the city of London, had expanded massively into Asia in the previous generation, including the Afghan and opium wars of the 1840s, the Crimean wars and the Indian mutiny of the 1850s.

One of the most powerful banks in the city of London, hsbc¹⁰, actually dates back to the time of the Chinese opium trade: criminality thus permeates the banks of the city of London from the start. In Europe, the post-Napoleonic order imposed by Britain at the Congress of Vienna in 1815 began to crumble with the success and failure of the socialist revolutions of 1848.

Russia and Austria-Hungary as well as the Eastern European countries had the most powerful land armies of the time and it was they who saved Europe by restoring the crowned heads.

So the obsession of British foreign policy from the mid-19th century [this is something I saw when I attended the Chatham House¹¹ meetings, the world's supreme geopolitical think tank in many ways, which tells the Foreign Office what to do], the obsession of British foreign policy from the mid-19th century onwards was a new strategy, namely to ally with the great rivals of the past, France and even the Ottoman Empire, against Britain's historic allies in northern and central Europe, in order to prevent any future Russian-German alliance that might become the dominant bloc in the world.

A second strategy was to prevent the meteoric rise of American intellectual productivity and the democratization of invention.

8 Walter Bagehot was a 19th century British journalist who wrote his fair share of articles on political and economic issues as well as on constitutional law.

9 A think tank is a think tank or laboratory of ideas composed of experts within a structure of private law. Some are financed by state bodies. The main activity of a think tank is to produce studies and develop proposals, most often in the field of public policy and the economy.

10 Money laundering by the hsbc: [here](#) and the US Senate report: [here](#)

11 Chatham House, the anti-Brexit elite club, Les Echos, 2019: [here](#)

As early as 1812, British troops invading Washington DC spared the patent office because they knew that by burning it, they would be shooting themselves in the foot and would not be able to dominate American inventions after the American Revolution.

Around 1860, under the impetus of Bismarck, Garibaldi and Tsar Nicholas I, the three largest European nations, which were previously large only in terms of culture, suddenly became politically unified and economically modern states.

With the Greater and Lesser Germany debate, there were serious signs that Germany and Austria could join together to form a German-speaking state. It was obvious to the British elite that within a generation or two Germany, Italy and Russia would become great powers, almost on a par with Britain and France.

The United States emerged from the Civil War in 1865, began a meteoric rise to industrial supremacy. The British elite correctly predicted that by 1900 these four powers would begin to have naval forces as strong as those of France or Britain and predicted that the land armies of these European powers would be much stronger than Britain's, so that only a Franco-British alliance in the name of human rights and the spread of liberal democracy, previously unthinkable, could have kept these powers in check.

By 1880, the so-called scramble for Africa was in full swing, allowing even territorially minor European nations (Belgium and Portugal) to acquire substantial resources through the colonization of the African interior, becoming serious rivals for British industry and commerce.

This put the city of London in a serious quandary. Portugal was Britain's oldest ally and Belgium was a state that owed its very existence to the British negotiation of 1815.

Historians have made a strong case that the Edwardian wave of assassinations, including the 1908 assassination of the Portuguese royal family and the 1914 assassination of Archduke Franz Ferdinand, were fomented with the secret connivance of the City of London.

One Asian country also became a major industrial and military power in the late 19th century, Japan. To the surprise of the world, it defeated Russia in 1905, giving many colonial populations in Africa and Asia the idea that there was no reason why they could not also assert themselves against European domination, as the Latin American republics had already done against Spain.

The following year, 1906, was the year of the naval race, the Dreadnought crisis,¹² which perhaps inevitably triggered the countdown to the Great War, World War I, as the British and German elite were now determined to achieve *weltherrschaft* [world domination].

Both countries were rightly suspicious of the other's motives. They were both technically capable of world domination, both industrially and in mind space, and both had powerful allied blocs for the first time.

In summary, the change brought about by the existential crisis of the middle to late 19th century was the commercial model of the City of London as described by Quigley, the successive waves of monopolies.

This model came to emphasize the importance of controlling not only military force or material resources, but also the minds of people now known as human resources.

This is why science fiction starts to talk about the ownership of the human gene pool from that time on, so that the city of London can sell goods as well as more and more services to the rest of the world, which would never be able to catch up in the mental space.

12 The dreadnought is the predominant type of battleship of the XX^e century. It takes its name from the British warship HMS Dreadnought, launched in 1906, which had two features that were new for the time: its main artillery was of only one caliber and it was powered by a revolutionary steam turbine system.

The UK Column, researchers and allied commentators regularly note that the City of London and Britain's very rich institutions of power and influence, the ones that Tony Blair just this month told us we must continue to use well, but dominate, such as the British Council,¹³ the bbc, British universities and the Church of England, that these institutions continue to see this battle for the mind as their top priority for world domination, and that they see health as a sub-sector of that battle. We are also fully convinced, through repeated findings, that the British elite rightly see themselves as the world's leading power in the mind space.

In other words, the City of London gets other nations to do its dirty work for it. It does this by successfully luring its own people, Britain, the Commonwealth and the elites of other nations into its perspective and narrative, rather than their own.

This is the vision I received in my elite British education. It is the vision that British intelligence agencies received during both World Wars and the Cold War. It is not a formal strategy that is taught in boarding schools, universities, intelligence agencies, or in officer training.

But this is largely the credo of the so-called elite family lines that run the City of London. It is the *modus operandi* of Anglo-American tax-exempt foundations and think tanks such as Chatham House, a bubble that has imposed the agendas of these lineages on Western governments.

A key figure of the year 1870 was the seemingly innocuous John Ruskin. He was the first professor of art at Oxford. He brought the doctrine that the British elite needed to export their own worldview to the rest of the world in very general terms. Among the major students he influenced was Cecil Rhodes, who, of course, became fabulously wealthy in southern Africa. Cecil Rhodes (all of this is documented by very

many historians) wrote secret diaries and formed secret societies in 1891. After 16 years of planning, his main secret society was founded. The Rhodes scholarships were part of this society. The Oxford members of the Rhodes network were people like Lord Toynbee and Lord Milner, well-known geostrategists.

In Cambridge, there was the future foreign secretary Lord Gray and Lord Isha¹⁴. In London, there was the leading journalist of the day, WT Stead, as well as insiders and members of Cecil Rhodes' executive committee, including the above men plus Lord Rothschild.

After Rhodes's death in 1902, other prominent English lineages that have repeatedly marred the history of the City of London, such as the Astors, entered this circle. The outer circle aimed at realizing Cecil Rhodes' will (that seemingly benign vision of Britain forcing the world to accept its liberal democracy and to accept this way of looking at the world), the outer circle became known as the Round Table groups, which are still active in the United States and seven other countries, were set up from 1909 onwards.

This group considered the success of the Canadian federation of 1867 to be its main case study. You will hear more about this later from Matthew Ehret. Canada was effectively unified politically and later the other white colonies, the white territories.

In order to avoid the spread of different views and different English-speaking democracies around the world, they all had to be attached to the control of the city of London. This is also very contemporary.

Among the many Rhodes scholars who dominate world politics and push the world toward globalization are Bill Clinton, already mentioned, and, at the World Economic Forum, New Zealand's Pr Ngairi Woods, who became known this year for telling the fine that the elite could do great things if they got together and the people of the world simply accepted that she was in charge.

13 The British Council is a governmental institution of the United Kingdom whose aim is to establish cultural relations between the United Kingdom and other countries. Its headquarters are in London.

14 Lord Isha: let's check the spelling of this name...

Rhodes wrote in one of his secret diaries, quote, *"Why should we not form a secret society, with one [single] object, the promotion of the British empire and the bringing under British rule of the whole uncivilized world for the restoration [i.e., the restoration of Britain] of the United States and to make the Anglo-Saxon race one and the same empire."*

He also wrote: *"Let us form the same kind of society, a church for the extension of the British empire"*. We are talking about mind space here. Rhodes continues, *"A society which should have its members in every part of the British Empire, working for one purpose and one idea. We should place its members in our universities and schools and watch the English youth pass through their hands. Only one perhaps in a thousand would have the mind and feelings suited to such a purpose."*

That's what Rhodes scholarships are for. *"He should be judged in every way, he should be tested, to see if he is enduring, endowed with eloquence, indifferent to the trivial details of life, and if it turns out that he is so,"* in other words, it is a psychological test, *"then he should be elected and sworn in, or sworn to secrecy, to serve for the rest of his life his country. He should then be supported, if he cannot afford it, by society and sent to that part of the empire which considers his presence useful."*

In this vision, of course, the United States is part of the empire. In another of his wills, Rhodes describes his intention in more detail, quote:

"For the establishment, promotion and development of a secret society, the true aim and object of such society shall be the extension of British rule throughout the world. The colonization by British subjects of all lands where the means of subsistence are accessible by energy, labor and enterprise and in particular the occupation by British settlers of the whole continent of Africa, the Holy Land, the Euphrates Valley," i.e. modern Iraq, *"the islands of Cyprus and the island of Candia¹⁵ ",* i.e. Crete, *" the whole of South America, the islands of the Pacific not hitherto held by Great Britain, the whole of the Malay Archipelago, those bordering China and Japan ",* off the coast of China and Japan, *"and the final restoration of the United States of America as an integral part of the British Empire"*.

This vision is not the prerogative of a particularly rich Englishman. It was established in the United States, in the so-called Eastern Establishment, the East Coast, at the time when the United States was becoming the dominant power in the world.

The key testimony on this subject is that of Norman Dodd, given shortly before his death in 1982 to G. Edward Griffin, which is easily found online. Dodd was the principal collaborator of Reese, the East Tennessee congressman or eece, who in the 1950s conducted an investigation on behalf of Congress into the effect of these tax-exempt foundations in the United States, which adopted the vision of the City of London and Cecil Rhodes to dominate wealth.

I'll read what Dodd said in this interview. He says he hired a skeptical lawyer in Washington in the 1950s. He sent her to the Carnegie Foundation library, one of the major tax-exempt foundations, which she accessed with a Dictaphone belt, the technology of the day to effectively record what she was reading, to scan the library and see what was being said from the 1906s on. This initially skeptical woman, indifferent to the goals of the risk committee, was horrified.

She dictated in her belt, according to Dodd, *"It is now 1908, the year the Carnegie Foundation began operations. That year,"* she reads while in the Carnegie Foundation library, *"the trustees' meeting for the first time raised a specific question that they discussed for the rest of the year in a very scholarly manner. The question: is there any known way, more effective than war, assuming you want to change the lives of an entire people? They conclude that no, no means more effective than war, for this purpose, is known to mankind."*

The Carnegie Foundation raised and discussed the second question, which is, *"How do we get the United States involved in a war?"* I could go on and on about this. I don't have the time. I think that in itself is enough to give people the broad strokes. It's not enough to be by far the greatest military and economic power in the world, as the United States was before and after World War I if your mental space is still controlled by the idea that the Anglo-Saxon liberal democratic model is the only possibility.

If it is still controlled by the untested assumption that everyone at the top of this model is paid for freedom, then you are always going to find that a self-interest club is going to run the world.

15 Candie: 1 Not sure how to spell the name.

Even in health care (Britain was the first country in the world to nationalize - 1948), you will find that people mistakenly and blindly assume that their best interests are being kept at heart.

In perhaps two minutes, I will address the other point I wish to make, namely the financing¹⁶ of the two axes during the two world wars by the city of London and its ramifications in Manhattan, Wall Street.

Now, this is not, again, a claim that came out of nowhere. Serious academics like Anthony Sutton, who worked at the Hoover Institute at Stanford University in California, have written entire books on this subject, entitled *"Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution"* and *"Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler"*. All this is well known to those who take the trouble to inform themselves about it.

There was a whole series of documents, which were recovered by Anthony Sutton: it cost him tenure at Stanford. He put all of that in his books. What he discovered was that, in a nutshell, the Soviet Union and the Third Reich were created in the interests of the City of London and particularly its Wall Street offshoot.




The first slide shows that ibm had a monopoly subsidiary in Germany, called Hollerith [name of the German owner]. This subsidiary of ibm, granted Übersicht [surveillance] to the Third Reich thanks to punched cards, an American technology.

You can read Übersicht mit Hollerith Lochkarten [Total information knowledge through Hollerith punch cards].

The company name below is deutsche Hollerith maschinen gmbh, which was in Berlin Lichterfelde.

The second slide is just one example of the overall scope of British intelligence in areas that the constitution does not allow. You see a symbol



Christmas tree, indicating that the mi5, even before WW2, controlled who accessed the bbc airwaves, who was promoted and who was transferred.

So this was all done under the control of mi5, British intelligence, which was nominally there for the nation and was actually set up by the bloodlines I'm talking about to serve their private purposes. That's how they view the management of British intelligence.



The third slide shows how this emerges in 2010, when the Bh cabinet office, in collaboration with a think tank, the institute for government, talks openly about controlling the world's thinking and the

thought of the British people. They label the parts of the brain as mental space.

To the right is the key text on pages 66 and 67 of this 2010 document.

It says, *"Even if people agree with the behavioral goal"* (i.e., pressuring people to behave as the lineages wish rather than mandating their governments to act on their behalf) *"...they may object to the means by which it is achieved. The different effects of mind-space will lead to different levels of controversy. There are many factors that determine controversy."*

In other words, they anticipate being told that this is a reversal of the government's goals, including in the area of health care, of course.

¹⁶ Financing Nazism and Fascism:

These bankers and their Nazi clients, Courier international/The Guardian, 2013 : [here](#)

B. Aris, D. Campbell, How Bush's grandfather helped Hitler's rise to power, The Guardian, 2004 : [here](#)

C. Magnard, E. Chaverou, Financing the Nazis before the war: the incredible Argentine list, France Culture, 2020 : [here](#)

They continue, "As noted, the effects of mindspace depend at least in part on the automatic system. This means that citizens may not fully realize that their behavior is being modified, or at least how it is being modified. Clearly, this exposes the government to accusations of manipulation. People tend to think that attempts to change their behavior will be effective if they are simply provided with information in a transparent manner. This aversion has a psychological basis, but it is essentially a matter of trust in government. The lack of conscious control also has implications for consent and freedom of choice. First, it creates a greater need for citizens to approve the use of behavior change, perhaps through new forms of democratic engagement."

We see that in this model, democracy is the highest good that is sold, but the levers for manipulating democracy are in the hands of the cartel. *"Second, if the effect works automatically, it offers little opportunity for citizens to opt out or make other choices; the concept of choice architecture is less useful here. Any action that might reduce the right to error," the right to refuse treatment, for example, "will be highly controversial. Of course, some traditional attempts to change behavior are not explicit and have been controversial. But they are rarely accused of manipulation, because they are based on conscious actions to provide and record information, rather than unconscious reactions.*

I think this establishes quite well the principle that we are trained in this modern world dominated by the City of London and its institutions of influence to think that we have our destiny in our own hands, because liberal democracy is often presented as the good model against which all other systems are more tyrannical, less desirable. The whole strength of the City of London model is that it can operate remotely through other countries like the US and Germany, as has been demonstrated here, to persuade people that what they wanted before is not really what they want now. It's the filling of mind space, which I think is the most powerful weapon. That's what's available here.

I see that I have exceeded the time limit. So I'll leave the rest of the details, I could never hope to be comprehensive. I hope I have given people a little insight into the long series of research

solid historical evidence from people familiar with the British establishment to establish that it has not fought faithfully since about 1870. Most of the revolutions that he wanted to bring about, the control of democracy by party voting instructions, the control of health care by the mandatory states, the health care provided in the British and Canadian model, were all in place in the post-war period, which is the period that Matthew Ehret is going to deal with from what I understand to bring us into the post-1945 era.

32:56 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you very much, Alex, that's a perfect overview of how we got here. If you don't mind, I'd just like to ask a few questions. Of course, my colleagues will do the same. Is it true that the City of London is the real nerve center of the UK?

33:22 Alex Thomson

Without a doubt. In my background, it's something you learn at boarding school, let alone university, in rugby and Cambridge. For me, as soon as you enter the public service, you are looked at strangely if you suggest that the people of Britain or any Commonwealth country have self-determination. No, it is understood that the City of London has the body, soul and spirit of the people.

33:49 Reiner Fuellmich

In the end, it seems to have started quite early. I don't know if it started in 1870 or in the early 1900s. It was the control of people's minds that the City of London wanted to achieve in order to realize its goal of world domination, is that correct?

34:13 Alex Thomson

Yes, this is no longer a specifically Anglo-Saxon problem. It also concerns countries on the European continent, Germany since 1949, the Federal Republic of course, Belgium which, as I said in my presentation, was created by British insistence in 1815. I translate at a very high level government communications from the so-called national health agencies of these countries to their citizens. I translate them into English for the expatriates of these countries. The Belgian and German governments, to name but two examples, explicitly follow the views of the City of London.

They write to the population in terms of health management, telling them that their lifestyle is not good enough; their bodies, their minds, their genetics, their intelligence have not been optimized. This livestock, this population, is not competitive as it should be in the world. It is therefore an extension of the City of London model to the European continent, where it has been able, in many respects, to adapt to both codified civil law jurisdictions, which are very respectful of the rule of law, and to common law jurisdictions.

35:22 Reiner Fuellmich

At the end of the day, what we see is a very powerful financial institution, the City of London, stretching across the Atlantic like a fifth column. Some people say so, because they have Wall Street. These two united powers were or still are the center of power in this world?

35:52 Alex Thomson

Yes, there were many twists and turns, especially in the middle of the 20th century, but what you said is a useful summary of the whole 20th century, during which there were struggles. For a long time there was a quite exceptional cold war, with branches of the aristocracy in the city of London who were both pro and anti-Soviet Union. I could talk about this for hours, but it is secondary to the fact that there must be only one German and one Russian bloc in Eurasia, and that these two blocs must be controlled and constrained by British or Anglo-American sea power and Anglo-American power of influence, which set the pattern for them.

36:33 Reiner Fuellmich

I wanted to clarify one other thing: you mentioned that it's just a few families that really run the City of London. You gave the names of Rothschild, Rhodes and Astor. Is it true that only a few families are trying to dominate the world through the City of London?

36:57 Alex Thomson

Yes, I've never found better material than the famous duo of Dutch (Robin de Ruiter) and German-American (Fritz Springmeier of South Carolina) writers. Their book has the rather shocking title of

"The Illuminati Lineages". Their work is solid. They consistently show that the City of London, Manhattan and the European continent are overwhelmingly dominated by a small number of families. Often we hear about 13 super-powerful families. But there are levels below that. The French, for example, often talk about the 200 families that run the Deep State. The older ones terrorize the younger ones in this model, and the highest level one can reach before sinking into nebulous claims that Satan runs the world (which I ultimately believe) the highest level one can reach is a level at which the Germanic lineages of central Europe hardly make truce with the lineages of the British Isles, most of which are now based in the United States. This is the most important pattern, and all the geopolitical frustrations of the 20th century are ultimately linked to one or the other of these camps trying to gain the upper hand: should we follow the city or overthrow it? It has to do with the frustration of the emerging superpowers, especially the Russians, who are trying to play on equal terms with this cartel of bloodlines and failing.

38:27 Reiner Fuellmich

One of the main ways in which these few families try to dominate the rest of the world seems to be through mind space, which is a bit like mind control¹⁷ : does it involve psychological operations?

38:48 Alex Thomson

Absolutely. No nation got into the Psy Ops game before Britain. As soon as there were official intelligence agencies in Britain, in the Edwardian era, just before World War I, it became a key point. They borrowed a lot of their ideas from Vienna and Germany, which were at the forefront of psychology at the time. So it was a transnational interest of the English and Germanic areas to dominate the world at that time using the tricks of mind space. These were largely perfected when America gained uncontested hegemony after 1945. In so many other areas, such as Operation Paperclip¹⁸

¹⁷ Mental control : [here](#)

¹⁸ Operation Paperclip was conducted at the end of World War II by the United States Army Staff to infiltrate and recruit nearly 1,500 German scientists from the military-industrial complex of Nazi Germany to fight against the USSR and recover the secret weapons of the Third Reich.

in the technical fields, many minds from the third reich and the soviet union were used to be smuggled into the united states.

This has been considered, since the days of Edward Bernays and Freud, the most powerful way to control action in the real world. Because if you can't perceive that there is another way of doing things than the way you are told is the right way, then that is obviously the ultimate power you can have. If you have that power, you control people who are more numerous, smarter and stronger than you.

40:01 Reiner Fuellmich

Did I hear you right: you used the term "Is this really the way they see the rest of the world? Is this really the vision that these people have of the rest of the world?"

40:10 Alex Thomson

In the 1990s, when I was at an upper-class British boarding school, this term was used openly by the grandsons of high-ranking people in the city of London to describe the British population that passed under their own windows and went to classes or to work in the city.

The language that was used about them revolved around the idea that they were cattle and did not deserve a place in the world other than under the leadership of the British elite.

40:44 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you very much, Alex. I don't want to stop my fellow members from asking questions. So please, your turn.

40:56 Dexter L-J Ryneveldt

Hi, Mr. Thomson, thank you very much for your testimony. Can you hear me well?

Alex Thomson

Very well, thank you.

Dexter L-J Ryneveldt



Excellent. Mr. Thomson, I would like to know. You actually touched on the African continent. Specifically, you mentioned Cecil John Roberts.

I would like you to tell me what role the City of London is currently playing in the African continent? Can you please elaborate on this point?

41:28 Alex Thomson

The role it plays is very dark and complex. We see this especially when coups and revolutions occur in former British colonies. Of course, there is a group of countries formerly colored pink on the map, from Cairo to Cape Town, where Britain almost installed a railway and a single colony. It is in these countries that we see it most clearly. Mrs. Thatcher's son was involved in a failed coup attempt in a non-English speaking African country, Equatorial Guinea. This is just one example of a failed attempt.

The sponsors of the City of London left Margaret Thatcher to clean that up on her own, when it failed. I think what we see particularly in the former Rhodesia, now Zambia and Zimbabwe, is that there was a nexus where the City of London hired financially corrupt and powerful people and the local SAS contingents of the white power era, who did a lot of the dirty work, including in London in the post-war period.

This was done on the basis of owning, on paper, rich mining assets in southern Africa. That's what I can tell you in a nutshell. There are even suspicious deaths as far as the Lancaster Court House in 1979, paving the way for [zanu-pf19](#) to take over from the Smith government in Rhodesia, which later became Zimbabwe, with lawyers allegedly falling dead out of windows. It's an extremely bleak picture. The more you look at some of the companies involved, Kroll Security is one that comes to mind, the more you see that there is a link between mi6, the airlocks and the city of London. This has to do with South Africa, in particular, as a major asset.

43:17 Dexter L-J Ryneveldt

Thank you very much. So do you agree with me, Mr. Thomson, to say that in terms of financial dominance, we see that debt is at the heart of covid-19? So do you agree that financial dominance is at the heart of the covid-19 pandemic?

43:36 Alex Thomson

Yes, I am. And I would qualify it very slightly by reminding you that in Carroll Quigley's summary of the worldview of the Anglo-American elite establishment, he points out that the ownership of financial assets is already outdated by the 1960s. He knows that the great minds, not necessarily the good ones, a century before him, had already seen this coming. They saw the real wealth as the human spirit, human health, and the ability to modify and transform human beings into a new model that would behave as intended.

It is the great wealth of the world. But with that caveat, if we call it wealth and by extension we can call it financial wealth, then yes, it is the highest price there is. The problem with the city of London is that if you are somewhat intellectually gifted and come from a privileged British background of Oxford and Cambridge, you really only have the choice of making money in the city of London, or joining a branch of the national service, like the secret service or the army.

The difference I saw over and over again between myself and those who followed the other path in my cohort was the principle that neither group doubted that the real power in the world was owning capital. It's just a matter of whether you want to be an intelligence officer informing the city of London or whether you want to be part of the process that generates money. There is no higher ideal than that in the American model.

45:08 Reiner Fuellmich

Okay, thank you very much. I have no further questions. Thank you. Any questions from Ana or Virginia? Or Dipali?

45:22 Ana Garner



Oh no, I think it was really good. The only question I would like to ask is how do you explain that? You mentioned several things like the copy-writings, the copywriting of the human mind, perhaps even genetics. Do you think that there is a link between the current vaxxins, the so-called vaxxins of

pfizer, moderna, janssen and astrazeneca: do you think there is a relationship between them and this goal of copywriting humans?

45:53 Alex Thomson

I firmly believe that I am not qualified from a medical or biotechnological point of view to explain how much truth there may be in this. But I have seen time and time again that when there is a height and when there is a pseudo-theological belief among the elite in Britain and America that a certain goal can be achieved by doing a certain trick, for example, by modifying a gene and copyrighting the human body, that is motivation enough in itself to fuel a serious attempt to go in that direction. I know that when Debi Evans speaks in Brian Gerrish's slot later tonight, she will talk about the idea that gene editing will de facto allow for the number of souls and bodies of humanity that are involved to be copied in a sneaky way, so that they will no longer be under the protection of the Creator.

Ana Garner

Thank you. Thank you.

46:48 Reiner Fuellmich

Okay, that concludes Alex Thomson's testimony. We will now hear from Matthew Ehret.

47:00 Matthew Ehret



Thank you, this presentation exceeded my expectations. It sets the tone for passing the torch to me. I just want to come back to one point, which is that it doesn't matter what the oligarchy wants,

legally or officially, the claim to possess the soul or body and freedom of people has no relation to reality. There is a higher natural law than the law they wish to impose on the universe. This is part of the problem with ivory tower thinkers. They always want the universe to obey their mathematical models. They go into a rage when they discover that the universe is much more creative and much less linear than they want it to be. So it's a kind of God complex, which is ultimately the downfall of empires in history, whenever you see the oligarchy self-cannibalize and collapse under its own contradictions.

It's a natural thing, it has to happen that way. The question is whether we are willing to tolerate this level of insanity and immorality, to the point of going down with it.

It's the same challenge for every generation. It's not new. Obviously, we're at the end of the system. I'm going to do something a little different. Originally, I was going to talk more about eugenics. Now I understand that on February 26 we are going to focus much more on eugenics.

So I won't do that. I will continue the theme that Alex raised, but I will do so by dealing with the current situation first for about eight minutes just to get the point across about the British stranglehold in current world affairs.

Let's get into the details with a little one-minute video of Justin Trudeau here in Canada, where you see this shadow, a shadow that has been imposed on the people to carry out a policy that didn't really come from him. I think everybody recognizes that he's not really in charge.

He's kind of a young version of Biden. His whole life has been kind of manipulated. The question is, if this guy is too much like a Ken doll, with no brain or soul to make decisions, then what is the power behind the pseudo throne?

So I'll start with a video. Then I'll go back to the 19th century, focusing a little bit more on Canada. Just because it's something that's current with what's going on in Ottawa right now.

Then we'll continue on to the post-war battles to see how this thing metamorphosed and recalibrated after World War II. So, we're going to do this in a summary fashion. I'll try not to oversimplify.

Obviously, this is a complex issue and I will try to resituate what must be understood by being rigorous. The first thing is the promised video. It lasts about a minute and a half.

Reiner Fullmich

[I see it.](#)

50:21 Matthew Ehret

"I, Justin P.J. Trudeau, do swear allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Queen of Canada, her heirs and successors, so help me God. I, Justin P.J. Trudeau, do solemnly and sincerely swear that I will be a faithful and loyal servant of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, as a member of Her Majesty's Privy Council for Canada. I will faithfully, honestly and truly state my mind and opinion on all matters to be transacted, debated and resolved in the Privy Council. I will keep secret all matters entrusted to me and revealed in that capacity, or dealt with secretly in Council. In general, I will do in all things what a faithful and true servant should do for his majesty, with the help of God. I, Justin P. J. Trudeau, I do solemnly and sincerely promise and swear that I will truly and faithfully, to the best of my ability and knowledge, exercise the powers and trust vested in me as Prime Minister, so help me God." ²⁰

It's pretty confusing for people who saw this in 2017. It's not the kind of thing you'd expect from a supposedly Democratic head of state when he takes his oath of office after an election.

Again, Trudeau is not really the head of state, as we have seen. He is both a member of the Privy Council, which is necessary to hold a ministerial position, in government or in opposition.

The real head of state is the Governor General, the older man who stands beside him and is the person appointed to carry out the powers and authority of the Crown to give Royal Assent to any legislation that becomes law in Canada.

You have lieutenant governors, positioned in each province. You have a Privy Council Office. You have all this weird Byzantine structure over the apparent public aspect of our so-called democracy in this northern monarchy, which is, again, very confusing to a lot of people.

Let's dig a little deeper into what this is all about. What is this anomaly and what is it part of internationally? How did it come about?

20 Act for the Union of Canada, 1867 (French) : [here](#)

So I've prepared a series of slides. Just remember that Canada is, after all, part of the British Commonwealth. It's something that was created in the late 1930s to prepare, so to speak, for the transformation of the external image of the British Empire.

Today, there are about 54 countries in the British Commonwealth, the center of which is the United Kingdom. The Queen of England is the head. This entity occupies a territory of about 12.2 million square miles, 2.4 billion people are represented in this territory, which is 21% of the world's area.



People celebrate this thing as if it were a democratic institution. It's kind of strange. What is this thing? Also, if you look at a lot of these territories, a lot of it is in the Caribbean, the Latin American areas are not really involved, but a lot of the Caribbean is. A lot of Africa: there are 19 African nations in sub-Saharan Africa. There are eight Asian nations, India being the largest. Canada, Australia, New Zealand, obviously, the Five Eyes²¹ minus the United States.

But let's compare this to the old British Empire. This is a screenshot of the 1920 map. It's pretty similar, isn't it?



Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom and the United States: [here](#)

22 Crown Agents : [here](#)

People think: *"The British Empire simply disappeared after the Second World War. It left its territories free. Now the empire is the big bad American empire."* That's the mythology that's been handed down to us. It is a mythology. As Alex explained, very concisely, the real power that controls the fifth column inside the United States, which has always been there since 1776, has always been centralized in London.

We will develop this point a little more. But it has never gone away. No such empire ever voluntarily gives freedom. Freedom is something you fight for. In a few words, the question of current mining interests, it's not something that happened in the 1880s-1890s with the land grab in Africa and Cecil Rhodes' creation of De Beers and Lonrho and other mining interests.

This is a report from 2016. It's an incredible report by a non-profit organization that did audits on British interests. These interests control mining in Africa with headquarters either in the UK or in the Commonwealth territories, listed on the London Stock Exchange. I've added a little quote here, this is a new British colonialism fighting for African energy and mining resources. It says: *"101 companies listed on the London Stock Exchange (most of them British) own mining operations in 37 sub-Saharan African countries. Together they control more than \$1 trillion of Africa's most valuable resources. The British government has used its power and influence to ensure that British mining companies have access to Africa's raw materials. This was the case during the colonial period and it is still the case today."*

This report is available free online in PDF format. I don't go into detail. We're talking about over 70% of the mining interests, which also includes the refining of materials by companies that are in British-controlled territories. What is the infrastructure that makes this possible? A lot of people don't know that it's an organization affiliated with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the World Bank, called crown agents²².

to the alliance of the intelligence services of Australia,

It was created in 1833, according to its official self-description, as an emanation of the crown. It is not part of the government, but its authority comes from the source of all honors, the crown itself, which is how this sort of Byzantine structure of shadow government is formed.

There is a kind of hierarchy of authority. It does not come from the consent of the governed. It comes from the singular ruler, the crown, whoever it is, as a hereditary institution. So this organization was created in 1833 as a branch of the British Colonial Office to manage the physical infrastructure, among other things, of the international colonies of the empire. It changed its name several times over the years and in 1996 was renamed *the Crown Agents for the Administration of Foreign Governments*.

It also manages the health infrastructure, including covid protocols in Eastern Europe, especially in Ukraine. It manages many African countries, South Sudan, Burma. It deals with governance. It helps these countries to adapt their governance mechanisms to the standards of the World Bank and the IMF. It has been there and doing that for a very long time, which is very strange. Again, they call themselves agents of the crown; it is not me who slanders them or calls them that. This has been going on, as I said, for a long, long time. So that's one aspect of this thing in terms of maintaining the shadow empire.

As far as this system run by the Commonwealth and the city of London is concerned, the Cayman Islands and the offshore banks are the center. There is a wonderful documentary that people can watch called *The Spider's web: Britain's Second empire*, which is even available on youtube. It deals with the subject in a pleasant way and it clearly shows that internationally, 24% of financial services go through a large number of tax havens in the Cayman Islands, the Caribbean and other British-controlled offshore tax havens.

It is also the world's center for drug money laundering and terrorist financing. People say, "*Drugs are just a natural scourge of our society. Terrorism. It's just a natural thing that happened.*" In reality it's not, it's very artificial. It's not like that.

that human society produces these sociological plagues. They are created diseases, of geopolitical nature, not even of religious nature cultivated from the top.

This is a 2012 Senate report conducted over a long period of time by the recently deceased Senator Carl Levin called "*U.S. Money Laundering, Drug, and Terrorist Financing Vulnerabilities - The hsbc Affair*" in which it was revealed that hsbc was the number one offshore bank in the world for money laundering.

As Alex pointed out, the hsbc was created in 1865 to implement or manage the opium trade, to destroy China (it never stopped). They were found guilty. They got slapped with a \$1.9 billion fine. Then they were allowed to appoint their own auditor, who sat for five years.

As far as I know, they are still doing what they have always done. They also have a huge stake in Air Canada. Anyone who flies on Air Canada will see hsbc signs everywhere. It's a huge infrastructure as part of the silver triangle that went through the 20th century. You have the picture of the Queen next to Coutts.

This is the Queen's personal bank, which was also convicted in 2012 of drug money laundering. It paid its small fine of \$10 million, I believe, and the bad publicity caused the offshore accounts responsible for the bankruptcy that were doing the laundering to be sold to the Royal Bank of Canada, which is currently doing the same operations. And that's it.

Africa, in addition to the \$177 billion in debt that holds it hostage, has about \$944 billion in revenues from wealth extraction sitting in British offshore accounts. So it is not a debtor nation, but a creditor nation in a big way. That is a story in itself. The City of London, as Alex pointed out, is a separate entity. Even the British government can't really do much legally to stop it. They have their own courts, their own police. It's a bizarre structure.

I just wanted to add this. I didn't even talk about the Iraq war, the dubious files created by British intelligence that helped justify the bombing of Iraq, Libya too (that was more like mi6 intelligence, I didn't talk about that. I didn't talk about the dubious files on chemical weapons in Syria, which Assad has never been proven to have used. They have been used to justify the sanctions and the regime change they have been trying to impose for seven years. I didn't mention that.

All I have to say is that it's everywhere. The British hand is everywhere, as soon as you dig a little bit. Even at the level of dubious dossiers to try to make Putin look like the great enemy who would control Trump. These dubious files were brought to us by people like Sir Richard Dearlove²³, the man who was responsible for the dubious yellowcake [uranium] deal in the Iraq war. It was always a lie, the Chilcot Commission report proved it.

The issue of Rhodes scholars, people like Strobe Talbott²⁴, who is a Rhodes scholar, who came in with Clinton, who has been running Brookings for a very long time. He was also behind russiagate along with many other Rhodes scholars who are currently running the Biden administration, like Jake Sullivan, Susan Rice, Eric Lander. Science are Rhodes scholars. They're just everywhere. And I'm not going to go into that.

Now for some historical context. I am Canadian. So Justin Trudeau's question, I hope it's still imprinted in people's minds: what is this? The Privy Council Office... unlike the Constitution or the Declaration of the United States, Canada was founded in 1867. The original conference with our Founding Fathers was not the result of a freedom struggle, unlike the United States, but a gathering of British loyalists, anti-republicans, who were all like our Father

founder, who is there in the painting. Johnny McDonald was an Aryan patriot who wanted an Aryan Canada and who said, *"I was born British and I will die British*. He was an immoral, disgusting scumbag.

These are the people who are celebrated as our sacred cows that we in Canada are supposed to honour. Now, unlike the United States - which enshrines the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness in the Declaration of Independence, as well as the idea of the general welfare principle, today and for posterity, in the Constitution (the Canadian founding document literally says: *"Whereas the Provinces of Canada, [then four in number] have expressed their desire to be united federally in one Dominion under the Crown of the United Kingdom and Great Britain and Ireland, with a constitution similar in principle to that of the United Kingdom. "which is in itself to some extent a fraud since the United Kingdom does not really have a constitution and is therefore a kind of shadow mirror image. "Whereas such a union would contribute to the prosperity of these provinces and promote the interests of the British Empire."*



So our famous preamble is to promote the interests of the British Empire. That's what we were created for. We were also created by this conference that was held three years earlier, in 1864, when the Civil War was coming to an end, but it was still going on. The British had devoted a lot of resources to breaking up the Union, as I have explained in previous presentations. I have also discussed this in my books *Clash of the Two Americas* and *The Untold History of Canada*.

-
- 23 Public inquiry into the UK's role in the Iraq war (Chilcot Report) which accuses Richard Dearlove, former director of mi-6 of having provided unverified information on alleged weapons of mass destruction: [here](#)
- 24 Strobe Talbott Quotes:
"All countries are in essence social arrangements, adaptations to changing circumstances. However permanent and even sacred they may seem at any given time, they are in fact all artificial and temporary."
"But it took the events of our century, wonderful and terrible, to confirm the argument for world government."
Strobe Talbott, *America Abroad: The Birth of the Global Nation* Time, 1992 : [here](#)

What frightened the British, as I show, was that Canada had pro-Lincoln statesmen in leadership positions who were fighting to create an independent country at that time. There were also people who were working to create an American zollverein [customs union] with Canada and the U.S. together in a customs union based on industrial development, with a type of policy, not like America today, but a policy different from the Lincoln-McKinley orientation based on real long-term thinking where human beings were seen as a creature that money was to serve by investing in large-scale infrastructure, in science and technology, but also by working abroad with Germany, which was doing the same thing under Otto von Bismarck, with Russia, which had just sold the territory of Alaska to the United States with the intention of building a railroad across the continent to Eurasia.

It was therefore considered a vital territory that should remain under the control of the British Foreign Office. This Constitution was then drafted.

Lincoln's allies were ousted from power and the Constitution was kept as a bulwark against the danger of U.S.-Russian collaboration. Except that an admirer of Lincoln became prime minister at one point, Wilfrid Laurier. In 1911 he organized the creation of a customs union. All the bills were passed. They were about to come into force.



"Canada is now governed by a junta sitting at London, known as 'The Round Table', with ramifications in Toronto, in Winnipeg, in Victoria, with Tories and Grits receiving their ideas from London and insidiously forcing them on their respective parties."

• Prime Minister Wilfrid Laurier

Unfortunately, he was ousted in a coup d'etat orchestrated by the Round Table and certain Orangemen Freemasons who have the Queen and Crown at the head of these various free Masonic formations. I wrote an article that I published on the Canadian Patriot website that includes these details.

Just two years later, Wilfrid Laurier wrote to his close ally Oscar Douglas Skelton that: *"Canada is now governed by a junta based in London, known as the 'round table', with*

ramifications in Toronto, Winnipeg, Victoria, with the Tories (Conservatives) and Grits (Liberals) receiving their ideas from London and insidiously imposing them on their respective parties."

This was a direct admission by the man himself. His plan was to make Canada, within a generation, a Lincoln-like nation with a population of 60 million, based on electrification and large-scale industrialization (all of which was ousted, finished, and the Round Table took over).

Robert Borden, his replacement, was a member of the Round Table who came to control Canada's Chatham House from its inception as its first president.

In 1918, the Round Table had already initiated a takeover of the British government. They had ousted Herbert Asquith from the Labour government in 1916, not that he was a great guy, but they really wanted to have total control over the terms of the Treaty of Versailles and the end of the First World War.

One of the problems was that they needed the United States, needed the power of the United States behind them and that was always the goal of Cecil Rhodes' design.



Click to add title

"There is a fundamentally different concept in regard to this question between Great Britain and the United States, as to the necessity of civilized control over politically backward peoples.... The inhabitants of Africa and parts of Asia have proved unable to govern themselves.... Yet America not only has no conception of this aspect of the problem but has been led to believe that the assumption of this kind of responsibility is iniquitous imperialism."

• -Lord Lothian, 1918

Lord Lothian, who was a prominent member of the Round Table at the time and ambassador to the United States, wrote [his other name was Philip Kerr, they always have names that sound like Star Wars villains] that the problem of the American psyche that needed to be addressed was this: *"There is a fundamentally different concept with respect to the question between Britain and the United States as to the need for civilized control over politically backward peoples...The people of Africa and parts of Asia have proved themselves incapable of governing themselves... Yet America not only has no concept of this aspect of the problem, but has been led to believe that taking on this kind of responsibility is a matter of..."*, I can't say that word, *"...iniquitous imperialism."*

That's a problem, right? Americans would have this problem that they don't understand that there is a responsibility that falls on the white man, that they have to impose, because they are just scientifically better than the darker skinned people, morally and scientifically an Anglo-American control over the backward peoples and they don't understand it. That was a problem. Not that there were no Americans who understood it [this is again part of the American deep state problem that I mentioned, that Alex touched on a little bit].

What has happened? So there have been several attempts at new world orders. What we see today is not new. I have alluded to it in previous presentations.

Three Failed New World Orders

- 1919: Imperial Federation and League of Nations Fail
- 1933: League of Nations World Government Fail
- 1944: Bank of England-led World Government Fail

"They who seek to establish systems of government based on the enregimentation of all human beings by a handful of individual rulers... call this a new order. It is not new and it is not order."
-Franklin Roosevelt

In 1919 you had the creation of Chatham House, you had the creation of Versailles, the society of nations, all orchestrated by Lord Milner who at that time was a leading figure controlling British foreign policy along with many other members of the round table.

The idea of the society of nations was to create a collective security pact, Article 10, to get rid of national sovereignty over economic and military matters and to effectively create a single world government. Part of that was also part of the imperial federation, much like the European Union. In short, this is what they wanted for the world.

Why did it fail? Because there were people in Canada, the Liberals had gone back to the 1920s, who resisted and the Irish Free State movements who resisted as well. People like Warren Harding, who was assassinated [I say assassinated, I've never seen evidence to the contrary, the American president died after eating poisoned oysters]. The fact is that nationalists resisted and did not

succumbed to that pressure at the time. So it ran out of steam and they tried again. In 1933, there was the international bankers' conference in London, centered on the Bank for International Settlements, the Bank of England and 66 nations participated.



Click to add title

"You know, any number of times the men in the State Department have tried to conceal messages to me, delay them, hold them up somehow; just because some of those career diplomats over there aren't in accord with what they know I think. They should be working for Winston. As a matter of fact, a lot of the time, they are [working for Churchill]. Stop to think of 'em; any number of 'em are convinced that the way for America to conduct its foreign policy is to find out what the British are doing and then copy that!" I was told... six years ago, to clean out that State Department. It's like the British Foreign Office..."

- -Franklin Roosevelt to Elliot Roosevelt, 1944

The idea was that the Great Depression would be solved by transferring economic sovereignty from the nation-states to an officially essential banking codification under the Bank of England. The only reason why, after six months, this failed was that Franklin Roosevelt²⁵ withdrew the American delegations from all participation and everything fell apart. I discussed this in detail in chapter seven of my book *Clash of the Two Americas*.

Then there was another attempt in 1944. Again, Roosevelt had not yet died. John Maynard Keynes was appointed this time to represent the British Empire at the Bretton Woods conference with the idea of a single world currency managed by the Bank of England called the "bancor,"²⁶ an international exchange rate that would once again effectively be a single world currency, imagining that the Americans, who had emerged from World War II as the only country intact, would be the driving force or the executors of an Anglo-American reconquest of the nations of the world, many of whom had fought in the war and many of whom had ideas of independence and freedom alive in their hearts.

That was not acceptable. I have a little quote from Franklin Roosevelt, which I like very much, in which he says that *"Those who seek to establish systems of government based on the enregimentation of all human beings by a handful of individual rulers call it a new order. It is not new and it is not an order."* That was a very strong quote.

25 Quote from Franklin: "Those who seek to establish systems of government based on the enregimentation of all human beings by a handful of individual rulers...call it a new order. It is not new and it is not order." : [here](#)

26 Single world currency proposed by Keynes (bancor) : [here](#) and [here](#)



So, to pick up a little bit where Alex left off, there's a book called "*As He Saw It*," written in 1946 by Roosevelt's son, Elliott and his assistant. In it, he traces a lot of the struggles between Roosevelt and the Churchill gang who were always trying to drag the United States into a controlling brotherhood, Cecil Rhodes style, five eyes style, which was already happening since the black chamber²⁷ became the nsa in 1930, which became more and more integrated into the British five eyes system, which was always Cecil Rhodes's will.

In this book...it's a great book. People can find it online; they could buy it; they should buy it. It's on archive.org. I use it a lot. In 1944 after a conflict with Churchill, at the Tehran conference I think, I'm not too sure which conference.

He addresses Elliott, saying, "*You know, many times the men in the State Department have tried to hide messages from me, delay them, hold them back isome way, just because some of these career diplomats don't agree with what they know, I think. They should be working for Winston. In fact, most of the time they work [for Churchill].*"

When you think about it, a number of them are convinced that the way America should conduct its foreign policy is to find out what the British are doing and copy it! I was told ... six years ago, to clean up the State Department. It's like the British foreign office."

So the office of strategic services, oss, had not been cleaned up and oss had a lot of problems, but there were still a lot of patriots and nationalists embedded in U.S. intelligence within oss who were a problem for those who

who were trying to take over most of the State Department at that time.

In Elliott's book, there's another fascinating conflict between him and Churchill that was highlighted about what the postwar era would be like, what kind of operating principles would govern it, where Roosevelt's vision for the greening of African deserts, the extension of the Tennessee valley authority, the rural electrification projects that would lift people out of poverty and marginalization in the United States, that would be extended through large-scale international loans to help other countries have their own industrial programs, their own Tennessee valley authorities, and to really extend the four freedoms principle to the whole world, that we're not supposed to just pay lip service, but we've got to actually be active.

That's why Bretton Woods, the Keynesian team, lost. Harry Dexter White became the first director of the IMF [he too died under mysterious circumstances]. The U.S. delegation under his leadership won and made sure that even China, India, Africa, South America, Russia would all participate in the reception of the Tennessee valley authority projects, all approved by the U.S. delegation.

The British delegation opposed all these projects. At that time, when Roosevelt had a Russia-China-US alliance as his base, Russia originally subscribed to the IMF to the tune of one billion dollars, before the Iron Curtain forced it out.


All of this is to say that I'm rambling, but in this little excerpt I selected, he describes the evening, talking with Elliott after confronting Churchill, saying, "*I'm talking about another war. I'm talking about what will happen to our world if, after this war, we allow millions of people to fall back into the same semi-slavery!*"

Don't think for a moment, Elliott, that the Americans would be dying in the Pacific tonight, if it weren't for the short-sighted greed of the French, British and Dutch. Should we let them do it, again? Your son will be about the right age in fifteen or

²⁷ The black chamber, also known as the cipher bureau, was the first peacetime cryptanalysis facility in the United States and an indirect precursor to the National Security Agency.

twenty years. One sentence, Elliott. Then I'll kick you out. I'm tired. It is this sentence: when we have won the war, I will do my utmost to see that the United States is not forced to accept a plan which will serve the imperialist ambitions of France or which will aid or abet the British Empire in its imperial ambitions."

That's when the tragedy happens. Wallace, it's a long story, but Wallace is replaced by Harry Truman, who is sort of the prototype of George Bush, the young Anglophile of the bankers. And he's appointed the new vice president. So Wallace was the vice president who was completely in line with Roosevelt's vision.




Roosevelt died on April 12; no autopsy was ever performed. Immediately during the month, nuclear bombs were dropped on a Japan defeated by Truman. On September 20, the oss, the American intelligence agency, was dissolved. A massive purge begins. If anyone knew of the Wall Street and London financiers behind the rise of fascism and eugenics, there were many reports of them, they were all banished within a year.


At that point, the talk of the Iron Curtain is launched and people say, *"It was the Americans who created the Iron Curtain, who made Russia and China their enemies."* No.

It was Winston Churchill who came to the United States, stayed at the White House for an extended period of time, and gave his speech where he said: *"Neither the prevention of war on the shore nor the continued growth of world organization will be won without what I have*

called the fraternal association of English-speaking peoples. This means a special relationship between the Commonwealth and the British Empire and the United States."



Henry Wallace, just before he was sacked, was Secretary of Commerce and fought against this madness that brainwashed Americans into paranoid mobs, afraid of infiltration and conspiracy.



McCarthyism was a real atrocity run by the FBI as a dictatorship, which it was.


"The United States had become a dictatorship under the fbi, [he says], fascism [he warns] in the postwar period will inevitably push toward Anglo-Saxon imperialism and ultimately toward war with Russia. Already, American fascists are discussing and writing about this conflict and using it as an excuse for their internal hatreds and intolerances of certain races, creeds and classes."

Of course, there is a total racist backlash, again, under J. Edgar Hoover [a 33rd degree Freemason who ran the fbi for seven U.S. presidencies] who supported the rise of racism, the dismantling of civil liberties for African Americans among other things, but also the coordination with the cia which is soon reconstituted to create a new management system much more in line with British foreign policy. Activities such as mk ultra²⁸, which originally used an elaborate science

²⁸ The mk-ultra project is the code name for an illegal human experimentation program designed and undertaken by the U.S. cia. The purpose of the experiments was to develop procedures and identify drugs such as LSD that could be used in interrogations to weaken individuals and force them to confess through brainwashing and psychological torture.

by Tavistock²⁹, the psychological warfare branch of the British secret service, the cointelpro³⁰ infiltration that also mirrored operation gladio³¹ in Europe.

All of these things were deployed and justified by the terms and conditions of the era of *"mutually assured destruction"*.



So it goes on. Wallace is dismissed after delivering his speech. The Truman Doctrine is announced, once again. Who is the main organizer of the Truman Doctrine? One of the main ones is George McGee, a Rhodes Scholar. The CIA was created in September 1947.

It is then a kind of new intelligence agency reconstituted and cleaned up. Harry Dexter White dies. The fmi is hijacked because he was part of Roosevelt's 1948 team fighting to get Wallace elected as the Progressive Party leader in the '48 election. You will find many great patriots of the United States who died or had their careers destroyed in this network.

Then there's that famous National Security Council (nsc) memorandum of July 1950 to *"save the British Empire."* I'm not kidding. It is literally a memorandum issued under the logic that if the British weaken their imperial economic interests, then the Soviets will take and fill that space.

The interest of American foreign policy must be to preserve British interests abroad. This is where the IMF and World Bank have been increasingly reshaped to use the

economic colonialism wherever necessary. If you can't prevent a nation's political independence, at least sabotage its economic independence.



An interesting figure is Clement Atlee, the post-World War II prime minister, who stated forcefully: *"We have seen time and again that there is a power other than that which has its seat in Westminster. The City of London, a convenient term for a collection of financial interests, is in a position to oppose the government of the country. Those who control the money can pursue domestic and foreign policy contrary to that decided by the people."*

Again, you have even British people... so it's not the British government, the British people are also victims, as well as many personalities within the British government, of this power over the visible official branches of government.

Throughout the Cold War and again, if you can't understand the architecture of the Cold War, the issue of mutual destruction, asymmetric warfare, the doctrine of game theory, the application of systems analysis to deal with geopolitical reversals of governments, things like the Vietnam War...

If you don't take into account people like Dean Rusk, a Rhodes Scholar, Walt Whitman Rostow, who ran the nsa for three years, who was a Rhodes Scholar at Balliol, Escott Reid, who was the architect of nato to deprive Russia of any

-
- 29 In the 1950s, John Rawlings Rees Tavistock began research into mind control through the use of weaponized microwaves. He played a key role in the creation of the oss, precursor of the cia, under the direction of Kurt Lewin and Allen Dulles, in the formation of nato and is linked to the Club of Rome.
- 30 cointelpro (1956-1971- counter intelligence program) was a counterintelligence program of the fbi under its director J. Edgar Hoover, whose purpose was to investigate and disrupt the activities of dissident political organizations in the United States. The FBI and the U.S. government have long denied its existence.
- 31 gladio refers to the Italian network, the secret army, a structure created under the aegis of the Minister of the Interior, Mario Scelba in the aftermath of the Second World War to counter the threat of Soviet invasion.

influence on military affairs in the Security Council. Nato was Escott Reid, Rhodes Scholar, William Fulbright, Rhodes Scholar. There's so much overlap. Again, you can't really understand what this thing is that JFK was pushing back and trying to fight...



What was the thing that Eisenhower³² was warning against in his speech on the military-industrial complex? You can't understand that unless you look at these ideologues who have been infiltrated for decades. There have been 3,000 of them so far in the 20th century who have been trained in the halls of Oxford. Not that they are all bad.

I think Kristoffer Kristofferson is a decent actor. Maybe his movie choices are not so good sometimes. I don't think he's a bad person. Although he is a Rhodes Scholar. So you'll find that you can't be guilty by association, but you can't understand anything if you don't understand this very controlled and centralized hive.

It also coordinates with the American roundtable movement, which is the council on foreign relations. What Hillary Clinton called "*the mothership*"³³ in a 2011 speech has been the case since 1921, the British Roundtable in America.



Even people that you consider great American geopolitical designers like Kissinger, Zbigniew Brzezinski,³⁴ Samuel P. Huntington [the clash of civilizations],³⁵ a Canadian, Pierre Trudeau, who instituted his own martial law in 1970³⁶ and really reorganized the whole government into a cybernetic technocratic system.

They were all trained by William Yandell Elliott at Harvard, who ran a facility that some called the Harvard Chatham House. William Yandell Elliott was one of those people who liked to have talented young sociopathic boys around him. He trained generations of these geopoliticians who are prepared.

It's like a talent search, as Rhodes describes it, that's exactly what they did and then they were placed in positions of ideological authority to carry out a policy that they weren't the originators of per se, but they were put in a position to carry out.



There have been objections. I just want to recall the dissenting voices, because it's not as if they were divine creatures, right? There were real human beings, real statesmen, especially in the 1960s.

You have Enrico Mattei, the Italian industrialist. You have Dag Hammarskjöld, the secretary general of the UN, who had a great program to put an end to imperialism and to promote industrial development in various countries, notably in South Africa. Charles de Gaulle had avoided 30 assassination attempts. John F. Kennedy, of course. Patrice Lumumba.

³² Eisenhower's speech on the influence of the military-industrial complex (1960) : [here](#)

³³ Hillary Clinton claims that the CFR is the "mother ship" and that it advises the US government (2010): [here](#)

³⁴ Zbigniew Brzezinski, the great man of American diplomacy since 1977 : [here](#)

³⁵ The Clash of Civilizations is the title of a political analysis essay written by the American Samuel Huntington, professor at Harvard, published in 1996 and translated into French in 1997. Very controversial since its publication : [here](#)


³⁶ Laws on war measures invoked by Pierre Elliott Trudeau: [here](#) and [here](#)

I haven't listed here all of those who were assassinated or overthrown by cia and mi6-led coups. But all of this is to say that it was a period of major potential where the common theme was cooperation and breaking away from mathematical methods of governance, introducing new technologies, new discoveries that were not monopolized and doing so by seeking win-win cooperation, points of common interest.


That is why JFK offered the Russians the possibility of working with the United States on a joint space program. This would allow us to break away from this mode of governance based on the mathematical balance of terror and to free ourselves from it.

I'll finish with that, I think I have three or four minutes left.

So after the era of assassinations, in the 1960s, again very parallel to what happened after the 1890s until World War I, the era of total assassinations and colorful revolutionary coups, the stage was now set for a complete economic recolonization of the United States, in particular.



The goal has always been to regain control of the United States. Several things happen. Kissinger is a key figure in most of these events. You have the creation in January 1971 of the Inter-Alpha Group³⁷, which was created according to the plan of Lord Jacob Rothschild, who ran N M Rothschild & sons, but who was also a major banking financial interest as part of a mercenary dynasty since the 1700s.



Thus, the inter-alpha group of banks was a coterie, there is a picture here, of the member banks with the main banks set up in each of the targeted European countries to promote a new doctrine of deregulation, of centralization of power away from the nation-states, especially in Europe and its transfer to the private supranational coterie of corporate and financial interests above national authorities.

I don't have time to go into details. This group was founded in 1971. It has since grown in number from its original six founders. Many of these banks were all linked to financial activities supporting the rise of fascism, whether it was Franco, Mussolini or Hitler and more recently, money laundering. Then, in the same month, the World Economic Forum was founded by one of Kissinger's prodigies, whom we all know and despise, Klaus Schwab.

In addition, one of the co-founders was Maurice Strong [a Canadian oligarch who was recruited by the Rockefellers and was a co-founder of the Canadian Club of Rome, a major player along with Prince Philip³⁸, who was the one who wished to be reincarnated as a deadly virus] who headed the World Economic Forum. In my presentation on February 26, we will go into detail on this subject. So, Maurice Strong, another figure who is a co-founder and an inspiration to Klaus Schwab.

Then you have the big deal of August 15, 1971, when the U.S. dollar became floating. Kissinger and Schultz, at the head of the Nixon administration, orchestrate the removal of the dollar from the gold reserve, the gold exchange system or the rate system

37 Inter-alpha group of banks : [archive website](#) and John Hoefle, The Inter-alpha group, Nation-killers for Imperial Genocide, EIR Economics, Vol. 37, n°36, 2010 : [here](#)

38 Quote from Prince Philip in 1988: "If I am reincarnated, I would like to come back as a deadly virus, to help solve the overpopulation problem."
Z. Ntim, Prince Philip quotes about reincarnating as a deadly virus to solve 'overpopulation' resurfaces, MSN/Business Insider, 2021: [here](#)

fixed exchange rate, which prevented speculation in currencies and commodities. As long as there was no speculation, it was difficult to wage the kind of economic warfare against nations that were trying to develop their infrastructure and their industrial base, which has always been, even going back to the 19th century, a tool used by the empire to keep nations destabilized, this economic warfare. The fixed exchange rate had to go. It guaranteed too much stability.

You could think about long-term projects, 5 or 20 years, when you had relative currency stability. That was reflected in the floating markets. So all of a sudden the markets became the determining factor in the value of the dollar, which became increasingly linked, again under Kissinger, to the price of oil on the spot markets.

All of a sudden, some chaos was created. You couldn't really build, maintain or improve your infrastructure anymore, that's that capital intensive part of your economy that you should always have as the basis of your economic value in the system, that atrophied.


Increasingly, the era of deregulation and speculation has taken hold. I have mentioned here, for good measure, the Trilateral Commission³⁹, founded in 1973 by Brzezinski, Kissinger and David Rockefeller.

Again, the influence of the Council on Foreign Relations, which is again the Round Table movement, is still there, as is the Bilderberg Group, which is still there.

A lot of these figures overlap with this other entity that I'll talk about in more detail on February 26. So, here's what takes over under Carter, the Trilateral Commission. Almost all of Carter's cabinet members are members of the Trilateral Commission.

People like Paul Volcker, who became the president of the Fed, called for a controlled disintegration of the American economy in 1979, i.e., a "crisis of the economy".

say that interest rates are raised to 20% or more for two years, destroying small and medium-sized businesses and leaving only those multinational behemoths able to survive and thrive and get swallowed up by mergers and acquisitions.



"The British were so matter-of-factly helpful that they became a participant in internal American deliberations, to a degree probably never practiced between sovereign nations... In my White House incarnation then, I kept the British Foreign Office better informed and more closely engaged than I did the American State Department... It was symptomatic".

-Henry Kissinger, May 10, 1981 speech at Chatham House UK

Henry Kissinger gave a speech at that time at Chatham House in the United Kingdom, describing the difference between Churchill's and Roosevelt's views on the postwar period and describing [people can read it, the full speech is in the appendix of my second volume] how he preferred Churchill's way of conceiving geopolitics to Roosevelt's, which he considered obsolete and incompatible with reality.

Kissinger also describes his time as Secretary of State under Nixon, where he says, *"In fact, the British were so helpful that they were involved in internal American deliberations to a degree probably never practiced between sovereign nations... During my time in the White House, I kept the British Foreign Office better informed and more closely involved than the U.S. State Department... It was symptomatic."* A total admission⁴⁰.

They don't even hide it by saying *"no, that's not exactly right"*. No, they just admit it. They just assume that we are too stupid to relate words to actions.

Lord Jacob Rothschild '83 gave a speech saying, *"Two great types of giant institutions, the global financial services company and the international commercial bank with global commercial competence may converge to form the ultimate, all-powerful, multi-headed financial conglomerate."* He refers to the collapse of the division of banking activities: trading, investment, trust, insurance.

39 Trilateral Commission: Investigation into the members of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission Michael Gama, Meetings at the top: when the men of power meet The Altiplano, March 20, 2007: [here](#)

40 Quote from Kissinger, Henry A. Kissinger, Reflections on a Partnership: British and American Attitudes to Postwar Foreign Policy, International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) Vol. 58, No. 4 (Autumn, 1982), pp. 571-587 (17 pages), Oxford University Press, available on the CIA website: [\(Page 8 of the book, 11 of the PDF\)](#) and [here](#)

All of these activities had previously, under Roosevelt, been designed in separate compartments so that you couldn't speculate with people's savings. You couldn't do it legally. He was talking about getting rid of those compartments so that you could create a new kind of universal bank that does everything, what we might call today *"too big to fail"*.

This was originally done in Britain under Margaret Thatcher's Big Bang⁴¹, where the first wave of universal banking was created in London, giving it even more control than before.

The Unipolar End of History

- 1986: Big Bang
- 1987: Near total collapse- Derivatives normalized
- 1992: Maastricht Treaty
- 1992: Soviet Union Dissolves- Shock Therapy begins
- 1993: NAFTA signed
- 1995: World Trade Organization founded
- 1999: Glass Steagall Destroyed- Enter TBTF

"We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order - When we are successful -- and we will be -- we have a real chance at this new world order"

— President George Bush Sr. 1990

A speculative bubble almost completely collapses, causing the New York stock market to collapse by 25%.

To avoid the collapse, Alan Greenspan is called in to help and immediately normalizes creative financial instruments, otherwise known as derivatives, which were previously mostly illegal.

These instruments were known as

"junk bonds", securitized debt that had no value, but was securitized anyway, and then invested in insurance that

were also securitized so that people could... [it's complex, but it's crazy]. It's not a way to create value. It became a kind of cancerous tumor that grew in the economy to the point that in 1992, when the Maastricht Treaty was signed [creating the European Union as a new consolidation to get rid of nation-states and the right of nations to issue their own credit and control it in Europe] there was about \$2 trillion in derivatives.

In the same year, the Soviet Union dissolved. We celebrate the end of history. George Bush said in 1990⁴² at the opening of the Kuwait war that:

"We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order, when we succeed, and we will succeed. We have a real opportunity with this new world order. So it was at this point that Margaret Thatcher boasted that she had hardened Bush's position, who was wavering on the issue of Operation Desert Storm."

Again, the idea was always the end of the nation-state. Finally, at the end of the Cold War, it's a unipolar era of what we might call today the neoliberal world order. The Soviet Union is totally privatized, destroyed, targeted for destruction supervised by Strobe Talbott, who is the leading man in the field, a Rhodes Scholar, working closely with the IMF. NAFTA⁴³ is signed to once again get rid of the powers of the nation-states in North America and to put the powers in the hands of private corporations before the nations. The World Trade Organization and then glass steagall⁴⁴: the separation between American banking and speculation is broken by Clinton, a Rhodes scholar, during his last term.

41 The term "Big Bang", used in reference to the sudden deregulation of financial markets, was coined to describe the measures taken by British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher in 1986, including the abolition of fixed commissions and the distinction between brokers and stockbrokers on the London Stock Exchange and the move from open window trading to electronic screen-based trading.

42 George H.W. Bush's speech on the New World Order on September 11, 1990

The other 9/11: George H.W. Bush New World Order speech, Dallas Morning News, 2017 : [here](#)

43 The North American Free Trade Agreement, known by the acronym nafta, is a treaty, which entered into force on January 1st, 1994, establishing a free trade area between the United States, Canada and Mexico, three North American countries, covering approximately 480 million people that is to be complemented around 2019 by the Canada-U.S.-Mexico agreement.

44 In 1933, after convincing Americans to take their savings back to the bank, President Franklin Delano Roosevelt signed the Glass-Steagall Act to ensure that banks would no longer take undue risks in the stock market and endanger popular savings in the event of a crash. Today, the situation is much worse than in 1933 because we are confronted, all over the world, with an orgy of speculation unprecedented in human history: the last thirty years have seen the creation of a whole panoply of opaque financial instruments on everything that is sold, including food.

From then on, the biggest cancer of derivatives appeared, growing from 2,000 billion in 1992 to 70,000 billion in 1999. That's equal to the global GDP of the United States.

Only ten years later, we see the complete deregulation of OTC derivatives, because the glass steagall is gone, too big to fail, to become bigger than God, or so they want us to believe, so we have to bail them out. If they go bankrupt, it's like a gun to the head.

By 2007, when the next collapse occurred, \$708 trillion in derivatives were weighing on the system, far exceeding the \$15 trillion GDP of the US.




Strobe Talbott in 1992 stated in his manifesto, *"All countries are fundamentally social arrangements... No matter how permanent or even sacred they may seem at any given time, in reality they are all artificial and temporary... Perhaps national sovereignty was not such a good idea after all... But it has taken the events of our own wonderful and terrible century to make the case for a world government."* From *Birth of a global Nation*.

To be clear, the financial services takeover and the collapse of the real economy: the real part that has value, that sustains life is now the trend. You have the intersection of what you have here, real estate rental, leasing, financial speculation overlapping in 1987 with the physical manufacturing base. This is just one of the many graphs put forward. A real economy only works if the financial side always serves to improve the real side: manufacturing, infrastructure, science. If the financial side does not provide this service, it is fake, it is a bubble and the bubble will burst. That's why the bubble that was created today and is bursting was a planned disintegration, which was always planned, since 1971.

The question is when the break will take place. The rupture has taken place. The question is why are China and Russia surrounded by the American and British armies, why are there all these psychological operations, why are there so many different kinds of cia-related operations to destroy and destabilize Eurasia right now?

I talked about it in my last presentation. It is well documented. What is going on? What are they afraid of?



I'll end with this last quote from Putin. People may feel a sense of rage when they see Putin's face because they have been fed a lot of propaganda in the media, I don't care.

In a recent speech, Putin said, *"Only sovereign states can effectively respond to the challenges of the times and the demands of citizens. Therefore, any effective international order should take into account the interests and capabilities of the state and proceed on that basis, not try to prove that they should not exist."*⁴⁵

Moreover, it is impossible to impose anything on anyone, whether it be the principles underlying the socio-political structure or the values that someone, for his or her own reasons, has called universal.

After all, it is clear that when a real crisis occurs, there is only one universal value left, namely human life, which each state decides to protect as best it can according to its capacities, culture and traditions.

I've gone over my time. I'm really sorry, but I wanted to present some key lessons from world history. And if there are any questions, if there is time for that, I would be happy to answer.

45 T. McDonough, The optimistic conservatism of Putin's Valdai address, Asia Times, 2021 : [here](#)

01:36:12 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you, Matthew. Let me check that I understood you correctly. The main point is that the British Empire has never ceased to exist. It's still there. Colonialism still exists, except it exists under a different name. It has never stopped trying to pull the United States back, but for some reason it hasn't really succeeded. Is this the result of all this, is this what we see with the idea of the deep state? Is the deep state this part of the country that is trying to reintroduce the United States into the Anglo-American system and the City of London?

01:37:11 Matthew Ehret

I have no problem with what you just said. Yes, I have no problem with that.

01:37:18 Reiner Fuellmich

As far as I know, from what you are telling us, this has not failed in Canada. Their attempt to keep Canada under control has been very successful. I mean, just listening to how Justin Trudeau took his oath, he swore allegiance to the British crown, to the Queen of England. Doesn't that bother Canadians?

01:37:49 Matthew Ehret

For those who know, it's a paradigm shift, but there's a lot of cognitive dissonance that's been set up by years and generations of conditioning. Here's what it is. In one of the chapters I deal with, the creation of synthetic nationalism in Canada was organized by none other than Lord Milner himself, who headed the Rhodes trust in 1909 and came to Canada with McIntyre, who is the founder of geopolitics in its modern form. At that time he was the director of the London school of economics of the Fabian society⁴⁶. He resigned from his position as director of the London school, following an offer made by Lord Milner, who was part of the Round Table, which passed through Oxford.

So you have the lsc [London school of commerce] and then Oxford. So he resigned his position to come to Canada with Milner to formulate a grand strategy of how to keep Canada as a bulwark between Russia and the United States and also with Germany, because at that time Germany was not a fascist state at all. There was still

many anti-colonial and anti-fascist impulses in very high positions of power, around the Friedrich List Society and others.

There is a quote from Milner that says: *"Of the three greatest dangers to the British Empire, the one most preferred is greater cohesion.* The three main scenarios for Canada's future are: the first, greater cohesion and integration into the British federation. That's probably not going to happen. You still have Wilfrid Laurier, an admirer of Lincoln and others, remember? So it's not going to happen. He said, *"The greatest danger is greater cooperation with the United States, of the 1909 states, that is the greatest threat to the British Empire. The happy medium is the growth of a Canadian nationalism."* And he adds: *"Canadians are so wonderfully ignorant of the larger forces of history and they feel superior to Americans in almost every way. It's facetious and it's fantastic."* Those are his words.

"It's just fantastic how ignorant they are. We should take that perspective and really create a new nationalism for them." This is exactly what became the whole trend of the 20th century, culminating in the artificial creation of the Canadian flag with a maple leaf that means nothing. Unlike other countries that have flags that mean something, it's literally just a maple leaf. That's what it means.

People like Vincent Massey, who was his prodigy, became our first Canadian Governor General, who directed and managed much of this. They were all eugenicists. They also created the Canadian fabian society, which is quite a story run by five Rhodes scholars in 1931. Yes, in Canada there is a lot of cognitive dissonance and a lot of myths that have been created that are sacred cows that our minds are afraid to mess with.

Now we see the system showing its true tyrannical nature, which it has always had. But because we did not resist it, we did not feel it. Now, as soon as you ask for something human like freedom, you see the mask fall off. Now I think people are much more receptive to asking, *"What's really going on? What is this thing called Canada?"*

⁴⁶ Fabian Society (think tank): [here](#)

I think overall, the lessons of the great patriots who were ousted in Canadian history... for example, we had our last national government in 1963 that was overthrown by a coup led by a Rhodes Scholar. In 1963, that was our last national government. So there's a thirst for understanding and I think the more people see and think about what Justin Trudeau said in 2017 and look at what happened, the more they're able to connect things together.

What is very important is to ask what a true sovereign nation should be. We know what it is not now, but what should it be? Because we have serious goals in terms of prices, the distribution of food production, supply chains and infrastructure.

How do we manage this in a coherent way to make sure that our children not only don't become slaves in this dystopian system, but that they have a life in which they can thrive, where we can invest in a national bank that serves the interests of the people, with other nations organizing together.

It's a whole discussion that really needs to take place. I think the current demonstrations in Ottawa are a good spark. There's an aspiration now, like I've never seen before, for these big ideas.

01:42:12 Reiner Fuellmich

It is Canadian nationalism asserting itself rather against the British crown, in essence, right?

Matthew Ehret

In essence, it's based on a principle that is not artificial. It's really based on the right to feed our families, to work, to have a life. Absolutely basic things.

01:42:33 Reiner Fuellmich

One last question. The power of the city of London combined with the fifth column of Wall Street, is that the case? Have I understood correctly? That all this money, all this power, was able to start two world wars? The first and the second world war, with these financial behemoths financing both sides?

01:43:04 Matthew Ehret

Oh, yes. Absolutely. I feel like I used up a lot of time. But yes.

01:43:11 Reiner Fuellmich

Okay. I just wanted to make sure I understood you correctly. And finally, the two world wars. Whoever can start two world wars, probably has no problem, because I noted it when you said it, creating diseases like terrorism and the drug trade. So, in essence, this was also created, or was set up by these financial behemoths that are the City of London and Wall Street.

01:43:44 Matthew Ehret

Yes, and I would just like to add a little bit about that. I did an interview with Alex Craner based on a wonderful trilogy he wrote about the original British New World Order project.

Under the leadership of people like Lord Halifax, Britain's conciliators [people like Neville Chamberlain], were part of an operation that, until 1939-1940, always wanted to have Anglo-American fascist alliances with Hitler and Mussolini and others...

The British, wanted to run the world in a new world order and apply the eugenics policy of population control in a scientifically managed society from the highest level. This was a project until Neville Chamberlain was ousted when Hitler became a Frankenstein-like monster who no longer complied with orders and had greater ambitions to lead the world instead of being a secondary executor of the will of a banking class.

That's when they had to change their strategy and abandon that plan. [There's a whole story there... the lesson I take from this, and I wish everyone would take from this, is that oligarchies they screw up a lot] . The oligarchies are not as powerful as they want us to believe, which is a bullying of the mind. Every time you look at what they're trying to do... the thing they're trying to do today is not new, they've tried it many times and it usually blows up in their face. It hurts them, too. So they have to reorganize and try something new.

01:45:13 Reiner Fuellmich

I understand now, however, that Vera Sharav, a Holocaust survivor, says she can't believe she is fighting the same people, the same structures, again, that she fought 75 years ago, because it seems that what happened then is happening again. Matthew, I don't want to deprive my esteemed colleagues of asking questions. So please go ahead and ask your questions.

01:45:43 Dexter Ryneveldt

Thank you very much for your testimony. Matthew, the very meaning of your statement, the testimony you gave, you talk about nature and law. As we all know, the substantive law that we use in this grand jury is natural law. So I'd like you to tell me, based on all the research you've done, how important is natural law to the survival of humanity? More importantly, how does it relate to constitutional law? Thank you.

Matthew Ehret

Dexter, that's a very good question. As I see it, the whole history of the world has been shaped by a battle between one man-made law and another natural law. I mean, humanity is the only species we know that creates and improves the laws of the systems around which we organize. Animals are governed by their genetics, their environment and their nature. And that's fine.

Only human beings can develop concepts and apply them to deliberately manage their own existence. Then again, we can identify the problems of the invisible pseudo-metaphysical machine of the state and improve them. But, on what basis do we improve? By what standards do we judge the laws we create ourselves to say, *"This one is in accordance with what was designed by God"*? Which ones are unbalanced, which are not in harmony, which we must correct, which are illegitimate or, as Thomas Aquinas would say, which are forms of violence?

When a law can destroy, deprive us of our innate ability to express our life, our freedom, our happiness, our creative powers... When that is what the law does, it is not a law, it is a form of violence. It does not have to be respected. That's what the founding fathers were saying.

When we read the writings of Benjamin Franklin, of Thomas Paine, they were very sensitive to the fact that there is a higher law. It is not even separate from the scientific law. That's why Benjamin Franklin was both a scientist who discovered principles of electricity that he shared. In his mind, his discoveries of the laws of electricity were not separate from the metaphysical moral laws, which became the foundation of his life's effort to create a republic of self-governance based on the inalienable rights of the individual and not on the hereditary institutions that had governed society for thousands of years.

This was the first time this had been done. Again, in his mind, these were two sides of the same coin. True science is not a mathematical description or an attempt to impose a formula on the universe and expect the universe to conform to it. It is about tuning our own creative reason, in harmony with this universe, which will then always be easier to discover.

Whenever we pass on these new discoveries in any field to our fellow man and apply them to production processes, the universe responds by giving us higher standards of living. We can make more people live at a higher standard of living than we could have if we didn't have electricity, if we didn't have the knowledge of fire, or if we lived like cattle in caves.

The reciprocal nature of the universe describes that humanity was created in the image of this universe under certain conditions. When we respect these particular principles, as Benjamin Franklin and others understood, we gain greater emancipation. The empire, the oligarchic system of a hereditary caste, will lose its foothold, like the parasites it is in the host. There will be little left to cling to. The empire and the caste will lose their power and they will implode on their own. That's what I think we're seeing right now.

01:49:19 Alex Thomson

Can I add something very briefly, what we see now in the jurisdictions of the United Kingdom, there is the jurisdiction of England and Wales, the jurisdiction of Northern Ireland, the jurisdiction of Scotland? The judicial systems of

all of these countries, which are essentially common law, are beginning to assume judicial authority to decide "*Mens Rea*" where they are more advanced than any common law or civil law jurisdiction, as we have seen repeatedly, by asserting that even if a jury is there for form's sake, and they seem to be trying to get rid of it now under the fallacious claim that international treaty requirements oblige them to reduce the use of juries, even if a jury is there for form's sake, they reserve the right to determine what was in the mind of the perpetrator.

Very often now, it's an essay that comes from tax-exempt foundations like the Carnegie Foundation that I mentioned earlier, via the House of Commons library, that tells legislators in Britain, which then leads other countries down the same path, and that legislators are told that there is an earthly version of public good or public welfare, now called public welfare. That's what the foundations did throughout the 20th century, creating enemies for that very purpose. Now the courts say, if you've offended society, then there's no redress, you're guilty. And that's the biggest step toward eliminating natural law that I've ever seen.

It's gone further than any European totalitarian state, than any international court, it's now at the British national level, through legislation and ultimately through think tanks, that the concept that natural law decides whether you are guilty or not is being abolished.

01:51:04 Viviane Fischer



Thank you for your opinion, because we see that it is not a constellation of 100% closed countries. At the moment, we still have very strict regulations in Australia, Canada and elsewhere. But you say than in England, it's like they're planning it from a different angle. So it's apparently more relaxed at the moment. But I mean, they're getting ready to finalize, from a different angle, like creating a food shortage, actually.

01:51:41 Alex Thomson

Yes, I'm absolutely convinced, the UK is in the lead, the Anglo commonwealth is in second place and the resistance to this idea will in fact be largely from the central European countries, because they give more weight to the rule of law and the institutions of the courts than to juries, for example, they will show greater resistance, a welcome resistance in this case.

It is certainly Britain or British-based think tanks that drive our legislators more than anywhere else in the world, this idea that if someone meets the requirements of a text, they are convinced that they are doomed without any possible defense. So it's this fallacious idea behind, "*you want to offend the interests of the common good?*" That's what I fear and that's what we can understand, from what Matt said, but I think that in fact, those who say that, it's because they're afraid and they don't want any threat to their narrative.

01:52:41**Reiner****Fuellmich**

Any other questions from Ana, Dipali, Dexter or Virginia? I have a question. Is it possible that not only in Europe, but also in the United States, there is a movement that, having understood what is going on, is trying to distance itself? I'm talking about the United States trying to distance itself from the Europeans and in particular from the City of London, because we are simply buried in debt and carrying too much dead weight with us. I say that in everyday terms. What do you think, Alex? What about Matthew?

01:53:34 Alex Thomson

For my part, absolutely. And then the recent testimony that I gave you, I talked about that, that there's a large part of Central America that has woken up and now sees what they see as an Anglo or Anglo-European problem running them and I think they're starting to get fed up with it all, because of the amount of treachery involved and just as a codicil to Matt's testimony about 1971, when the financial coup was carried out, one of the indications that the Americans were used as unhappy pawns is that in that same year, Kissinger was quoted as saying that the military, and by that he meant especially the American military, were brutal, stupid beasts who did what others told them to.

That same year, being the new Secretary of State under the Nixon administration. He got a Massachusetts manufacturer, the only manufacturer in the world capable of producing precision ball bearings, Brian Chucking Grinder, to supply ball bearings to the Soviet Union, so that they could develop multiple warheads for "*independent reentry vehicles*," which I know is the subject of one of our additional testimonies tonight from Jim Bush, when he was personally involved in the American part of this.

The amount of treachery involved is such that where the United States had a military or economic lead, the cabal we are talking about has deliberately abolished it, and I have a very strong feeling from my prolonged contacts with the United States that a large part of the American people do not want to abolish their Anglo-Saxon heritage, their common law heritage, but they are completely fed up with the British and European intellectual leadership.

01:55:14 Reiner Fuellmich

This makes perfect sense.

01:55:16 Matthew Ehret

I don't want to talk too much because I know we are running out of time. Quickly, there are fifth columns in Russia, each country has its own columns. They have their own battles between the legitimate forces that represent their cultures against these other parasitic infiltrations. I would say that in Eurasia there has been a more serious retreat to the point where there is a real [I don't think it's a game], I think there is a real alternative strategy that has been deployed outside of the framework of the nato prison that is itself imploding. Which was always designed to implode. I think there are forces in the United States [I see it more at the state level now] that don't want to go down with the sinking ship, that there are forces all over Europe.

Unfortunately, the federal executive powers of most transatlantic governments have been largely taken over, not always entirely, but at a depressing level. I am not an expert in geopolitical planning.

I hope that the creative forces will be able to use the self-contradictions and insanity of the empire to their advantage, since once again, this

empire is... it can only succeed in destroying itself. I see that people who want to have a future, who want to survive, and who are naturally organizing themselves. I just think they need to refine their strategic plan in terms of what they understand about the world. A lot of people especially in America, patriots who don't like the great reset, tend to fall into some of the traps that are set in the Cold War narrative. The real enemy behind this is not the British empire, it's not the oligarchy. No, it's not that. It's the Chinese communists who would destroy our freedoms. That's what I see behind it. A lot of people are falling into this trap. I think that to the extent that they cling to these Cold War narratives, they will self-sabotage their common desire to win the battle against this oligarchic threat. That's what I wanted to say!

01:57:31 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you, Matthew. Any more questions from Ana or Dexter? Or Virginia? Or Dipali?

01:57:39 Dexter Ryneveldt

No further questions from me.

01:57:43 Ana Garner

Not for me. Thank you. What a beautiful presentation!

01:57:46 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes, thank you, Matthew. If there are no further questions, your testimony is over. Matthew, thank you very much. We'll now turn to Brian Gerrish and Debbie Evans, for their presentation.

01:58:04 Brian Gerrish

Thank you very much for inviting me to participate. You asked me to introduce myself. So I will say that my first career was as an officer in the British Royal Navy. I specialized in anti-submarine warfare. So I spent a lot of my time tracking down Russian nuclear subs at the height of the Cold War. Of course, I will say that over time, as I got older and wiser, I realized that a lot of what I believed at the time was incorrect.

To give you some of my experience, I was very involved in the military system during the cold war era. When I left the Navy in 1993, I discovered that all was not as it seemed in society and in the UK. Through tracking down, mainly fraud and corruption in my own city and coming into contact with people who saw fraud and corruption in other major British cities, I became interested in the organizations that I saw were controlling events.

These organizations were not well known to the public. Where did that get me? I finally met a great group of people. Now we run the UK Column, producing news three times a week. Before that, we were producing a written newspaper. We're constantly analyzing what's going on.

So it is on the basis of my previous military experience, my experience in the civilian world, including UK Column's analyses that I can give you my testimony tonight. I might add that I am delighted to be joined by a lady named Debi Evans, who has done extensive research with us. I thought it was appropriate that she would be able to give information of great interest. I only have 30 minutes. So I'm going to try to go very quickly.

The first thing I'd like to do is expand on what our original speakers had to say in their testimony. I think the analysis shows that they have created foundations, start-ups and developed gangster organizations. We've followed their history, we've learned a lot about these people, their networks, their modus operandi, we have some evidence of global events that show us that these groups operate.

When we look at how gangsters have been dealt with and successfully eliminated in the past. When we look at Al Capone or other gangsters, America is probably the right place for this kind of analogy. They were brought down by investigating the crimes they committed and collecting the actual evidence of those

crimes, whether it was murder, drug trafficking or prostitution. There had to be actual evidence of the crime, there had to be proof of intent. There had to be the people named, involved in these crimes.

It was only when the evidence came in that they could be brought to justice and found guilty, or at least brought before the law and ultimately serve their time. So I will say that for our jury, which is a global audience, the first two speakers did a great job of saying that there is a conspiracy, a group of gangsters operating. They have enormous power and wealth.

What are these gangsters trying to do? I think the goal of these gangsters is world domination. They want to control everything, society, raw materials, production methods, people, politics, everything must be under their control. Finally, we say then, what are the crimes? Well, the keeper of the crimes was writing them down while I was listening to the speakers.

We look at oppression, we look at slavery. We talk about poverty, hunger, human trafficking. Finally, we talk about death. At this point, I believe we now have a very important major world event happening.

This is the covid-19 pandemic, followed by a vaxxination⁴⁷ program, because I think there is compelling evidence that these gangsters are killing people. So we are here for a use of law, to talk about crime.

What is the crime? In my opinion, the crime is to perpetrate death? In previous years, we have seen people die because of the wars they created. More recently, we see people dying because of the introduction of a pandemic, the way that pandemic has been handled, the way it is *"handled with a vaxxination program"*.

The two previous speakers mentioned something, which I think is very important. Alex said that they want to control minds and that they want *"civilian control over politically retarded people"*.

47 We deliberately put two "xx" in vaxxination to differentiate today's process of transgenic injections from previous vaccination programs.

Absolutely, this last quote shows the arrogance of these individuals, these gangsters. They believe that whatever opinion they have is the only correct opinion, the only correct value. Anyone who challenges them is a scoundrel that they want to eliminate from their field of action. What does that mean? Ultimately, they would like these people to disappear and die.

So, let's remember and relate that to the fact that we said this is a battle for our minds. That is very true right now as I give you my initial thoughts on what is going on. We need to be aware that along with the covid-19 pandemic and the resulting vaccination program, there is a battle against our minds through a psychological, political attack. I happen to have two documents with me: the first is entitled mental health. The subtitle is strategic planning for mental health. It was written by a certain JL Reese.

It is interesting to note that the date on this document that I have is October 1940. At the beginning of World War II, we had a group of people who would later become very powerful in the World Health Organization system. They were discussing how they would implement what they called mental hygiene in the new society.

They didn't mind because they said that by doing this, they would infiltrate social organizations, they would attack the professions, they would infiltrate social activities and professional societies and they would unleash long-term propaganda.

I will support this 1940 paper by saying very quickly, I have another one in my hands, which I am of course happy to share this as evidence. It is entitled "*Psychiatry*", it is part of the "*Journal of the biology and pathology of interpersonal relations*," it is dated February 1946.

It talks about the restoration of society in peacetime and the author is a certain GB Chiesel⁴⁸. If people search by this name, they will find another character who is deeply connected to the type of society that the subjects present to us in a very concise way. Why should we pay attention to him today? Because it

talks about the use of the psychiatric system to implement this mental hygiene program. If our jury wants to know what it means

"*Mental hygiene*" basically means that you are not fit to be a human being unless you adopt the views, values and opinions of the gangsters who, we have already identified, have a plan for domination.

I would like to say that when I started researching what was happening in the UK in terms of crime and fraud, threats and bullying at a local level, I quickly established, to my astonishment, that there was a charity, called common purpose, that was acting in a very political way in creating future leaders.

I was fascinated by the fact that these people were moving into British cities and manipulating effectively by taking control, one might say, of the politics in those cities.

So there was a group of people who were recruiting people, who they could see as future leaders, and they were starting to take over cities in the UK. Well, if I expand on that, within a few years, this organization was operating overseas, in countries like Germany, Holland, India, Australia, where they were recruiting people in those countries, to put them into a change agent program to change the way we think and do business in society.


If we ask where this organization came from, it was created in 1985 or so, as a result of one particular woman coming back, the executive director at the time, Julia Middleton, from Chicago, I believe, but in any case, she said she had learned some amazing things about how to change society.

The interesting point was that a large amount of money was collected from a number of banks. Remember, monetary power is central to the dialogue of the first two speakers. Common purpose was able to launch with funds from large banks that were never disclosed. However, I can say with certainty that the Deutsche Bank was one of the main banks working with this organization.

48 I did not find the exact name on the internet: it is a phonetic transcription of the name spoken.

Now, why am I talking about "common purpose"? Common purpose was a key example of an organization that you could follow, you could see the documents, you couldn't see the people.

You could see that she was implementing a plan to change our society without the average citizen realizing it.



So where do we go from here? I think we need to recap what Alex mentioned, because I want to take you back to the mental design document on my first slide. This document produced by the British cabinet office is a document in which they worked with psychologists for a long time, to learn how to change the way people think and behave. Without people understanding what is going on. This is not just an opinion on my part.

On the second slide, let's read the text on the screen. It says that the British government would be able to control people's thoughts, the way they think and the behaviors they engage in. People wouldn't necessarily realize that this had happened, they wouldn't be aware that their behavior, their thoughts had changed, they wouldn't know it. The paper goes on to say that if people did realize that their behavior had changed, they wouldn't necessarily know how it had happened.

Now let me relate this to the covid-19 pandemic. On slide three, on your screen, these are the reports from "Spy B", as it's called. This is a section of the British government's expert scientists, expert panels, who were commenting on how we should, quote, "combat covid."

"Spy B" was a team of specialists, including behavioral scientists, who used applied psychology to get people to buy into the British government's covid-19 policy.

That's why I draw people's attention to two paragraphs: one is at the bottom of the left-hand page, where it says that the perceived level of personal threat needs to be increased in confident people, using powerful emotional messages.

So it was a government team that was advocating the use of applied psychology to make people fearful. And I would say it's no wonder that we now have trained psychologists and psychiatrists who point out the danger of making people fearful, especially if you use techniques that imply that they have no way of knowing where that fear comes from. That's not my judgment, I'm quoting directly from the British government man. And what is equally disturbing is that a later paragraph, paragraph seven, talks about coercion, in which it says that the use of social disapproval should be considered. But with a strong warning about undesirable negative consequences.

What they're talking about is using people to police each other, to say, I'm wearing a mask, you're not wearing a mask, you're a bad person, get out of my way. The caveat that they have introduced to this is that they recognize that this psychological technology could trigger violence in communities.

I'll quickly skip over how they talk about how to dominate people where we see the British government in 2009 in 2010 listed in this original document boasting, still today, that they could use applied psychology to change the way people think and the way people behave. What have they done with that methodology?

This psychology was initially sold to the US and Australia. Look at what's happening in Australia with the covid lock right now. Eventually, these psycho- logical technologies, as Debi will talk about in a few moments, were sold worldwide.



So now we have governments around the world that are able to use this applied behavioral psychology to change the opinions, values, and behavior of people who just don't know that it's being used against them. To reinforce this point, the fourth slide, a recently discovered document, is entitled "*Behavioural Insights applied to policy, Germany country overview*". This is an EU document, which touts exactly the same thing, namely how applied behavioral psychology can be used to change EU public opinion.

He gives a whole list of German organizations, which I am sure will be much more meaningful to the jury than to me. Many of them are universities and research organizations. This paper is clear evidence that these political psychology techniques have certainly spread throughout Europe. I suggest that it is clear that we have a team of gangsters in power, whether it is the UK, the EU or the US. In the hands of these gangsters, there is a very dangerous method of behavioral psychology.

Let me now turn to the subject of covid-19 and in particular the effects of vaxxins. In the United Kingdom, we have the *Medicine and Health Products Regulatory organization*, mhra, which is

supposed to ensure public safety with respect to pharmaceuticals and vaxxins. And as part of the vaxxination program in the UK, they have been collecting data on the adverse effects of vaxxins which they call "*Yellow Cards*".

To date, their own statistics say that there have been nearly a million and a half adverse reactions to vaxxins and that there have been nearly 2,000 deaths, with a caveat that they themselves have made to say that they think it is likely that only 10% of the adverse reactions to vaxxins that actually occurred would have been recorded. Of course, that increases the number of deaths from 2,000 to 20,000.

Interesting! We are beginning to see the deaths from vaxxins exceed the damage from covid-19. We must remember that the mhra is a government department perfectly willing to use the same applied psychology skills that the British government has boasted about being able to use to deceive the public and change their behavior.

When we approached the mhra, we asked them a simple question: where is your quantitative risk assessment to show that the adverse effects of vaxxins are not the result of the vaxxinations themselves? The mhra refrains from answering and produces confusing answers. The fact is that this key organization, the mhra, has not conducted a quantitative risk assessment of the adverse effects of vaxxinations. I want to tell the jury, we are in a court of law, that the mhra has a duty to protect the public from dangerous pharmaceuticals. It knows that people are dying because of the vaxxination campaign. I would like to add that the British government certainly knows this. But it is prepared to use psychology in all areas, written and media around the dangers of covid in the vaxxination policy.

Before I turn it over to Debi to explain how the system works, I wanted to point out that, of course, the whole control of the covid-19 policy and the so-called health care policy around covid-19 vaxxinations is done by the British cabinet office which has a built-in applied behavioral psychology team. So we know what they are capable of, they brag about it in their own document.

Finally, we see real evidence that people are suffering and dying.

I'd like to turn it over to Debi. When we follow this trail, we come to the way the system works in the UK at least, where we see a form of medical fascism, between the government and the global pharmaceutical industry, working alongside universities and charities in what has been called the golden triangle in the UK.

So let me turn it over to Debi, to use the last 15 minutes.

2:21:23 Debi Evans



Thank you, Brian. Good evening, everyone. My name is Debbie Evans. I'm a retired ~~nurse~~ ^{registered nurse}. I trained at the Royal Free Hospital in London, where, coincidentally, we

have been treating ~~London~~ ^{COVID-19} ever since today in the UK. I did my postgraduate training at St Bartholomew's Hospital in London. I was an advisor to the Department of Health for the UK government for five years and I am a medical researcher.


Brian took us there to what we call in the UK the hospital triangle or the golden universities, the golden triangle of universities and there's a screen shot of a map of the UK, if you can bring it up just to show the locations of Oxford, Cambridge and London, in that triangle, I don't know if you can see it or not.



You can see it fabulous. Cambridge is the largest digital technology center in the UK. It is ranked 12th in the European Digital Index and is actually known as silicon fen49.

There's a huge biomedical campus on site, there's a screen shot of the biomedical campus, which will show you what's on the mighty biomedical campus and what's there, including Papworth Hospital, which has been moved to the biomedical campus and specializes in heart and lung.

We also have Addenbrooke's Hospital, which specializes in organ donation and transplantation.



Boris Johnson, our Prime Minister, also announced that a cancer research hospital would also be built on this campus, which is a huge cost despite the fact that cancer rates in the UK are falling, the medical research council cancer research UK, which I'll come back to in a minute, the McLaren regenerative laboratory and the nhs blood transfusion center. So all of that is, among other things, in Cambridge and astrazeneca has its headquarters with glaxosmithkline [gsk] as well. What's interesting about Cambridge is that cancer research UK, which is supposed to be a charity, seems not to be a charity at all. Professor Robert West is a consultant to cancer research UK. He is also part of the B team, the behavioral team that Brian just mentioned about "Sage".

49 Silicon Fen is the nickname for a cluster of leading software, knowledge, electronics and biotechnology companies around Cambridge, UK. Many have connections to the University of Cambridge and the region represents one of Europe's major technology hubs.

Professor Robert West's wife, Professor Susan Michie, heads the behavioural insights⁵⁰ team in the Cabinet Office. She is a lifelong communist who developed the behavioural change method and has deployed it in many countries. The behavioural insights team seems to have gone global. In terms of the Oxford golden triangle, we have one of the fastest growing digital technology economies in the UK, with a large campus, Oxford nanopore⁵¹, of very high technology and a medical campus.

We also have Milton Keynes, which is mentioned in this golden triangle because it is the first smart city in the UK that uses sensors. I'll come back to technological innovation. So, as we get to London, there's another slide, med city. If you can see it, which is a knowledge economic city, it's supposed to be the digital capital of Europe. It has been named by tech nation, attracting 2.1 billion billion in investment.

The golden triangle in the UK is where all the attention seems to be focused. The UK government has just announced that, investing 5.5 billion in infrastructure around the Golden Triangle, sometimes known as the *lox bridge triangle* and the *brain Curve*, I think it's also called.

So when we look at the golden triangle and the universities are more involved, we can see that the "*golden universities*" Oxford and Cambridge, I have also attached the Russell group, which are 24 universities within the Russell group, that work very closely with the golden triangle universities and receive a lot of funding.

What I see is that two things are on the horizon. The first is that the mhra in the UK wants to become the global regulator. Raj Long, who is the deputy director of the

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, sits on its Board of Directors. She specializes in safety and pharmacovigilance and is also Microsoft's chief scientist.

So we see huge conflicts of interest here. We also see conflicts of interest between the British government and ministers. Some ministers have interests in the big four auditing companies, kpmg⁵² and microsoft, as well as deloitte, astrazeneca, goldman sachs and the european investment bank.

So many of our ministers have conflicts of interest in these areas. What we can see is that deloitte & ernst, kpmg and price waterhouse coopers account for 67% of the world's management accounting, which is four London-based firms. Deloitte has been central to the "*test and trace*".

Lord Bethel, who has now resigned, had a company that was just lobbying on behalf of deloitte, for tenders. And it's very worrying to see all these companies intertwined with each other, which could happen in the future. Let's go back quickly to how charities seem to be involved in this as well as government organizations: what I would call the cancer of the UK. I have a notebook to try to be very quick, because I know we don't have much time.

You can't say that the UK is funded by many pharmaceutical companies. In fact, I would say it's the research and development center for pharmaceutical companies, astrazeneca gsk, Bill and Melinda Gates, but also Professor Robert West, the husband of Professor Susan Michie, who advises cancer research, a behavioral analysis team, funded by pfizer and cancer research UK, which is also in the middle of the biomedical campus in Cambridge.

50 The behavioural insights team, also informally known as the nudge unit, is a UK-based social purpose organization that generates and applies behavioral insights to inform policy and improve public services, in line with the theory of nudge, a theory of liberal paternalism. Nudge is a concept in behavioral science, political theory and economics that emerged from industrial design practices and argues that indirect suggestions can, without force, influence the motivations and decision-making of groups and individuals.

51 Oxford nanopore technologies limited is a UK company that develops and sells nanopore sequencing products for the direct electronic analysis of single molecules.

52 kpmg is a global Anglo-Dutch network of audit and consulting firms operating in 150 countries.

Furthermore, with regard to the British government, it published a guide on hesitating to be vaxxed with response measures on September 27, 2019, long before Wuhan was mentioned.

They're also looking at antibiotic resistance, which I'm very concerned about. The UK government has its own representative, Sally Davis, who was our head of the health department and is the UK representative for antimicrobial resistance. What I see coming is that people are being tested for hiv, but according to Forbes, the next pandemic should be tuberculosis.

I know that Professor Montagnier, [I would like to send my sincere condolences to his family and to all those who know him, it is extremely sad that he passed away recently]. Professor Montagnier was referring to one of his colleagues about the bcg53 vaccine. It seems that Professor Chris Whitty, our chief medical officer, gave a presentation at Gresham House three nights ago and also made the connection between tuberculosis and hiv.

WHO is very keen to eradicate antimicrobial resistance. TB [we have the bcg for TB], for a very long time and it is believed that TB rates are increasing exponentially, including here in the UK. We are now seeing the development of new TB vaxxins and new TB screening facilities. So I look at the whole thing and I see references to TB.

I'm also interested in cancer and dementia, because it seems that they are also on the rise. In particular, with respect to cancer, we obviously don't know the long-term side effects of vaxxins, or whether they can be carcinogenic, but certainly one of the antivirals that they use here in the UK and in the UK alone, Molnupinavir, would appear to be carcinogenic, teratogenic and mutagenic. We are using it here in a clinical trial with

panoramic, so that patients who receive it are immediately connected to the trial.

All of these things that we're now seeing unfold. I think Brian could probably show you a copy of that. I don't know if you're aware of the SPARS pandemic from 2025 to 2028, a futuristic scenario from the John Hopkins Center about what would happen if a coronavirus infected the world.

Events are listed month by month: what you can expect from the media, pharmaceutical companies, governments, regarding containment, testing, antibiotics and antibiotic resistance.

Antibiotic resistance is a very important issue, and everyone is looking at it, the World Bank, the World Economic Forum, the British government, almost every government in the world is looking at antibiotic resistance. So I'm thinking that maybe we can look at superbugs in the future.

In terms of going back to the Big454, it looks like we also have conflicts of interest in terms of the CEO of Deloitte, who has retired but is now on the board of pfizer. We also have the UK government, the UK government Hansard55. In May 2014, there was supposed to be a merger between pfizer and astrazeneca. The UK government was very indecisive about it. So there is a reference in Hansard to volume 753 it was debated on May 6, 2014 and again in column 161 on May 7, 2014, with former Secretary of State Matt Hancock.

Our UK government is up to its neck, I think, in pharmaceuticals, we seem to be moving towards a global life sciences superpower, since we had the Brexit, most of the agenda is about life sciences and how we can be the global life sciences partner.

53 Calmette and Guérin vaccine (BCG vaccine) is a vaccine against tuberculosis. It is prepared from an attenuated strain of live bovine tuberculosis bacillus that has lost its virulence on humans by special culture on artificial media for years.

54 The big4 are the four largest financial audit and consulting groups in the world.

55 Hansard is the name traditionally given to official transcripts of parliamentary debates.

Cancer research UK and the Francis Crick organization work together and are funded largely by public donations, but also by big pharmaceutical companies. There are also advertisements in the mainstream media for a company called Omaze and it is a competition where you can win a £3 million house. However, the donations and proceeds go to cancer research UK.

Some of the funders of cancer research UK, who are based at the Cambridge biomedical campus, are the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation, google, the world economic forum, imperial college, Cambridge, ukri56, the bank of England astrazeneca, the Crick institute, cqc and the bbc. So they are very, very heavily funded. I don't think the public knows that when they jump out of a plane to try to raise money for cancer research. Prof. Witthey and all of our experts tell us that cancer rates are going down.

However, we seem to be testing healthy people in the UK for cancer using a Bill Gates company, Grail, which was a joint venture with Jeff Bezos, it has now been contracted to work within the national health service, to sequence the genome using cancer, we are testing healthy people. And I would like to ask why we are testing healthy people.

The reason given by governments is that the burden and cost of cancer, aging and dementia are so high that we need to accelerate diagnosis and treatment quickly.

In fact, safety is pretty much out the window, as is the case for the development of vaxxins, which will now be entrusted to Bill and Melinda Gates and Patrick Balance, as part of the *"100 Days Mission"*.

So, for any other pandemic that may occur, you can guarantee that you will have a vaxxin within 100 days, as per the *"100 Days Mission"*. But I don't know if anyone wants to ask questions, I'm very aware that I'm running out of time. So I don't want to take up too much space.

02:37:31 Ana Garner

I would like to ask each of you some questions, please, about the psychological manipulation that has taken place. My name is Ana Garner and I'm from New Mexico in the United States. Ms. Evans, you mentioned that you were a government advisor to the Department of Health. That's very relevant here. Because I feel like that happened in the United States extensively. As an advisor to the Department of Public Health. Are you aware that they are using the psychological and behavioral techniques that Mr. Gerrish talked about, as a way to implement their program of coercion and social isolation, coercion of people undergoing experimental medical interventions, have you noticed that this is part of their modus operandi, shall we say?

02:38:29

Debi

Evans

When I was a counselor in the health department, what became glaringly obvious was that any counselor was really there for the sake of it and we weren't really listened to. Many of us were ignored. I wouldn't say we were coerced. I certainly don't feel like I was forced to say or do anything. I'm pretty strong. So I challenged them, probably the fact that I was challenging people was causing more trouble because I wasn't conforming. It was purely symbolic.

For coercion, yes, I could see huge problems within the civil service, a lot of personal problems, a lot of intimidation. I was very close to one of the senior officials on our board, led by Norman Lamb, the secretary of state at the time, and there was a lot of bullying. There was a lot of competition within the civil service, we noticed that, but as a consultant, I was not in the civil service. So that was just my observations.

02:39:40 Ana Garner

I don't want to interrupt or anything, but what I was referring to are the psychological techniques to coerce people, as opposed to the people involved in the council, rather the public.

56 Pronounced U-K-R-I, each letter separate, for UK research and innovation, it is a non-departmental public body of the UK government that leads the funding of research and innovation, funded by the science budget of the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy.

02:39:56 Brian Gerrish

May I intervene?

02:39:59 Ana Garner

Yes.

02:40:00 Brian Gerrish

I'll answer that. In the research with UK Column, we did it through UK Column. One of the areas we were most interested in was the training within our national health service by this charity, selecting future leaders. So it was a common purpose. So we saw the manipulation of people and their values by this particular organization. And that turned into a specific sector of the nhs, called nhs leaders. These were supposedly career-accelerated people who were going to lead the nhs to even better health care.

What we find is that as these leaders, with their newly acquired values, have emerged, the management style of the nhs has become more and more overbearing and intimidating. The ideas became less and less focused on the care and treatment of the sick and injured. It became focused on the importance of profits and money within the nhs. It was obvious that people's psychology was being manipulated.

We posted an article on the UK Column website entitled *"Towards a million agents of change"*. That wasn't our title. It was the title of an article written by a member of the nhs, trained by common purpose, who said that the nhs, in order to achieve, in my words, *"a future utopia,"* was going to need a million agents of change. What do they mean by that?

People who were going to disrupt the performance of the nhs, in order to transition it to what was supposed to be a world-class performance. So I can give you one specific example where we looked at how management and leadership within the nhs and the presidency changed.

I will give you a very simple example about psychology applied in nhs during the covid crisis. Because mantras were introduced, instead of medical decisions, nurses and doctors started following mantras. One of those told to us by a doctor

fully qualified or highly qualified in the last few days and by the loved ones of someone who had suffered was that the mantra was *"Not vaxxed, death assured"*.

It is simply if the person was considered or labeled as having covid, if it was determined that they were not vaxxed, the only outcome of their medical treatment was the path to death. There is no doubt that these few word mantras that we have seen used by the government in particular have been passed on to the nhs, so much so, that we have been told by qualified physicians that they are stunned that they cannot discuss authentic government figures on, for example, the adverse effects of vaxxins, because the person simply turns away from them, refuses to talk to them, or becomes very aggressive.

The psychological fact that we can rely on right now in the testimony is the cognitive dissonance in individuals that results from changing their mental values. I could talk about this for a long time, but I will say that yes, we are certainly seeing the application of psychology in many areas of nhs and its overall effect is a degradation of health care treatment. To the extent that people are being killed when they could have survived just fine if they had received the right treatment.

02:44:44 Ana Garner

Yes, thank you for that clarification. I also had a follow-up question for you, Mr. Gerrish, which is this. This psychological operation by definition seems to be very subtle and most people are not aware of being manipulated. If this is the case, do you have any opinion on how to reach these people in a way that will wake them up? I've heard about psychological dissonance and that sort of thing, cognitive dissonance, is there a way to encourage these people to see what's really going on, that they're being manipulated in this way?

02:45:27 Brian Gerrish

This is of course a very important question and the answer [I am speaking for myself here, because I am not professionally trained in psychology]. As a result of my work and investigations over many years and the advice I have received from fully qualified people, I will make a personal comment.

I think that's appropriate. If you apply a form of hypnosis to people, the effect on a targeted audience usually forms a bell curve. You'll find that some people can be completely hypnotized, I've done staging to demonstrate how people would do things that they wouldn't normally do in front of an audience because they're in a trance state.

When we use more forms of manipulation, the effect on the target audience is a bell curve. Some people are very absorbed by the message that has been conveyed, but it falls on one side or the other for some people on whom it does not take. The indications we've had so far, and which have been confirmed by qualified psychologists, are that first we need to focus on the people who are clearly not affected in order to get the warning message out about what's happening.

We need to clearly target psychology and psychiatry professionals to say that this is an abuse of these particular professions, particularly clinical psychologists and psychiatrists, who can say that there is a beneficial health outcome. And also to realize that people who have been subjected to this form of reframing or mind control are victims and therefore need to be treated in a very gentle and reassuring way. Why?

Because if we approach them in a blunt, black-and-white way to try to get them to see the truth, they're either going to become very hostile, [a result of cognitive dissonance], or they're going to become mentally ill because of the immense attack on their value system.

I would just like to say that it is very significant that in all the literature on the use of applied psychology by the British government to push its political agenda, there is absolutely no assessment of the negative effects of research psychology on people who may have underlying mental health problems, anxiety or depression. Indeed, when people have undiagnosed mental health problems, they are not even aware that they have a problem. If someone uses this psychology on them, they can make

immense damage. And I think it's new, excuse me, it's not a coincidence that official statistics in the UK now show that the containment policy has caused a huge surge in suicides and mental illness, especially among young people tragically.

Again, we can demonstrate that the British government is either hiding or manipulating, the data sets that show this, or using applied psychology in the way they present these data sets to the public, in order to mislead the public. That is the nature of the beast. Once you understand that you have what I will call a criminal political system, which has the ability to use applied psychology, to change the way the public thinks, we have a very, very dangerous weapon in the hands of these elitists. It's easily proven by a key document where they boasted that we have to make people more fearful, more anxious.

There are many other documents that have also shown, for the benefit of the Germans among the public and in the team, that applied behavioral psychology has now been unleashed in Germany, certainly in France, because one of Sarkozy's personal team members, a gentleman named Ivor Williams,⁵⁷ had meetings in the United Kingdom, facilitated by the Franco-British council in 2010, to discuss how French, neurological, and psychological experts are going to work with the British, to develop these applied psychological techniques. The evidence is there. When you know what you are looking for it is obvious.

But my God, this is the most dangerous thing we've ever seen. If you had propaganda and political manipulation in Nazi Germany, in many ways, you can see the parades, the banners, the lights, the rhetoric, the posters, but what we have unleashed on ourselves now is a subliminal attack on our minds.

Until we get to the bottom of this, it will be very, very difficult for us to take the lid off what these people are doing through their covid and vaxxination attack on people.

⁵⁷ To be verified

Every one of the pharmaceutical companies has access to these psychological weapons, every one of the law firms... So wherever we see charities, industries, public agencies working with the British government, we know that the use of psychology is spread out among them. So we need to start talking about this in a big way.

We need to deal first with the people who are not affected, who realize that something is wrong, but don't know what it is. Then in the audience, there could be very smart, highly trained professionals.

I'm going to let you think about what a highly trained psychologist told me, this is hearsay, but I'll repeat what he told me, *"Brian, the thing to remember is that people who are intelligent and have a very questioning mind, may be more sensitive to the use of hypnosis, to the reframing of applied psychology than someone who is less intelligent and has a less questioning mind."* So that's very wrong. If someone thinks, *"I'm a brilliant person, I'm intelligent, I'm highly qualified, it's not going to affect me."* On the contrary, you may be more vulnerable.

02:53:36 **Debi** **Evans**

It's a cascade of information. The nhs professionals I talk to, everyone I talk to, is very confused. There is a cascade of information every day, things change. I see nhs professionals doing things that would be completely foreign to them, giving respiratory depressants to patients with breathing problems. It's just foreign to what we've been taught. So people are scared. I echo what Brian said, when people start waking up, they're scared. They don't know what's going on. They need us to reassure them because right now the order of the day is confusion, chaos and crisis.

Going back to what Brian just said, about Deloitte and the Big 4, [Deloitte has written about the future of the city of London, clinical trials, their former CEO is now on the board of pfizer, they are involved in nhs, they are involved in corporate intelligence, as are the other three]. Clearly, when Brian mentioned the SPARS pandemic⁵⁸ that goes hand in hand with Operation Clade X⁵⁹ and Crimson gion. Clade X was held by Johns Hopkins University, I think in 2018, simulating 900 million deaths and Crimson Contagion⁶⁰, another exercise as well, that was done during Trump's reign for pandemic response, an ongoing flu pandemic.

So, all of this has been well documented and well documented in the patent for the covid test by the Rothschilds. Again, everything that we can see in that patent in the main document, we see being deployed now, including the biosensors.

A lot of people have talked about rfid [radio frequency identification] chips. Few people are talking about the advent of biosensors that don't need the internet. They can be in your clothes, on the soles of your shoes or in your food.

Biosensors seem to be the way forward, to the point where there is a biosensor institute here in the UK, in Bristol and the mhra has approved one of the biosensors, because people will have biosensors in their cars, in their laptops, and their biometrics will be sent back, so that all your biometrics will show whether you are fit to drive a train, fit to drive a car, fit to do anything. Your biometrics will be stored and it's happening right now.

02:56:38 **Brian** **Gerrish**

So, if I summarize a little bit. What we see is an integrated political system with societies

-
- 58 In October 2017, the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security released its report, SPARS Pandemic, 2025-2028: A Futuristic Scenario for Public Health Risk Communicators. The report is written from the perspective of a person in 2030 looking at a pandemic that swept the world between 2025 and 2028.
- 59 Clade X was a pandemic modeling exercise by the Johns Hopkins University Center for Health Security, which took place on Tuesday, May 15, 2018, named after the hypothetical infectious agent. In the simulation, the hypothetical pandemic resulted in 900 million simulated deaths. The exercise was by invitation only and nearly 150 people participated.
- 60 Crimson Contagion was a joint exercise conducted from January to August 2019, involving numerous national, state and local, private and public organizations in the United States, to test the ability of the federal government and twelve states to respond to a severe influenza pandemic originating in China...

global commercial, pharmaceutical companies, who are setting up a program, which is testing vaxxins on a population without regard to the damage and deaths. Where are they going? They are going to manipulate our genes, there is no doubt about that. All the data we're seeing right now confirms that it's the UK that is saying they're going to lead the world in the implementation of this program. The U.K. and I'll qualify that and say that I think it will ultimately be led by the City of London. But all the British documents say *"we're going to lead the world"*. And it's the same UK that has unleashed this malicious applied psychology to change the way cognitive processes work. It's a very, very dangerous combination.

02:57:55 Debi Evans

Maybe we could emphasize my last point, which is that the UK's unique selling point is the national health service, there is no way to opt out of that. From the moment you are conceived, that pregnant mothers have had an ultrasound, there is data on that particular human being until the day we die, there is no way to opt out of the nhs. The nhs data is, very valuable. It's completely unique to the rest of the world.

02:58:31 Alex Thomson

If we could succinctly illustrate that with the slide that I didn't show, I think it actually summarizes what Brian and Debi just said, if Paul can find it in a few seconds.



This is a slide that UK Column has used a lot, an organizational chart of the UK government's lead agency, the cabinet office with a new group, new since I left UK intelligence called the national security council, like the American example, from the FDR era, it is not original and not constitutional.

All of the bodies that are separate from the firm and under its control within this organizational chart are for the purpose of controlling the agenda. To answer the question that was asked here, to keep us from showing more people what the paradigm is, it is to keep them from being frightened by the applied psychology that is being taught. The army is involved as the 77th regiment, 13th signal brigade, there is a brand new security agency of the British government, the so-called health security agency, the joint biosecurity center, all these agencies have been created since my time and the responsibility lies with this cabinet office. Any good study of the cabinet office will show you that the steering committees have a direct line to the city of London, that they represent an elite will, that there is no democratic control and that even the personal crown of the monarch is not involved. I don't know if this was shown on the screen a moment ago or not, but people can also easily find it as one of the main graphs of UK Column, *"cabinet office censorship network"*, I think we named it that in one version.

03:00:07 Reiner Fuellmich

In the end, what we are dealing with is a British system of psychic manipulation, which has been sold around the world, more or less. The city of London, again, is aiming for world control. Is that why the common purpose people are creating their own future leaders, is that a special position, separate from the young global leaders program?

03:00:44 Brian Gerrish

The attack comes from parallel actions. I think a lot of people who are at common purpose have no idea what the overall goal is, they are recruited. At the time I did extensive research, someone was recruited locally and asked to join, it wasn't like people were going to common purpose to join the network common purpose was looking for the people it wanted. The agenda was clearly to train this future leader to work with other future common purpose leaders. That's why it's so significant that common purpose is now operating, for example, very strongly in India and former Prime Minister David Cameron was part of the team that promoted common purpose in India. So common purpose is one of the ways in which people are recruited and reframed.

The world economic forum, young global leaders would be another way in which probably more powerful people are recruited, reframed to fit their new role. So, common purpose works particularly with the low level public at first. As time went on, starting in 1985, it was clear to see that they were getting involved with corporations, large multinationals much more strongly.

Then from there, they reached their world status. But what do you do? We select people, stroke their egos, because someone suggests that they are going to be a very important future leader. The World Economic Forum calls them world leaders. And then these people are brought together to change the world. That's the goal.

03:03:52 Reiner Fuellmich

Well, thank you very much. I think our next witness, Whitney Webb, is a little stressed. I hate to interrupt you, but that's why I think we need to give her a chance to fill in the gaps, which we're going to try to do by asking questions. Unless my esteemed colleagues have any further questions, we'd like to thank all three of you for your excellent presentations. Then we'll go to Whitney, is that okay? Well, thank you very much, Brian, Alex and Debi. This is very important.

In terms of the geopolitical and historical context of what we are witnessing and Matthew of course. Whitney, one of the questions I keep asking myself is what is China's role in this? If you look at this as a game dominated by the Anglo-Americans or the City of London, what is really China's role in this?

03:04:29 Whitney Webb

Hello, can you hear me well?

03:04:30 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes, we can hear you.

03:04:31 Withney Webb

Okay, great. So, I'm not really an expert on China: I've done some work on transnational networks of capital and influence, so to speak, this node sort of that people have talked about so far. The City of London, Wall street and how they are very influential in China. Probably the most accessible example is Steve Schwarzman, a Blackstone capital⁶¹, who is funding a Chinese university program, I don't remember which one exactly, but it's very prestigious.

And this is his personal version of the wef⁶³'s young global leaders⁶² program. The Blackstone Group is intimately linked to blackrock⁶⁴, being from the same sphere on Wall street. He is considered one of the American whisperers of China, just like, of course, the original figure in American politics who bore that title: Henry Kissinger.

In addition, you have someone like Henry Paulson, who was Treasury Secretary under George W. Bush and previously worked for Goldman Sachs. He is also very involved in China and has a philanthropic foundation focused on China. Mike Bloomberg is another individual who resurrected an event that used to be hosted by the world economic forum in China, I believe it was called the "*annual new champions meeting*," which stopped in 2018. Since 2018, it's been the Bloomberg new economy forum, which is primarily focused on the U.S.-China relationship. What's going on there?

The decisions made at this meeting are there to facilitate the creation of this particular system that people have been talking about, this sort of technocratic control grid, which was built jointly by the American and Chinese leaders. Another thing I wrote about a few years ago was this organization called the "*National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence*," which was headed by Eric Schmidt, the former CEO of google.

61 blackstone group is an american investment fund founded in 1985 by Peter G. Peterson and Stephen A. Schwarzman, both from the former New York investment bank lehman brothers. Schwarzman, both from the former New York investment bank lehman brothers.

62 the young global leaders is a school envisioned by Klaus Schwab as a gas pedal for a dynamic community of exceptional people with the vision, courage, and influence to make positive change in the world. Some examples of these exceptional people: Bibill, Justin and Manu...

63 wef : world economic forum (Davos)

64 blackrock is the most powerful asset manager influencing global economic and political life.

In this commission, it was mainly the silicon valley, the US military and the US intelligence community that were represented. In 2019, before covid, they talked about the need to beat China in the AI arms race, or to work together with China in building this kind of technocratic AI-driven control grid, as a way to avoid a world war. This was an idea advocated by Henry Kissinger, in one of the events of this particular commission and Eric Schmidt also emphasized it.

So in a sense, it's related to the great reset, as it's often called, that's going on around the world. But in the U.S. in particular, in this context, there is an effort to completely digitize every sector of the economy and society to amass data to use to train AI algorithms.

Basically, this commission and the forces represented there see it as necessary for the United States to maintain its current military and economic hegemony, to have the best AI algorithms, they need to have the largest data stores.

They recognize that China, because of its large population and the many technological control systems already in place, is far ahead of the United States in terms of amassing this type of data. So the U.S., before covid, was talking about the urgent need to force people to do everything online, from shopping to telecommuting to telemedicine. Of course, there was a huge push for that, during covid-19.

When they envisioned this new Cold War, they stated in their own documents that it was necessary to do it with China, essentially creating the same system in a parallel, collaborative way to avoid a world war.

This is what happens at events like the Bloomberg new economy forum and things like that. I don't know if you want me to go any further because I know there's a time limit and I have to leave in 30 minutes.

03:09:03 Reiner Fuellmich

Whose invention is the social credit system? Is it really the Chinese? And when I say the Chinese, I mean the Chinese leaders, not the Chinese people. Was it invented by the Chinese leadership, or was it invented in cooperation with the City of London and Anglo-American financial interests?

03:09:28 Withney Webb

I've never really written about the origin of this system. I don't think I'm the right person to talk about that specifically. But from what I understand, there is obviously some sort of technocratic biometric system that exists in China. Obviously, it was developed by the Chinese leadership, or at least with their blessing and implemented by the government there. It's also worth noting that since the opening of China, during the Nixon era, which of course involved Kissinger quite intimately. There has been a lot of interaction between the Chinese leadership, even though they are publicly labeled communists with the same transnational networks of Western capital. And also, negotiations with Western leaders who, in the end, have their governments essentially co-opted by that same transnational capital network.

03:10:29 Viviane Fischer

We see someone like Henry Kissinger popping up again and again. Has that changed in the meantime? It's not so much about individual figures anymore, but rather a network of people who connect across these different areas of interest?

03:10:50 Withney Webb

Of course, I think Kissinger is definitely on the way out, because of his age, and has been for some time. So there are a lot of people that he mentored, particularly when he was teaching at Harvard, who have become the next generation of Kissinger, with Klaus Schwab, who is proudly the prime example. I see Matthew commented and he's probably more qualified to talk about some of these things than I am, because I was under the impression that he was going to talk about dark winter65 and anthrax, but I can go on about that if you prefer.

65 Operation Dark Winter was the code name for a high-level simulated bio-terrorist attack conducted on June 22-23, 2001. It was intended to simulate a secret, widespread smallpox attack on the United States.

03:11:32 Matthew Ehret

If you want to talk about black winter [dark winter] and Anthrax, you should. I thought the presentation was over.

03:11:38 Reiner Fuellmich

No, no, no. Do you have an answer to this question: what is China's role in all this, Matthew?

03:11:48 Matthew Ehret

Yes I have one, but it's quite long. I was just going to ask, are you aware that Soros got fired in 1989 when Zhao Ziyang was ousted? And the Club of Rome in China?

03:12:00 Reiner Fuellmich

No, I didn't know that.

03:12:04 Matthew Ehret

Zhao Ziyang is... [maybe I can talk for a minute, but I don't want to take up Whitney's time], Zhao Ziyang is Soros' man in China. He was nicknamed "*the Gorbachev of China*". He led the Chinese Communist Party for two years in '87, '88 and '89. He actually ran a think tank with Soros. He brought in Alvin Toffler, the transhumanist, he called for the fourth industrial revolution, he brought in the Club of Rome and their computer models to run the one-child policy in '79 and '80. He was one of his main collaborators.

During the 1980s there was an effort to get a Yeltsin-like perestroika process in China, which was happening in Russia to privatize their whole banking system and bring in the technocrats, Milton Friedman and all the rest. He was ousted because there was a coup in '89 that he was supposed to lead.

The cia and mi6 have published an article on this subject. Let's look at these anomalies. For example, why has Soros not been allowed to operate in China for the past 30 years, even though he runs the West?

03:12:58 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes, why is that?

03:13:01 Matthew Ehret

Yes, it's quite a story. I can talk about it later. Whitney is brilliant, I don't want to take her place.

03:13:07 Reiner Fuellmich

Try to give us the basics of all this.

03:13:11

Matthew

Ehret

All right, for the most part... I think it's related to what Gutierrez warned against when he said that two different opposing paradigms are emerging around the geopolitics of ia. Last year, in November. As late as 2010-2011, Soros was still saying that China was the model to follow because they like social credit, they like technocratic controls, centralized controls, they like these things, the transhumanist "Borg" of the West, they love it.

They don't like the actual use of national credit, because China has never privatized its central bank. They also don't like the large-scale infrastructure development, the high-speed rail, all those things that have lifted people out of poverty, about a billion people in just 20 years. They hate the idea of a nation-state determining their economic destiny. So there's a fight over ia that won't go away, a lot of these things won't go away.

China has been penetrated by columns of the deep state, like fifth columns, for a long time, which it has tried to eliminate. Jack Ma is an excellent example: he's a director of the World Economic Forum, who last year called for the overthrow, in short, for an economic regime change in China. He was more or less ousted.

It's as if he's been totally stripped of his power. So you have evidence of these struggles, especially with the Shanghai billionaire clique that has allied itself with the Western liberal lists. Russia is doing the same. They have their own fifth columns around their liberal, privatized, Western-linked central bank, which is linked to many of these pharmaceutical networks inside Russia.

So there's fighting going on all over the place. I think the military encirclement of China and Russia is a serious issue that people should think about. It's like ~~the oligarchy~~ ^{the oligarchy} scares the oligarchy to the point that they're... I don't know what you think about it, like why would they put so much effort into doing this total containment, total domination of China and Russia?

03:15:09 Reiner Fuellmich

Whitney, James Bush is with us and he's going to give us the details of operation dark winter, operation lockstep of the Rockefellers and the 20166 event. But if you could present that to us, it will probably be very helpful.

03:15:28

Withney

Webb

Well, I was going to talk about something that's probably a little bit different, more of the importance of dark winter, some of the parallels between 2001 and some of the figures that were behind covid and anthrax, have things like that in common. So I'm going to be fairly brief. I'll let him, since he's here, talk about dark winter in detail.

For those who don't know, it was a simulation of a smallpox outbreak, but it also included the potential threat of an anthrax attack, it foresaw significant parts of what would become the narrative of September 11, 2001, the people who participated in that exercise immediately after September 11 showed an apparent foreknowledge of those attacks, the anthrax attacks that followed shortly after. So we have, of course, the simulation preceding the event. Event 201, we have that as well. There's also Crimson contagion, which Debi mentioned, just a moment ago.

This is significant because the person who spoke dark winter's name in the exercise, Robert Kadlec, the hhs assistant secretary for preparedness and response under the Trump administration, he led this Crimson contagion exercise in 2019.

After the anthrax attacks of 2001, he assumed a lot of power and basically built up that position of assistant secretary for a little less than 20 years and then he held it just as the covid happened.

What a coincidence! Crimson contagion is important because it didn't just last a few days, like event 201 or dark winter, it lasted from January 2019 to August 2019. It involved public-private cooperation, occurred at the federal level, at the regional level, at the local level, at the municipal level, it was very widespread.

In a way, you could say that it's a little more predictive than what came later as event 201, in the sense that it focused on the outbreak of a flu pandemic in China, whereas event 201 was in South America.

Event 201 and dark winter have important links. Primarily, the people involved in these two events, such as Thomas Inglesby, had ties to organizations such as the institute for homeland security, which was created in the late 1990s and was intimately involved with the cia and other institutions that also had ties to the intelligence community. Beyond the commonalities between these two simulations, between the anthrax attacks and covid, there is the specter of function gain research that is very much present.

In the case of anthrax, in 1997 the pentagon developed plans to genetically engineer a more potent variety of anthrax, supposedly because a Russian scientist had claimed to have created a strain of anthrax resistant to the standard anthrax vaccine and animal studies, but not necessarily for the purpose of biological warfare or biological defense. The Pentagon used this to justify these gain-of-function experiments.

At the same time, in 1997, the cia also began conducting gain-of-function experiments on anthrax. These experiments were conducted at a facility called Battelle, located in West Jefferson, Ohio, which currently has ties to the Leslie Wexner Foundation for those familiar with its role in the Epstein network, but which is also a cia-military subcontractor. I won't go into extreme detail on this subject.

Essentially a cia asset at the time, a defector from the Soviet Union's biological weapons and biodefense program, Ken Alibek, was the program director for these gain-of-function studies at Battelle and he and another character by the name of William Patrick, who was not initially suspected of the attacks, but was later added to the investigation, he covered up these attacks, they were essentially the people directing this gain of function recently.

66 Event 201 simulates an outbreak of a new zoonotic coronavirus transmitted from bats to pigs to humans, which eventually spreads rapidly from person to person, resulting in a severe pandemic. It took place in October 2019 in NY.

Most people who look into the anthrax attacks and know that Bruce Ivens was not a lone wolf, are aware of the inaccuracy of the narrative, including several U.S. Attorneys that Ivens had at the time, who committed suicide very prematurely, believe that Battelle was responsible and every serious researcher of the 2001 anthrax that I know, believes that Battelle was the site of the anthrax that was used in the attacks.

Let's move on to another parallel: bio-monitoring solutions, one of the most important in the context of what we've been talking about today. After the anthrax attacks and also 9/11, there was a push to create a system within darpa⁶⁷ called *"total information awareness."* One of those programs was called the biosurveillance program, which was to develop, quote, *"the necessary information technologies and resulting prototypes capable of automatically detecting the covered release of a biological pathogen."*

This would be accomplished by monitoring non-traditional data sources, primarily pre-diagnostic medical data and behavioral indicators obtained from civilian data. Although they claimed to focus on bioterrorist attacks. They wanted to acquire early detection capabilities for all sorts of normal epidemics, which would then be automated, with some, I guess the precursors of today's artificial intelligence algorithms. They wanted a massive data mining program. That was basically their goal.

03:21:36

"Total knowledge of information" was rejected by congress because it was said that it would eliminate accuracy, it was pointed out precisely that it would eliminate civil liberties for Americans entirely and that the right to privacy would no longer exist; so it was scrapped.

But the architects of *"total information knowledge,"* including the neoconservative figure

Richard Perle, worked hand in hand with Peter Thiel and Alex Karp to create Palantir⁶⁸, which is a private sector, successor to the private sector of *"total information knowledge"*. This one was a public-private partnership with the US military. Palantir was not so much involved with the military after its creation, it was more intimately involved with the CIA which could help them create their product. The CIA was their only customer from 2005 to 2008.

Some aspects of the *"total knowledge of information"* program, *which was* discontinued, such as the biomonitoring program, were resurrected in the covid era under the leadership of people like Robert Kadlec, such as monitoring of wastewater systems, to detect disease outbreaks. All of this was planned at that time. It's no coincidence that all of this data, in this particular covid era, if you want to call it that, is being fed into a database run by Palantir. The UK, the nhs, the covid data is also managed by Palantir.

So it's a kind of Anglo-American control grid of total information knowledge, which has appeared in Palantir and certainly doesn't get the attention it deserves. Its origins go back to this particular period. In 2001, many of the same actors who set it up, [note that Peter Thiel is a major funder of right-wing media, including in the alternative media sphere]. So I'll leave that aside, because that's for another time.

The last thing I want to point out is that the anthrax situation and what's happening, you know, with covid-19 is the fortuitous rescue of endangered vaccine companies with deep ties to the U.S. military. The first one would be bioport, which is now emergent biosolutions. They changed their name in 2004 because of the controversy over their anthrax vaccine, which they had a monopoly on producing and selling to the US military, the same network responsible for the anthrax attacks mandated the use of the anthrax vaccine for US troops.

⁶⁷ darpa is a branch of the U.S. Department of Defense that specializes in advanced technologies.

⁶⁸ palantir technologies is a software and services company specializing in big data science and analysis. Based in Denver, Colorado, the company develops and markets two software products dedicated to data fusion, visualization and operational work on data. Palantir is also an object of legend (vision stone, clearing stone) appearing in the books "The Lord of the Rings".

It ended up causing what is often called Gulf War Syndrome and a whole host of adverse effects. And they were bailed out by the pentagon several times because their plants were shut down several times because of safety and health violations, among other things. They didn't use the money to fix those plants. They used the bonuses for executives and executive office remodels, among other things, which is quite typical in these circles, it seems.

03:24:45

Anyway, they partnered with Battelle in late 2000. As I mentioned, Battelle was doing this gain of function for the pentagon and the cia at the time and that research entered a new phase when this partnership began because it was directly related to the anthrax vaccine.

In September 2001, the Pentagon was going to release a report on how to continue its mandatory anthrax vaccination program without this company. September 11, 2001 derailed this project, as the administrative wing working on this report was hit by a plane on September 11, 2001, while conducting this investigation. Donald Rumsfeld decided to save this program.

The concerns that were heard in Congress and throughout the American political system, nationally at the time, about this particular vaccine product disappeared in the panic of the anthrax attacks and were replaced by calls not only to make the vaccination campaign mandatory for the military, but also for the emergency services, for teachers, firefighters, police officers, and so on.

Today, the commonality of this kind of business today is moderna. They have even admitted now that they were on the verge of collapse, had it not been for the arrival of covid-19 at the exact time it arrived. I would like to point out that a month or two apart and they would have gone totally bankrupt. Of course, moderna has very close ties to the US military, specifically darpa, which has been funding them since 2012, I believe. For more information on this, you can check out my website, unlimitedhangout.com and watch the investigative series, engineering contagion about anthrax. I have a video

related to moderna. I think it is called "*moderna gets its miracle*" or something like that for covid-19. I'm going to stop here, because I have 10 minutes left in case you want to ask questions.

03:26:53 Viviane Fischer

A small question about emergent biosolutions, they are involved in the production of vaxxins now, is that correct?

03:27:02 Whitney Webb

Yes, originally, after producing a very large number of doses for Johnson & Johnson vaxxin that were found to be contaminated and unusable, I think they went under the knife. It's interesting to note that Robert Kadlec, who I mentioned earlier, has deep and long-standing ties to this company and actually founded a separate company with the founder of emergent biosolutions. And he was, even the Washington post, forced to admit that he showed favoritism in awarding contracts for covid-19.

Despite their really terrible track record and even complaints within the system, they got contracts to produce vaxxin. I don't remember exactly which companies were involved, but Johnson & Johnson's vaxxin was one of them and they were very criticized. So I'm not sure if they are still making that vaxxin.

I would say that some of these mRNA companies, particularly moderna, have now decided to use a new company that was created in the covid era, called *resilience*, to produce their RNA specifically for their vaxxin.

People from the Gates foundation, the fda, the pfizer board, a member of the 9/11 commission, the head of In-Q-Tel, the cia's venture capital firm, among other groups, are making their products and it was done and this company was created in November 2020.

They hope to produce mRNA for all attackers in the United States and Canada.

03:28:45 Viviane Fischer There

seems to be some infighting, but all these different interests are pulling in the same direction. But it's not clear who is going to do the, who is going to be the leader of the pack.

03:29:00 Whitney Webb

Yes. I think the internal bickering is more about the process than the overall agenda.

03:29:16 Reiner Fuellmich

The two mRNA companies moderna and biontech, which are used by pfizer, have both been under a lot of pressure recently. Their share prices have more or less collapsed. That's because a ruling in the U.S. is forcing pfizer to more or less declassify documents that they wanted to hide from the public, and all of a sudden [a recent interview with an investment banker named Ed Dowd explains this in detail], when people see what's really going on, they decide to sell their shares. So the bailout doesn't seem to have really worked. But ~~th~~ only because other people have exposed what's really going on.

03:30:14 Whitney Webb

Right and I don't think they planned it.

03:30:21 Reiner Fuellmich

This proves Matthews' point that they don't really have everything under control.

03:30:25 Whitney Webb

Yes, I agree with that too. And I think that's why we're seeing them, for example, in various countries, particularly the Western countries, lifting the restrictions for the time being, as Leana Wen, the medical expert for cnn, said, so that public confidence can be restored. So we can use these measures, again, in the future, for a second pandemic or some kind of event like that.

If you look at the World Economic Forum, their theme for last year was rebuilding trust with the public. So that's something that they're really concerned about, the lack of public trust in the elites.⁶⁹ I think they're still in that mindset. Even if they start to not trust us en masse, what are we going to do about it? I think that's essentially what it comes down to.

But I think they're also planning to try to ramp up different things that aren't necessarily the covid as this story

particular is crumbling, to try to keep people divided, distracted and confused by the mass of information that is coming out all the time from these people. Which is, as I've already explained, a kind of psyop, the psychological operation side of what's going on.

03:31:47 Reiner Fuellmich

Okay, well, are there any other questions from you, Virginia, or Dexter, or Ana? Or Dipali?

03:32:00 Dexter Ryneveldt

No questions from me. Thank you very much, Mrs. Webb

03:32:05 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes, thank you very much. Thank you very much.

03:32:12 Viviane Fischer

Yes, we're going to insert some witnesses now, we have to for the record and for the public to see that we know what's really going on. I think we have to. It's so crazy, what we've been through in these two years that sometimes we seem to forget what we had, what we thought was the normal way of dealing with things, that you could choose without being deceived, if you wanted to have medical treatment, or if you wanted to protest something, without having to deal with the police and so on.

We have prepared two videos. We have two witnesses, one live here with us and one in the zoom. I would like to start showing you what we experienced, when we learned from a whistleblower that in a nursing home here in Berlin, there was to be a vaxxination. On a Sunday. The vials were brought in by soldiers. When the elderly were confronted with soldiers, who acted together with the doctors to inoculate the vaxxin, some patients were not really willing to accept it. This was in the "*dementia section*" of this nursing home.

Some of these patients were obviously not able to sign the authorizations themselves. We still have to respect their wishes; they have to express whether they want to be treated or not. We will see about that.

⁶⁹ What do you think about stopping talking about the elites, which they are only in relation to the evil. Let's talk about a caste! I propose the "m4" [in lower case] to talk about this "minority of Machiavellian multi-billionaires".

A whistleblower told us about this incident and what happened next. Of those 31 people who were vaxxed, eight died fairly quickly after vaxxing. Within a few weeks, I think the last person died two or three months later. They were in good shape, it was...

03:34:47 Reiner Fuellmich

Before the vaxxin.

03:34:50

Viviane

Fischer

Before the vaxxination, this was the section where they could walk around freely. Some of them jogged every day, someone played the piano. They sang songs the night before. Then already in the evening, some of them had serious problems.

What is also interesting about this is that later on we contacted the police and I personally filed a criminal charge. I asked them to investigate because two of the people who died, the last ones, whose bodies were still at the funeral home. We informed the police and suggested to them that they should investigate this with the district attorney. They sent us a letter saying that they did not see anything suspicious and that they could not start investigating.

This is peculiar. After our interview with the whistleblower, a second whistleblower, came forward and provided some images that you will see now.

03:36:09 Reiner Fuellmich

It's to illustrate what we're really talking about. Because today, tonight, we've talked about the preparation of what's really going on and now we're looking at the consequences.

Because I think it's important for us and for the jury to understand that we're not just talking about irrelevant games that are played, power games, but that these games have very serious consequences. And that's what this video clip illustrates very clearly.

03:36:45

Video #1 - Subtitles

From the army. The house doctor is always present...with caregivers. So a group of three, a caregiver, a doctor and a

soldier. What role do soldiers play? None of the people who work in nursing...with the mentally or physically ill, or dementia can tell me...that force is not used...both mentally and physically...No, no one said anything, but my impression was...that the soldiers...were there to guard the vaxxin. And then it was very clear to me...that it was to make a "statement" to the elderly. You could feel...the atmosphere of intimidation.

We didn't recognize our residents. These were not the same old residents...who were freely doing...what they do instinctively. but sitting...They were completely silent and still. How did they show this fear? Could they verbalize it? You could see it...from their different behavior. They were very intimidated, very quiet, very withdrawn, they are not ready to die yet. Their eyes are open. They are restless. This strong shaking...changes their breathing. The pallor of their face is very different. They are very unsettled, with this shaking. And this time, as said... This constant shaking of the upper body... this shaking, which I'm not familiar with... in this situation either... that happened with a lot... It's the physical and psychological force, also for the PCR test. It's applied violence that's used...to do these procedures.

Also this vaxxination... it's violence, physical and mental violence. They just go right through it. And for the residents? Exactly the same thing. Total intimidation. I think it was also that group dynamic. These four people in one place... and suddenly seeing these wild strangers... They were all intimidated... One resident, she had shown signs of resistance... as we already know from her. When there were only three people in the room, you immediately notice that the situation is different. Clearly, they come to you in your bed. But you can tell...

03:38:55 Reiner Fuellmich

So it shows that, when Brian said that, they kill people. They don't kill people in theory, they kill people in real life. One of the people that we saw in this video, that was filmed with a hidden camera is dead, the old man in the pajamas is dead. So that's what it's all about. There are real consequences.

As a result, these so-called power plays are really about control. It's really about population reduction. As this short video clip clearly illustrates and this is just one example. There are many other examples like this. We have gotten a lot of information from similar nursing homes where the same thing has happened.

This example is particularly impressive for us, because it is taking place right here in Berlin. 31 people were vaxxed and within about two weeks, eight of them had died, 11 others had developed severe symptoms.

We don't know what happened to them. These eight people who died, one of them, as Vivian mentioned, was playing the piano the day before she was vaxxed, another was running the day before she was injected. That's what it's really about.

03:40:34 Viviane Fischer

Yes, we have another video clip that we're going to see. This is a small event, like a vigil, that took place over... [the witness will tell us in a second] several days, 60-62 days. It was the last day that there was a small meeting. It was a small event and you'll be surprised at the number of police officers present compared to the size of the event you're going to see and how harshly they put a stop to it.

First we will show the clip and then we will talk to Roman Mironov.

Video #2 - In German

03:41:28

03:41:41

Our vaxxed children have no protection

03:41:48

Our children only have us and...

03:41:54

Attention, attention, the Police are talking to you, please, for your understanding

03:42:03

I have to talk dear gentlemen, drop your old guilt, drop your materialism

03:42:10

let them down and give them to see their home

03:42:17

This means that your demonstration must stop, you must go to the Central Station

03:42:23

I repeat, due to the lack of compliance with sanitary measures...

03:42:38 Viviane Fischer

You can see how disproportionate this is to what is going on, there were not even health standards being violated. In an earlier era, we would have thought this was a normal event. We'll just talk to Roman Mironov.

Reiner Fuellmich

Roman, what happened?

03:43:07 Roman Mironov

I don't speak English very well, so I will have to speak in German.

03:43:15

Viviane

Fischer

Good. I will translate. In a few words, can you explain that you had done this demonstration 60 times and why it ended the way it did?

03:43:30 Roman Mironov



Exactly! I had organized a vigil in front of the chancellor's office because demonstrations were no longer possible in Berlin. They degenerated into police violence, it always ended in police violence. So I organized a vigil

with a few people again in front of the chancellor's office. The police can't overrun that easily. We did this for a period of over two months. Frequently there were mainstream media with us. They wrote to us and never reported on us. They were there because there was something going on in the Chancellor's office. One of us had signed up for the Chancellor's office and the last day, June 1, was Universal Children's Day. We resisted there. We didn't put on masks, that was the least level of resistance we could offer. Of course, it got out of hand and our demonstration, our assembly was dissolved.

03:43:30 Viviane Fischer

We're going to have more examples, but I'll quickly summarize, two months after the Malwacher, at a time when there wasn't going to be a major event anyway. It was a demonstration directly related to children.

In fact, there was a lot of media usually there. They never mentioned this event in their columns because they obviously wanted to keep it under the rug or like and you know, not talk about it.

The only thing that was not respected was that outside, masks had to be worn, even though people kept their distance. You can see that the police immediately decided to kill this small demonstration, this small protest at that moment.

So Roman, thank you so much for your statement and for sharing this, this experience with us. Yes, now we have...

03:46:05 Reiner Fuellmich

It's now up to James Bush.

03:46:12 Viviane Fischer

Then we will continue with other examples in the future.

03:46:17 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes. Now, James, you've been waiting for a while, but we're very interested in hearing about Operation Dark Winter, the Rockefeller lockstep simulation, and the 201 event.

03:46:45 James Bush




Okay. I'm absolutely blown away by everything I've heard today and the intelligence and experience of the team that is producing this, so I wanted to thank you all. My references are very simple. I retired after 20

years in the United States Marine Corps as a lieutenant colonel. I was an infantry officer, a force reconnaissance officer. Then I became an engineer and worked for Honeywell Corporation as a launch guidance engineer for the Shuttle program. Then I became a special operations engineer for special environments and mechanical systems. I was the engineering manager and manager of the North Colorado medical center for the Infectious Disease Research Center at Colorado State University.


Colorado. For the Center for Vector Research at the Colorado Center for Disease Control, Fort Collins, Colorado. My interest in this topic was piqued long before I knew about what is happening today, I was working for Hewlett Packard. As part of my engineering duties, I was the biosafety manager for the Rocky Mountain West and was invited to attend a program called dark winter in Oklahoma city in June 2001.

At this point, I'm not sure how I got here. I'm going to share my screen and I'm going to post a pretty quick presentation. I mean it, it's faster than the others.

So this is my CV, the summary of who I am.




I've already been through it with you. I have a master's degree in mechanical engineering, a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering, and a



This is dark winter, you may have heard about it and you may already know about it, but it was ostensibly a program supported by government and private organizations on the 22nd and June 23, 2001 at Andrews Air Force Base in Oklahoma City.

You will also find anser homeland security and csis70. The Oklahoma national memorial center for civil biodefense, these are organizations that have been the forerunners of all the elements necessary to create a biological weapons system in the United States.

Dark winter, these slides that you're about to see are just a very, very small part of a slide deck that was generated during this presentation. It wasn't a real study, they called us to study this. In fact, when we got there, they said, *"Okay, this is what we're doing, sit down and look at it. Here are the conclusions we came to. Please take this back to your companies, to your organizations and share it with them."* Because the government was in total control of the process.



It was an exercise designed to simulate the possible response to a deliberate introduction of smallpox into three states in 2002. Dark winter was developed as a program by the centers for strategic and international studies and the Johns Hopkins center and the anser for homeland security and evolution, I think you've all heard those names before, you're going to continue to hear them as we go through this process of analyzing what is happening to our country.

The anser institute for homeland security has finally been converted into a nationally funded ministerial cabinet called homeland security. The key participants, we'll show you who they are, what the objectives are. Originally, it was a scenario.



70 csic is Canada's security and intelligence service.

71 The CDC is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the primary federal agency in the United States for public health protection.

So our government, as you know and a lot of governments really like scenarios, they like *"What if we did this? What if that happened? What would be the next steps?"* This was supposed to be a scenario study, but you have to know the characters that play that role.



The year is 2001. Some of the most important people in the government and defense of the United States of America took time off work to go to Oklahoma city and asked the question, what happens if you get hit by smallpox? Smallpox is clearly defined by all the organizations, who, cdc71, fema, everybody says it's a pandemic disease because it has an actual mortality rate of about 32 to 33%.

03:52:21

As opposed to coronavirus, which depending on who you talk to today is maybe 0.01% or 0.02%. So they used a real pandemic disease to see what would happen. But the people who came were Sam Nunn, U.S. Senator Frank Keating [Governor of Oklahoma], U.S. National Security Advisor, U.S. Cia Director, Secretary of Defense John White, Chairman of the U.S. Army, Chief of Staff General John Tilelli, U.S. Secretary of State Frank Wisner, Secretary of Health and Human Services Margaret Hamburg, Attorney General George Terwilliger, FEMA Director Jerry Hauer, and the Director of FBI.

I'm sure this type of assemblage of people has been formed before. I don't think in the history of the United States they've ever come to a meeting like this, created a document, created a script, and then disappeared, because within two or three days of the end of this program, some of us got actual copies of the slides. Everywhere else it disappeared, it was on the internet for a while. If you remember, in 2001, the internet was not what it is today.

It took me several years to find this on the internet. In the meantime, I had a copy on my computer. And later, when I was working for the center for disease control, cdc, I would talk to various researchers about it and ask them what they thought. They all sort of turned their backs and walked away. They didn't want to talk about what it was all about.

The question, then, is what would happen if a local biological weapons attack were to strike America with a contagious pathogen that could cripple the country. The government's response will pose an enormous challenge to civil liberties. The less prepared we are for the greatest threats, the more there will be to civil liberties.


So, in the starting material, the first words are about our civil liberties that they are going to take away during this event. Let's stay on this page for a moment...tell you that during this study, which lasted two days. When we arrived, they had all kinds of slides and I'll be happy to show those slides to anyone who wants to see them. The slides really show the thought process, the initiation of an agenda, whereby they wanted to share with the people in the room, people from science, industry and business. They were saying to us, how is this going to affect you? They showed what was happening after one day, after three days, after six days, how many people would get sick, how many people would get hurt, how many people would die, and how it was progressing.

This document was provided as a way to create a couple of things. One is a tutorial on how to initiate and get the results we want from a pandemic disease. The other would be how do we control the results?

How many people would die? How to deal with the inoculations? This was a known disease, which had an extraordinarily high mortality rate. They translated that into reality. It gave them the opportunity to create a blueprint, a primer, a document that they can use when it comes to creating a biological weapon, a pandemic national security event.

That's what they did. I'll be happy to share the rest of these documents. My actual presentation on dark winter is about two and a half hours long, because I go into all the details of what a biosafety level 1, 2, 3, and 4 facility is, where they are, how they are used, and how our government and our universities are looking at this. There's, there's a wealth of information in that slide show. However, Reiner asked me to keep it relatively short.

This is the beginning. This is the first step. Our government hired some of the most powerful people in our administration to push this concept. The next was operation lockstep. Lockstep was an operation ostensibly done by Ralph Emerson. He kept referring to John D. Rockefeller.



03:57:36

It was claimed to be a three-stage approach to permanent containment for mass depopulation. I have done extensive research on this. Operation Lockstep was actually a concept created by people doing research. Not only on Operation Lockstep but also on Ralph Emerson's 54 page book. Ralph Emerson wrote *"The New World Order"* which was 320 pages long. The main information of this whole lockstep operation comes from page 18 of that book.

There were two elements, operation lockstep was created by information from the new world order and another program that came out of the Rockefeller institution. So my question is, what is it really?

Three Phases of Operation Lockstep

- **First Phase**
- Common cold/flu. Mild symptoms at most.
- Media endorsement of mass paranoia and fear.
- Flawed testing system utilized, which picks up any genetic material in the body and triggers a positive result.
- Inflation of Covid case numbers through the changing of death certificates, double counting, classifying all deaths including other diseases and natural causes as Covid19.
- Lockdown will condition people to life under Draconian Laws, prevent protests and identify public resistance.
- **2nd Phase**
- The 1st Phase will lead to compromised and frail immune systems through lack of food, social distancing, wearing of masks, lack of sunlight and healthy bacteria.
- Exposure to 5G radiation will further attack the immune system.
- Thus when people re-merge into society, more people will fall ill.
- This will be blamed on Covid19.
- This will all occur before the vaccination is ready to justify it.
- A longer and more potent lockdown will follow until everyone takes the vaccines
- **Third Phase**
- If a majority of people resist the vaccine, a weaponized SARS/HIV/MERS virus will be released.
- A lot of people will die from this.
- It will be the survival of the fittest.
- It will also be the ultimate push for everyone to be vaccinated in order to return to normality.
- Those who have taken the vaccine will be at war with those who have not.
- It will be anarchy from all sides.

The published document essentially attests to the fact that people got together and said, "Okay, based on reading the new world order information, this is what we see happening." This is very consistent with what is in the 320-page New World Order document. It's a very clear model of what actually happened. Operation Lockstep came out in mid-June or July of last year, a lot of people tried to refute it, but there was no one who...

03:59:47 Reiner Fuellmich

What happened?

03:59:50 James Bush

...created according to the documents, in 1989. So you have 2001, dark winter, you have 1989 when operation lockstep and the first documents were created for that and then we move forward as our nation's history unfolds.



You see Ralph Emerson's New World Order, you see the Rockefeller Foundation and the global trading organization that contributed to the New World Order. Rockefeller contributed to the following book, which is a book of about 54 pages

called "Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development." This was done by the Rockefeller Foundation.

Via the internet, you find that it constantly refers to what you see on the right side of the page, which is the first, second and third phase. In 1989, nobody knew anything about 5G radiation. No one knew anything specific about the coronavirus.

This information came out, but it was really a combination of data created in the new world order. I don't know how many people have read the *New World Order*. It's a malicious, disgusting instruction book on how to destroy Western civilization. It quotes George Bush and the whole litany of people we often talk about.


04:01:40

Reiner

Fuellmich

James, can you do us a favor and read those three paragraphs? Because I think it's extremely important for people to understand what happened in 1989.

04:01:52 James Bush



I will be happy to do so.


First phase: cold, flu, mild symptoms, at most a media endorsement of paranoia and mass fear, faulty testing systems, which detect any genetic material in the body and trigger a result

positive. The number of covid cases is inflated by changing death certificates to double count and classify all deaths, including other diseases and natural causes, as covid-19. Containment will condition us to live under draconian laws, prevent protests and identify public resistance. This is the first step.

The second phase: The first phase will lead to the compromise and fragility of the immune system through lack of food, social distancing, wearing of masks and lack of contact with sunlight and healthy bacteria. Exposure to 5G radiation will further attack the immune system. Thus, when people reappear in society, more people will fail or become ill. This will be blamed on covid-19. All this will happen before vaxxination is ready, in order to justify it. A longer and more powerful lockdown will follow until everyone takes the vaxxin. This is their intention.

The third phase: if a majority of the population resists vaxxin, a weaponized SARS HIV MERS virus will be released. Many people will die. There will be survivors, it will be survival of the fittest. It will also be the final push for everyone to get vaxxed back to normal. Those who have been vaxxed will be at war with those who have not. It will be anarchy.


The excerpt is from page 18. And the other document, which is "*Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development*". This is all related. This was leaked and it was designed as a document to do two things. Tell people where they are going. Repeat it back to them. If you tell someone it's going to happen and you keep reading it, eventually people accept that it's happening. We heard that every day.



- Lock Step is the fulfillment of the concepts and goals expressed and solicited in the philosophies of the "New World Order" and the Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development
- Those concepts and agendas were put into operation for Covid 19 Plandemic.
- Author?

Next image. Lockstep is the fulfillment of the concepts and goals expressed and solicited in the New World Order philosophies and scenarios for the future of technology and international development. These concepts and programs were implemented for the covid-19 pandemic. The actual author of the lockstep documents is unknown.

It is clear that the New World Order was created in 1989. And the "*Scenarios for Future Technology*" was created in 2010. That's when the document known as "*lockstep*" was created.



LOCK STEP Scenario Narratives :
A world of tighter top-down government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen pushback In 2012, the pandemic that the world had been anticipating for years finally hit. Unlike 2009's H1N1, this new influenza strain—originating from wild geese—was extremely virulent and deadly. Even the most pandemic-prepared nations were quickly overwhelmed when the virus streaked around the world, infecting nearly 20 percent of the global population and killing 8 million in just seven months, the majority of them healthy young adults. The pandemic also had a deadly effect on economies: international mobility of both people and goods screeched to a halt, debilitating industries like tourism and breaking global supply chains. Even locally, normally bustling shops and office buildings sat empty for months, devoid of both employees and customers. The pandemic blanketed the planet—though disproportionate numbers died in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Central America, where the virus spread like wildfire in the absence of official containment protocols. But even in developed countries, containment was a challenge. The United States's initial policy of "strongly discouraging" citizens from flying proved deadly in its leniency, accelerating the spread of the virus not just within the U.S. but across borders. However, a few countries did fare better—China in particular. The Chinese government's quick imposition and enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well as its instant and near-hermetic sealing off of all borders, saved millions of lives, stopping the spread of the virus far earlier than in other countries and enabling

The next page is the concept of the scenario. This is the lockstep scenario. It's a scenario that our government has done, that looks at what the lockstep should be.

"A world of tighter government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen resistance. In 2012, the pandemic the world had anticipated for years finally struck. Unlike 2019, H1N172, this new flu strain from wild geese [this is a guess] was extremely virulent and deadly.

Even the nations best prepared for a pandemic were quickly overwhelmed by the virus as it spread around the world, infecting nearly 20% of the world's population and killing eight million people in just seven months.

The majority were healthy young adults. The pandemic also had a deadly effect on economies, international mobility and the immobilization of people and goods, weakening industries such as tourism and breaking global supply chains.

Even at the local level, normally busy stores and buildings have been empty for months, without employees or customers.


The pandemic affected the world by disproportionately targeting Africa, Southeast Asia and South America, where the virus spread like wildfire in the absence of formal containment protocols. Even in developed countries, containment was a challenge. The initial U.S. policy of strongly advising citizens against flying proved deadly in its leniency."

I'll skip the rest.

"However, a few countries fared better, China in particular. The Chinese government was quick to implement mandatory quarantine for all citizens as well as the near-tight and immediate closure of borders, which saved millions."

That information was written, that's where the whole concept came from. So they had the dark winter. They decided they really didn't want to use something like smallpox. The development and genetic modification of diseases is practiced all over the world.

Ostensibly, it's used for drug development and preventive medicine. In reality, and I say this having run labs, I have worked on six Coronaviruses, I have worked on 78 other biosafety level 3 and 3+ diseases.



The reason why universities, the military and the government are doing this research is a biological weapons program, they are trying to find cheaper tools to use against a common enemy. They are developing tools that they can control the entire development of the process...


04:08:58

Where I have worked, for the cdc and csu I have not never seen a vaccine come out in less than six years. They tried to tell us, they tried to tell the scientists that they have created vaxxins that are safe. That there are four different vaxxins, that do different things to the human body! And they did it in less than nine months. Ladies and gentlemen, I can only tell you that from my point of view, these are lies, lies and more lies.

Finally, on October 18, 2019, many of you already know what happened, but the John Hopkins Center, the Bill and Melinda Gates Group, and the World Economic Forum; here we go back to the financial side of this atrocity, the John Hopkins Center for Health Security in partnership with the World Economic Forum and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation organized the 201 event.

A high-level pandemic exercise, October 18, 2019 in NY, the exercise illustrated areas where public-private partnerships would be needed in the event of a severe pandemic response, to lessen the large-scale economic and social consequences. There were statements on the new Coronavirus, pandemic exercises.

In recent years, the world has seen an increasing number of epidemic events: about 200 events per year. They are on the rise and so on. Experts agree that it is only a matter of time before epidemics become global.



How could they have a meeting with all the top people on the East Coast in New York on October 28, and then all of a sudden, less than a month later, we have the coronavirus emerging, from Wuhan Province? I'll tell you how to run a lab. I ran one of the largest in the country, the one at Colorado State University. Viruses don't get out accidentally. You could get them out yourself, when I worked there. I can get them out any time with plague virus, with hiv, with tuberculosis, with all kinds of Coronas; by the way, Coronas weren't even used in Level 3 facilities. They were level 2s.

You wonder why. Check with the CIA. The question remains, how come in all the media, you get up in the morning, you listen to a radio station, you go to a TV station and they all say exactly the same thing? Do you all know about Operation Mockingbird?

Operation Mockingbird

Operation Mockingbird was a CIA program that enlisted more than 400 American journalists, as well as journalists around the world, to manipulate public opinion by spreading propaganda or what we call it today, fake news.

In an era of "fake news" and revelations of Facebook sharing user information to outside sources in an apparent attempt to influence voters for elections, the veracity of what we see in the media is more crucial than ever.

But, is this a new phenomenon?

The CIA's Love Affair With Manipulating News

As far back as the end of World War II, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) has played a major role in news media here in the U.S. as well as in foreign nations, exerting considerable influence over what the public sees, hears and reads on a regular basis. Operation Mockingbird was an alleged large-scale program within the CIA to manipulate news media for propaganda purposes. The program is thought to have been born out of the CIA's forefather, the Office for Strategic Services (OSS), which existed from 1942 to 1947.

During World War II, Operation Mockingbird had established a network of journalists and psychological warfare experts, operating primarily in the European theatre.

Mockingbird is a CIA program that was created after World War II and is still operational today. It's how the CIA creates a dialogue and works with the six or seven major media systems in the world. And I can say this, because you don't know her name: my daughter is a military intelligence officer in the US Army. She's been there since 12 years old. She's a major, selected to be a lieutenant colonel. I showed her all this and she said, "Yeah, that's what they do. They're doing it now."



So the question...there are a lot of questions about why. And I think the right questions are the ones you asked today. But there is one important piece of information that I wanted to share with you. When I started managing the infectious disease research centers, both in Fort Collins, about 14 years ago, there were 25 to 27 biosafety level 3 and 4 labs in the world. We are now in 2022 and this is directly from the cdc website, I compared it to other websites as well this is the distribution of biosafety level 3 and 4 labs in the world and you can see that Australia has four, almost every other country has one or two, Germany has three, India has three. The UK has six.

The U.S. has 15 and when I started this business, they only had three. Biosafety level three are the ones that work on diseases like coronavirus, influenza, HIV, viruses that are not extraordinarily powerful. They make them into biological weapons. But level three is the test bed for level four. There are several small countries...several small countries have one or two Level 3s. All the countries on the Level 4 list have as many Level 3s as Level 4s. The United States has over 200 biosafety level 3 laboratories in the United States. So, between security levels 3 and 4, the United States of America has 215 bioweapons research facilities, which they call "normal research." But I can tell you that this is not the case.

04:14:59 Reiner Fuellmich

I have to ask you these two questions, because after looking at the documents that you showed us of the lockstep operation...I mean...you quoted those three paragraphs. Were those terms really included in that document? I mean, terms like "covid-19". And the other term too: "5G"? Were they really included?

04:15:28 Vivianne Fischer

This is from a book.

05:15:31 James Bush

[gets confused by the pages of his document], you are observant. And it's absolutely... Yesterday, a question was asked about the documents used in the lockstep operation. That's exactly what they are, that's the, I thought that document was all over the internet.

I said I couldn't find the author of this particular document. It said it was on page 18 in all the references... instead....

Yes it was page 18. And the page 18 that he was referring to was the page in the script that I found. I'll see if I can go back.

04:16:07 Viviane Fischer

Okay, but basically it's like an inspiration that they used for the lockstep scenario.

04:16:14 Reiner Fuellmich

Now, the question is whether it was really included at the time, in 1989, these two terms.

04:16:20 James Bush

[still muddled in his notes] No, so it's that there's, there's the document... the 2020 document that was on the internet. And in the scenario for future technology and international development...I'm sorry, I was going to put the page 18. Wait, no! That's page 18, the lockstep scenarios?

04:16:44 Reiner Fuellmich

No, the other one, with the three paragraphs. We just looked at it. It's slide number 10.

04:16:53 James Bush

This is the one we were on.

04:17:07 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes. Is that really from that book written in 1989?

04:17:12 James Bush

No, it didn't come from there. What I mean is that this document and this slide was created by someone who referred to this page, do you see it? This is from the lockstep operation that was created by the Rockefeller Institute. They took that and they changed the actual events, they put

added the concepts from that paragraph and they... and the world believed it. And what's ironic is if you look at the content of the scenarios for the future paragraph of the technology document, that's where they got that information from. And 5g and covid-19 are not in that paragraph.

04:18:09 Reiner Fuellmich

That's what I thought.

04:18:10 James Bush

Yes. But it still ties it all together, because the information was there in 1989, 2001, 2010. And now for the 201 event, in 2019. So there's a progression that goes along with the historical dialogue that your other speakers and history experts have presented. And this one really shares the information. And from my perspective, dark winter is a critical factor in showing that they have the ability and the intent to do something similar to the 201 event which is the second dark winter.

So much for dark winter. That's the information I can share with you on operation Mockingbird and operation lockstep. For operation lockstep, after we started doing more research, I wanted to do exactly what you said, I wanted to find this particular page in this book, The New World Order by Ralph Epperson. I read it, but there was nothing, I looked for the scenarios for the future of technology, there was nothing.

I looked up all the information I could on the internet. Clearly, somebody made this particular document to match. It was an attempt to coordinate that. It gives credence to the new world order. I don't know how many people in the group here have read the new world order? I have to try to get out of it.

04:20:22 Reiner Fuellmich

Well, the problem with material like this is that it's not only confusing, but it makes us look bad.

04:20:35 James Bush

That's why I wanted to show it to you. I'm not sure we can talk about the lockstep operation unless we just refer to the book "*the New World Order*" and the scenarios. Those are more credible, but not lockstep.

04:20:56 Reiner Fuellmich

I understand. Well, thank you, James, that leads us right into the likely role of the World Health Organization in all of this. We have two more speakers for that. But in the meantime, are there any questions that Virginia, Dexter, Dipali?

04:21:29 Dexter Ryneveldt

Mr. Bush, thank you for the testimony. I just want you to tell me, you mentioned that in your experience it takes about six years or more for a vaccine to come to market. I'd like to relate that to operation warp speed. How does this information relate? Because in a way, it seems that they have put vaxxins against covid-19 on the market very quickly. They justify it by saying that it was because of operation warpspeed. Can you clarify this point?

04:22:14 **James** **Bush**

The answer to that question is they're not making a real vaccine, they're creating a DNA modifier that has nothing to do with the normal process. The process of discovering a disease and learning how to fight it within the human body, applying the right chemicals, doing the right tests, testing on humans, testing on animals and developing a real vaccine. The material that they're injecting people with today, as far as I'm concerned... And as I said, I worked on vaccines for 12 years in environments where my job, in those facilities, was to run the biosafety committee and keep the disease from spreading.

During my tenure, there were 6,000 researchers in these facilities. No one ever got sick. We knew what was causing the disease, we were able to stop it. It was also built into the understanding of knowledge that you can't develop a vaccine accurately in less than six years. So when I started hearing people say we're going to get one this year... They're injecting stuff that we don't know what's in it. I'm not a chemist, I'm not a doctor. I'm not a research doctor, but I've worked with them.

I can tell you that there will never be an inoculation in my arms or in the arms of anyone in my family. Because I'm afraid of what's in there. And that's what some of your other experts will

say, also. Look at the papers we've already talked about today, how do people react, they react very quickly, surely after receiving the inoculation and that would not be the case with a real vaccination.

04:24:16 Dexter Ryneveldt

Thank you, sir.

04:24:21 James Bush

I just want to repeat, in case there is any question, about a virus that escaped from Wuhan. The Wuhan lab is a level three lab. The virus they were working on in China was in a level three. So it doesn't meet the extreme care, consideration and safety requirements of a level four. When I was managing the Colorado facility, we tested to see if people could come out of our building with a selective agent, which is a dangerous virus. Again, go to the cdc website and search for "*selective agent*".

It basically tells you that a selective agent can be genetically modified to become a weapon of mass destruction. That's why they're called selective agents. At the time, we did tests, we brought in people from the Army Corps of Engineers, the FBI, local fire departments, police, and we did tests to see if anyone could get out of our facility. There was no way to stop them. If someone wanted to get out with this equipment, we couldn't stop them. So there, in these labs that I just showed you the numbers on, there's no way to stop anyone from taking these things out. So what they're working on in these labs is, as far as I'm concerned, a biological weapons program. What they're working on is basically the ability to modify other forms of injections to control and kill the general population. That's why they're doing it on the double. It's a chimera, are you all familiar with the concept of a chimera?

04:26:28 Dexter Ryneveldt

Can you explain the concept?

04:26:33 **James** **Bush**

A chimeric vaxxin is developing a drug and injecting it into an animal or a person. That prepares the body for certain reactions. Then you come back with the second part of the chimera, inject it, and it causes extraordinary changes, even death.

So I think the injections are chimerical and to go along with your question about the vaxxins themselves: how is it possible that you have a disease? There are two questions really: how is it possible to have a disease that is being solved with a vaxxin with 95 to 100% efficacy, with four different types of injections that are not even similar in content and that beyond that, in the history of mankind, science and medicine have never found a cure for the common cold and flu, which are

both coronaviruses.

04:27:53 Reiner Fuellmich

Sorry, Dexter.

04:27:56 Dexter Ryneveldt

No problem. Can we move on to you, Reiner?

04:27:58 Reiner Fuellmich

I just wanted to mention that other experts who are going to testify have in the meantime clarified the situation, at least as far as pfizer biontech is concerned. Pfizer is concerned, it's not 95% effective. It's less than 1%. Some people even say it has absolutely no efficacy. That's because we see that countries that have a very high vaxxination rate also have a very high contamination rate, like Israel, like the UK.

They also have a very high contamination rate. In other words, the vaxxins don't seem to work at all. That means you're probably right, Jim. We can't call these injections vaccines, but we'll learn more about that from other experts. **04:28:51 James Bush**

Thank you for all you do and if I can help more, I will.

04:28:54 Reiner Fuellmich

Jim, thank you very much. Thank you very much for walking us through these talks. Okay, now, Lee, we're going to talk to Dr. Astrid Stuckel-Berger and Dr. Silvia Behrendt. Both of them work for who. Who is going to start?

04:29:18 Sylvia Behrendt

I think that's me. And then Astrid will continue.

Reiner Fuellmich

Very good.

04:29:24 Sylvia Behrendt



Thank you very much. My references are as follows: I obtained my PhD at the University of St. Gallen, Switzerland, on the subject of international health regulations and the executive authority of who in case of a health emergency

public of international scope, very long title. That's not my phone ringing. I was a visiting scholar at Georgetown Law, by Professor Gaston, funded by the Swiss National Fund. Later, I collaborated with the secretariat of the international health regulations in Geneva, at the who, and I carried out missions in the countries of the who. with the aim of implementing at the national level the health regulations of the internet. That's it.

The other experts who provided their expertise, last time we heard Mr. Bush, who spoke about the financial, political and security issues, especially under the paradigm of bioterrorism that provide evidence that there is a drift that led to the current pandemic covid-19. I would like to draw attention to the fact that we are not currently facing the medical response to a pandemic. Most of the criticisms made by scientists and physicians were that, from a purely medical point of view, all the sanitary measures recommended and demanded by the national health authorities are in fact contrary to epidemiological and medical knowledge.

This is by design. I say this because the underlying concept used for covid-19 does not follow established scientific principles, but rather a different ideology, called global health security, which is supposed to treat health as a matter of national security, establishing everywhere states of emergency and exception to deal with it. Therefore, I consider it crucial to provide a brief historical analysis of this concept. In order to understand that the current global health crisis we are facing is not about medical science and health in the common sense, as one might expect.

The gradual replacement of medical goals with policy goals began in the context of emerging infectious diseases in

early 1990s. As we've heard before, it was the United States that institutionalized this new approach in a short period of time, but quickly creating a whole new division called "*emerging infectious diseases and information*."

Interestingly, they did not hire the Communicable Disease Control Department staff at that time. This policy confirmed the new paradigm shift from initially reducing the incidence of regionally endemic diseases to focusing solely on preventing international spread in real time and preferably within 24 hours. It was therefore necessary to establish a technocratic apparatus of surveillance networks capable of dealing with these new threats.

Therefore, in 2001, the World Health Assembly adopted a resolution that introduced this new concept and called for the first time for a definition of a public health emergency of international concern, with the aim of revising the obsolete sanitary laws, known as the International Health Regulations, because no one was interested in sanitary laws at that time. The problem was that they were very narrow in scope and only applied to yellow fever, which was black in color.

At the same time, especially in the context of bioterrorism scenario planning in the United States, we all heard a lot of things at the military and academic level. But the most important event, like dark winter, was organized and, oddly enough, all these events were very brief after that. But what's also very interesting and what we haven't heard is that not only did the exercises take place, but they also turned into reality, the legislation in the United States was prepared to curtail civil liberties for the fight against bioterrorism from 1999 on.

This undertaking was initiated by the cdc and eventually finalized by Georgetown University professors such as Professor Gostin, in collaboration with Johns Hopkins University and was called the "*Model State Emergency Health Powers Act*." This model law was heavily criticized at the time in the United States for

transformed governance into a dictatorship, which eventually spread to many states.

Thus, the most important step in the process of revising the International Health Regulations, which is an international treaty, was the outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, abbreviated to SARS as we all know, in 2002, which was accompanied by a media scare out of all proportion to the threat of the disease, which was remarkably low. Moreover, there was a near consensus among scientists that the new SARS outbreak might have had bioterrorist potential. This bioterrorism policy lens that spoke of an explosion of cases led to the international community's agreement that the old laws should be rewritten by who to include bioterrorism, but without officially naming that objective.

This was in line with the paradigm of an open all-hazards approach, which means that not only are various sources of risk included, but also that any intentional release of virus would fall under the who paradigm and that international health rules needed to be revised. Thus, the legal dimension of the global health security concept was finally and successfully incorporated into international health regulations in 2005. This is the American model of public health emergencies, which has been exported to the international community and is now merged into international constitutions that have reached the stage where we have never had such constitutional emergency provisions.

Second, the outdated international disease containment provisions have been replaced to include pathogens, which pose a threat to national security and require an emergency regime that allows for this inflation of legal norms, not only in terms of medical safety regulation, but also in terms of fundamental norms, freedoms and civil rights. According to this new paradigm, the endemic diseases that account for the greatest number of deaths do not fall under the attention of this global set of rules, which are now the standard procedure for pandemics, but only newly identified pathogens without medical treatment, which therefore require emergency authorization because these substances are all unauthorized.

In addition, the importance of diagnostics has emerged within the ideology of global health security as a new priority issue because, according to this ideology, the threat must be identified and addressed before it has devastating effects. Thus, the availability of diagnostics is seen as a necessary requirement for pandemic preparedness and response. I hope you can follow along.

Furthermore, under the threat of bioterrorism, laboratory construction has been stimulated worldwide, as Mr. Bush has already explained to us, because biological weapons are defined as weapons of mass destruction and are a crime under international law, the only legal way to legally undertake medical research and treatment is called biodefense, which takes place in laboratories as we have learned. I would like to remind you that all SARS coronaviruses are generally classified under the US category C of potential bioterrorism agents and also classified under the EU's expert regime for dual use, which refers to the potential for both civilian and military use.

This now helps to paint the picture more clearly. Some historical knowledge is needed to understand that who and the global community are not fighting covid in accordance with our advanced knowledge. After fighting covid as a threat to the nation, disproportionately, with military terminology instead of medical terminology, we now talk about medical and non-medical countermeasures.

In all ministries of health, new departments are created, called national health security departments. So that was the first part of my expertise. Do you have any questions, because I would like to explain how all these newly identified diseases came to the who? Are we moving forward? Okay, if you don't have any questions.

In the second part, I would like to explain in more detail why the small number of pneumonia cases of a typical opponent in Wuhan in late 2019 and in the early days of 2020 were reported to who and quickly resulted in a public health emergency of international significance and soon after in a pandemic. This is all due to the international health regulations and its revision.

In addition, the health regulations referred to include an all-hazards approach. Certain pathogens, such as any new strain of an influenza subtype or any serviceable coronavirus, are always a priority and must be reported within 24 hours to who. The identification of this new virus was possible because China has had a very strict screening regime for respiratory diseases since the SARS outbreak in 2002. So it was possible for China to identify this new pathogen. Already on January 1, the WHO requested more information on the outbreak. On January 3, China officially notified the existence of a group of 44 patients, 11 of whom were severely ill with pneumonia of unknown etiology.

04:40:09 Reiner Fuellmich

[It doesn't look like a pandemic.](#)

04:40:13 Sylvia Behrendt

Yes, it is true. The who asked for more information and there was the closure of the Wuhan market. So this politicization and the interest of who at this very early stage in the first of January, when only 44 people had this atypical pneumonia and 11 people suffered severely from this atypical pneumonia is indeed an interesting aspect. That should lead to some precautions, but how did the crisis begin, knowing that there were no deaths reported and no international cases and that the potential for human-to-human transmission was not assessed at that time.

In the meantime, the new virus has been identified as SARS-Coronavirus. This means that the identification of this new virus falls under the international health regulations. This requires an official report from who and the director general is obliged to form an emergency committee under international rules. Once this official notification has been received, he is legally obliged to form the emergency committee.

Now it gets interesting. At the same time, Professor Drosten and others have been working intensively within the presidency to provide who with a diagnostic test, for example via the PCR method, for this new virus. Professor Drosten was also the most highlighted researcher in 2003 when the new SARS coronavirus was identified and has since been appointed as a WHO expert.

His first protocol was officially submitted to who on January 13, 2020, which implies that he had of course worked before this submission date and who immediately collected the first protocol of this trial. Later, this trial was a bit revised and finally published in the eurosurveillance tour on January 23. He also contributed to the draft guidance from who they did on January 10 and that draft guidance was published.

You can get more information later, if you want, but it gets complicated. This information guide was published as part of a comprehensive set of about ten guidance documents from who, for countries, covering topics related to managing an outbreak of the new strain of coronavirus. So, by January 10, a full set of documents had already been published by that time, which is interesting.

04:44:58 Reiner Fuellmich

While there were literally no cases except for the 44 cases.

04:43:03 Sylvia Behrendt:

It has been officially published. Yet it takes a long time for who to publish something because it has to be approved. It is important to note that, as with any sars-coronavirus related request, or official notification, the director general had to convene a covid-19 emergency committee as a legal requirement.

You can find on the WHO website the experts who are on this committee and read their CVs. This emergency committee advises the Director General when he declares that a public health emergency of international concern exists. It is an executive authority of the director-general in accordance with the legal principles set forth under the international rules.

The first meeting was held on January 22 and the experts did not agree on whether or not there was a public health emergency of international concern. They agreed that there was no international spread of the new coronavirus epidemic.

There were 17 deaths and 557 confirmed cases on January 22. So they decided that 10 days later they would meet again and consult to assess the current situation of new cases.

They did that on January 30, and by that time the cases had increased from over 500 to 14 times that number, to 7711 confirmed cases and 12,167 suspected cases. All this is in the declaration of the second emergency committee of the WHO, in the official information, which you can consult yourself.

04:44:58 Reiner Fuellmich

Are cases defined by positive test results?

04:45:04**Sylvia****Behrendt**

Well, cases are defined that way according to Professor Drosten's essay, because that was an official publication released on January 13. It was also included in the later published guide, "*Laboratory Tests for Suspected Coronavirus Cases*".

These documents are always online on the WHO website. Sometimes they are deleted. These are still online and can still be consulted. This is still a reference to Drosten and his publication.

04:46:36 Reiner Fuellmich

When was the first emergency meeting and when was the second?

04:45:49 Sylvia Behrendt

The first one was on January 22 and they agreed not to attend. They didn't have enough cases because there were only 555 or 557 cases. There was no international spread and international spread is a prerequisite to talk about a public health emergency.

So they had to wait 10 days and by that time, the cases had increased 14-fold. All detected by rapid diagnosis. The committee mentioned these rapid diagnostics in their statements and were satisfied that they were used to diagnose this new threat called sars- cov-2.

04:46:36 Reiner Fuellmich

So what happened is that Drosten delivered his test kit to the World Health Organization and through the use of his new test, all of a sudden we had 14 times the number of cases that existed before he used his test.

04:46:56 Sylvia Behrendt

This is what the documents say

04:46:59 Vivianne Fischer

I would like to make a point about that, because we also have information from the freedom of information act, which we did with charity and you know, it turns out that actually Tib Molbiol produced and developed the test with Drosten. They were listed as such and they basically handled the logistics that charity talked about. When someone came in contact with Drosten...the new countries knew that Drosten was in charge and developing these tests, according to the information kit issued by who.

That's the problem. The really important thing that everybody should

04:47:53 Sylvia Behrendt:

The tests

04:47:55 Vivianne Fischer

The tests

04:47:56 Sylvia Behrendt

Sent by who to the member states, because it was an official directive then

04:48:01 Vivianne Fischer

But there was an exchange of information between Drosten and Tib Molbiol. They could exchange, and then Tib Molbiol could ship for Drosten or for others. So they had the cards in their hands and could ship tests all over the world. It wasn't through WHO, in my opinion, but through that connection and that gave them an advantage.

04:48:31 Reiner Fuellmich

What this means is that the cases of contamination that they needed to declare an international health emergency, those cases happened because of the testing, correct?

04:48:48 Sylvia Behrendt They needed at least international infections that they had to diagnose. Without a diagnostic test, it's not possible. The only one who gave these tests is referred to in the document as Drosten, that's what the documents say.

04:49:05 Reiner Fuellmich

Without these tests, who, 10 days later, would still have had probably 44 cases or maybe 500 cases, but not 15 times that.

04:49:19 Sylvia Behrendt

If there's no test, you can't talk about a new virus.

To know is the proclamation of a public health emergency of international scope. Because it's related to the manufacture of vaxxins. That's actually the most important thing that any legal person or anyone should know, it's not the pandemic, there are no legal consequences if who proclaims or defines a pandemic. It's just interesting for the media. But the public health emergency is related to regulation and emergency use authorization.

04:50:03 Reiner Fuellmich

Without a public health emergency of international concern, there can be no use of untested drugs like vaxxin.

04:50:12 Sylvia Behrendt

This is true, because all secondary laws such as those of the eu, of the fda in the United States, use the concept of public health emergency, also proclaimed by the who

04:50:24 Reiner Fuellmich

Okay, Virginia wants to ask a question.

04:50:28 Virginie de Araujo Recchia

wellcome trust. I don't know if you can confirm that.

04:51:00

Sylvia

Behrendt

Maybe Astrid... I don't know much about funding. I'm more concerned with regulatory issues.

04:51:09 Astrid Stuckelberger



That's a good question. What we can confirm, and this is what I was going to explain to you, is that there is really a plan since 2000, maybe even before, 1999, there is a plan that you can see chronologically with events, which consists of setting up the gavi organization from the unicef alliance for vaxxins to start joining the united nations, not only with unicef, but with the bank

Yes, Mrs. Behrendt, Virginie de Araujo, lawyer in France. I would

and who through funding from ifim, the international financing facility immunization. So they made a trio, that was in 2006. They started that and at the same time, gavi became a global alliance in Switzerland, as a foundation. So they registered in 2006. At the same time, as they formed a tripartite agreement between the world bank, who and gavi to receive funding from member states. You can find this on the web.

This was the first step. In 2006, the international health regulation started to be in the implementation phase. What we can find out, I'll get to the point. In 2009, it registered as an international organization in Switzerland⁷³, a new type and we have a press release from the Swiss government that shows that it was created specifically for Bill Gates. This international organization would have total immunity, you can't do anything, you can't even send it to court, they do their own court if they have a disagreement.

From there it started, we have the who documents, the logo. You know, they have executive boards, I found out recently that you can find all this stuff on the web. They have chosen the decade 2010-2020 as the global vaccine decade. We implemented health regulations, because we had to educate and train countries to be ready. We finished the first cycle in 2012.

From 2009 to 2012, we received Georgetown University, the University of Pretoria and I was with the University of Geneva. At that time, when we finished the cycle, we received funds again from Japan and suddenly it stopped: they said there were no more funds. Yet we almost had a signed contract. I found out why: because in 2012, at the World Health Assembly, they appointed Bill Gates⁷⁴ as the leader of the global vaxxin action plan 2012 2020. So everything becomes clearer! From that point on, he was in charge. It's mentioned, they know that gavi is the leader of all things vaxxin. Not only for children like with unicef, for the whole world. So you can see, that's proof enough that there is at least a plan.

It continues, Bill Gates is in the working group "wise", strategic expert advisory group. They even... it's very funny... well no, it's not funny, but they even did in 2016, an evaluation report of this global vaxxin action plan 2012 2020. In 2016, they were very upset because they didn't immunize the whole world. For them, immunization is vaxxination, they would take any excuse to vaxxinate.

They don't talk about experimentation or validation, they only talk about vaxxin, of course and in 2016, you can really see that they are not happy. Mr. Gavi/Global says we really need to make an effort. So they did a program called "*the gas pedal program*" for vaxxin. So that's at least part of the steps that you can see very clearly, it's all on the web, not to mention the funding, which I can talk about as well...

04:55:53 Reiner Fuellmich

What happened? Who received diplomatic immunity in 2009. Was it gavi or the Gates Foundation? Or was it a Bill Gates personally, or all of them?

04:56:07 Astrid Stuckelberger

It's really gavi alliance, the gavi foundation, but they took away the name foundation. In the agreement, it's really gavi, the global alliance for vaxxination. Yes, I can find the exact term in my paper. But the Gates Foundation, from what I'm finding out more and more, is more the financial mechanism, the funding, or the receipt of funds for the programs. I found out again, that the Swiss government, the fda of Switzerland, has signed an agreement for the period 2020-2023, to provide the Gates Foundation with \$900,000 in three years, so 300,000 each year for their program, their project. You can't see that in the paper, you have to go and look in the projects. I didn't go there, but it's about vaxxin.

So I guess when you take the Swiss model, or the mechanics between national and international regulation, you apply that system as a model all over the world and the epidemic law of a country is constrained by international health regulations.

⁷³ gavi's contract with the Swiss government: [here](#)

⁷⁴ Gates' control of who, Arte documentary "Who in the clutches of the lobbies": [here](#)

We found that in Switzerland, even the Swiss constitution has a little line that they added without asking us, which says that international law supersedes national law in health matters.

Then you can see that in the epidemic law that was passed in 2016. So probably in every country, you'd have to check, I'm pretty sure, we've seen it in Canada, there's an epidemic law or an emergency law that tells countries that they have to obey the international health regulations. So if an international public health emergency is declared, every country has to start obeying immediately.

This explains why on March 16 or 17, the whole world was confined, it is an understandable situation with this international health regulation. With sars-cov-1, it didn't happen like that, that suddenly the virus was everywhere. But that's what happens with Omicron, you know, it starts in South Africa and the next day it's, it's all over the world.

04:58:56 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes... But is there, as far as the international health regulations are concerned, Sylvia and Astrid, is there a democratic legitimacy to these international health regulations? Were those who invented these international health regulations elected to any position? Is there any democratic legitimacy to this? Or is it simply a private enterprise run by people who control the World Health Organization?

04:59:29 Astrid Stuckelberger

I can answer your first question and then Sylvia can add to it. When we were teaching and training, about the international health regulations, this was the question that came up very often, how come it is binding on the member states?

That was an extraordinary response, which will tell you how important it is to look at this new treaty on pandemic. The who and I really dug and dug is the only specialized program of the united nations, or the only agency that has a constitution. The who constitution.

Not a convention: a constitution. Articles 21, 19, 21.1 and 21.2 are directly binding on member states if they adopt the international health regulations. So they don't have to go through the whole treaty procedure. That is why it is very dangerous, because this constitution seems to be intended to replace all the constitutions of the world, otherwise why use the word constitution? So the answer from the WHO lawyers has always been, *"Oh, we adopted that at the 2005 General Assembly under the WHO Constitution, Article 21.A and Article 2."*

05:00:58 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes, but what is not funny at all is that those who created the international health regulations were not elected... or have no authority? Or is there a connection between the people of the member states; not their governments, the people of the member states and these international health regulations? Or is there only the constitution that they themselves invented?

05:01:29 Astrid Stuckelberger

So let me explain the workings of the United Nations. It's almost the same for all these big agencies like the international labor office, itu⁷⁵ telecommunication, for refugees; every year you have a world assembly, like for an ngo, a world health assembly takes place every year at the united nations in Geneva, in May, the third week of May, all the member states have their little headquarters and they get together. They have an agenda and decide together on the agenda of the world. Normally, if they have to make an important decision, they are only representatives of the general assembly of the United Nations. So they have to go to the general assembly and get the blessing of the secretary general, the head of the united nations, who has to give his blessing to who. I don't see that in the case of international health regulation, if that's a lead, uh, a clue.

05:02:40

Silvia

Behrendt

I'll add to that the international health regulations, because we started at about 18:15 a few hours ago. It's a very interesting regime because this international treaty goes back to the Sanitary Conventions of 1850.

⁷⁵ The itu is the international union of communications, agency of the United Nations specialized in the techniques of information and communication.

So this is one of the oldest international legal regimes, which was taken over by who and recognized in the who constitution, because they already had in mind and it's very true, they wanted to establish a regulatory fast track regime.

So they put in place a regulatory process that says that under the health loss regulations, which are now pandemic losses, which you can refer to, member states must opt out and not opt in. So under the resolution that was passed, an international treaty has to come into effect in the member state or they have to voluntarily opt out.

It is therefore the opposite process of international law. It is a very unique element. I don't think any other international organization, I haven't found any other international organization that has this capacity.

But what they did was democratic, because it was an intergovernmental negotiation process. What's interesting is that just because of the emergence of SARS, they developed this new ideology adapted from the idea of global health security that it's not the endemic diseases that are devastating to people, but only the new diseases for which there is no medical treatment. So they inserted this new concept into the old laws that had always existed, the who existed in the world. So they adopted this brand new concept...

Yes, an ideology. This is the extremely striking situation we are living in now. This was passed as a resolution. Interestingly enough, this is an international treaty and who is not part of it. It is only bound by the resolution of various other international treaties, such as the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, to which who is a signatory. So it's a bit of a tricky international law.

05:05:02 Reiner Fuellmich

I still don't understand. I don't see the connection between me, my compatriots and these international health regulations.

05:05:12 Dr. Silvia Behrendt

This is the problem of international law.

05:05:20 Reiner Fuellmich

Well, not really, I think it's pretty unique.

05:05:23 Silvia Behrendt

Because the member states have adopted it, they agreed to it in 2005. They all said that they wanted this new type of rules in international law. Now they are obliged to apply it at the national level or since 2007. It has come into force and they are obliged to apply it. For example, Austria, I live in Austria, our constitution does not have an emergency clause. We have not passed health laws, our epidemiological laws, there is no emergency clause and we still have the same system. That's what I always say, whatever the legal system and the constitution of the countries, obviously the system can have an impact on any country and any constitutional system and legal regime, it's possible with or without emergency clause, they apply emergency rules. That's what I see, at least that's my opinion.

05:06:24 Astrid Stuckelberger

It's like the millennium development goals and the sustainable development goals that seemed to correspond, you know, 2000 to 2015 and 2015 to 2030. With the sustainable development goals that they've created, they're putting a whole mechanism in place and it's getting more and more obscure and it's harder and harder to know how much our citizens are really deciding and we're not deciding anything. Because it's getting so complex and opaque.

05:06:54 Reiner Fuellmich

We must absolutely reclaim our sovereignty. That is the conclusion I draw. Because even if the EU wants to propose a new law, for example, it can decide to introduce these laws, but unless the member states ratify it in their own country, it does not become a law in their country. So that is quite surprising to me. I think of all those lawyers who should also be aware of these issues. It's worrying, I think.

05:07:56 Silvia Behrendt

The EU has created this her agency, which is similar, but probably much more coercive and that is a huge problem. They have no authority over health, but they claim to have it anyway, they create the agencies and give us instructions without a democratic process.

05:07:53 Reiner Fuellmich

Hera stands for health emergency response agency. Indeed. Isn't there a rumor that if the governments of the EU member states collapse, they will take over with a sort of mini-world government under the European Commission? Does that sound plausible?

05:08:16 Dr. Silvia Behrendt

I have no idea, but I'm sure they'd love to.

05:08:20 Astrid Stuckelberger

Yes, that's the idea of this pandemic treaty. Because this pandemic treaty has articles at the end that say that with the who constitution, we don't need any other constitutions as member states and not only do they diminish the power of the member states, which is why the united nations exists, but they have invited a whole bunch of non-state actors as they call them, the ngos. Gavi, intergovernmental ngos, international organizations, because you can use a lot of things, you know, Bill & Melinda Gates could be an ngo.

Then they have different activities, the Rockefeller foundation, I met them at who, they come, they participate in meetings. They are NGOs, we don't know who they are. So non-state actors are also invited to participate in this new treaty that would literally take over, through the who constitution, a global constitution in case of a pandemic.

05:09:20 Reiner Fuellmich

In the end, we are in the presence of private associations, even individuals, who are taking control of our national governments through the who and using health as a lever to do what they want.

05:09:40 Astrid Stuckelberger

Yes, you could say that because the public-private partnership has been used, you can see it through the funding, because gavi and private partners have started to invade and interfere in the whole of the united nations. In fact, I looked at this issue during the organization of the open days of Switzerland's membership in the united nations, for two days and so on. So I discovered many organizations that n o b o d y hears about and

one of them is a private entity called the united nations global compact. This UN Global Compact is only for the private sector. They can, for example, fund. I mean, it's open to partnerships.

05:10:33 Silvia Behrendt

Yes. Just to add to that, the implementation of the international health regulations, it's very strange, because it's done by external assessments of Trump done by the global health security agenda. If you look at their webpage, you'll see that they have a private consortium and you don't know, you have no information, what private consortium is doing this!

But you know these external evaluators go to Germany, go to all the countries, it's even on the rki website. They sing about how fantastic it is. But they don't say it's implemented by private entities, you don't know. So I don't want laws enforced by private entities. That's absolutely anti-democratic. They are very proud of it. Very proud.

05:11:28 Reiner Fuellmich

It's weird.

05:11:31 Virginia de Araujo Recchia

If I understand correctly, aren't there private consultants that also work with who like mckinsey and centure? They are also the arm of the Bill Gates Foundation?

05:11:48 Astrid Stuckelberger

Um, yes, that's a good question. I actually looked up the connection between mckinsey and who because we know that they create the communication. I think they put it under something that they are setting up, an intergovernmental panel, what's the exact title, intergovernmental panel for this treaty to negotiate, intergovernmental negotiation network, something like that.

So, this just happened at the executive council. It's a little worrisome because I think all these communications agencies are drowning in it. There's not just one.

05:12:34 Viviane Fischer

What does this treaty add to the current situation?

05:12:39 Dr. Silvia Behrendt

Well, the treaty is really mythical. I just heard the former legal adviser of who talk about it, it's available on the internet. It's very political, it's probably, the fiercest supporter is Charles Michel, he suggested this treaty to the European Union, once this treaty, the United States is kind of a poster child, they proposed that HR be strengthened. So the problem will be a two-track world, because if they rush into a treaty, only a few signatories will sign it, whereas HR is a universally recognized tool.

We haven't mentioned that it actually has some good aspects, which are neglected and violated. It has a human rights implementation clause that is not respected, violated outright. So there were compromises at the end, when they passed the resolution. But it's just that they're not mentioned and nobody does, no court, you know, finds a violation of this.

So the problem is that what they would like to put in place is upstream and downstream of the pharmaceutical industry, probably because the scope of the treaty is not even clear. The only thing is that there is a lot of communication about it. The CEO is a very big supporter of this treaty, because it is very political in a way. It's very strange, the kind of intentions behind it.

They are used for preparation and response. So what we know is that they have invented a new procedure that is also problematic. It's called emergency use of recession by who, it's a very big company, big pharma can go to who and say, *"I'm inventing a new drug product or a new set of diagnostics for this type of disease for this public health emergency. And we'd like you to put it on our list."*

Then whoever puts it on the list adds a disclaimer, saying that there is no guarantee and no endorsement from who, and if someone dies, it's not who's fault it's just a list. Then gavi takes this list and says, *"Oh, we can export it all over the world, even without rigorous and very competent medical authorities. We have this who list, now we can*

to offer it to the world." That's what they do. In the gavi and alliance treaties for vaxxins, this covax center, as it is called.

The third pilot of this act⁷⁶ gas pedal (device to accelerate access to covid-19 tools). So, today, we would probably like to find better ways of regulating so that it becomes a normal process for big pharma to go to an international organization. But this is really a private international law treaty, not a public international law treaty.

Because the problem of customary international law is not recognized. Its ius cogens (compelling law) use case, it means that it's a norm that you can't derogate from, that there is no medical treatment of any kind, properly without your consent. So, yes, it's a huge problem.

05:16:13 Reiner Fuellmich

So we've heard tonight from all the other experts, including of course the three who testified at the beginning of this session, that for example in the UK, health care has been largely privatized. It is controlled by private groups, even private charities. We learned that through this privatization, even their psychiatric system has been privatized. Private people are behind it and that's how they control everything. They even create their own future leaders, like the World Economic Forum.

That means they have their own members who they seem to tell what to do in positions of power in the government. Now, if I look at who, there's a man named Tedros. He's the director general. Who is he? I read in the papers that in his own country, people are... and that a criminal complaint has been filed against him for genocide. Is this the typical puppet, shall we say, that the private entities running the health show use to achieve their goals?

05:17:46 Astrid Stuckelberger

No, I have to say that I have never seen such a general manager. I've known many... I also know from the inside that the staff was unhappy with him.

⁷⁶ Act: <https://www.who.int/fr/initiatives/act-accelerator>

They asked for his resignation. Of course, that never happened. So, yes. Another scoop, if I haven't already said it, is that in the board of the gavi foundation, you can see the names of people who have been part of gavi. Well, Tedros was part of gavi before he was elected executive director, conflict of interest.

So he was on the board between, I wrote it here, January 22, 2009 and September 2011. He's one of them. And the other person with a conflict of interest, the president of Ireland, who was the head of the human rights commission. I know her, but I'm very surprised that she was there with the president with even the signature of gavi from November 2008 to September 2011.

I can give you the papers, no problem. You know, it's very specific, from November 25, 2008 to September 14, 2011. So you see, there are many names that I don't know. And I'm sure you'll find a lot of names in there that maybe you can also find in your countries. Because there are country representatives who are sitting in gavi even before they are in office. So it's clear that he was already connected to Bill Gates.

05:19:23 **Reiner** **Fuellmich**

So wherever you look, you see conflicts of interest. Debi and Ana raise their hands.

05:19:32 **Ana** **Garner**

Yes, I checked to see which countries are members of who and you. I see many, many, many, in fact I don't see any that I don't recognize. Are there any countries? 194? are there any countries that are not members of who? 5
<https://www.who.int/fr/initiatives/act-accelerator>

05:19:53 **Astrid** **Stuckelberger** **So** **far**

? I think the United States... ah no they're back. No, the Vatican is an observer at the United Nations, as you may or may not know. And that's also something I wanted to touch on when you talk about values, religion, psychological operations. Yes, so they are observers and they are everywhere.

The other religions usually sit in the World Council of Churches, right across the street from the big building that Bill Gates built with our Swiss money in three years. I mean, you must know that. Oh, no, it was a question, so I answered...

05:20:37 **Ana Garner**

So there are no countries that are not members. The Vatican may be an observer, but it is not a member. But...

05:20:46 **Astrid Stuckelberger**

No, no. I don't know if there are any, Silvia, what would you say. Even if there were 196?

05:20:56 **Silvia Behrendt**

So there are 196 in the international health regulations, because the Vatican and Liechtenstein are not members of the WHO. But they are signatories to the international health regulations.

05:21:10 **Reiner Fuellmich**

Debi raised her hand.

05:21:12 **Astrid Stuckelberger**

I would just like to add something that might be interesting from a legal point of view. In the annex, in the notes at the end of this international health regulation of 2005: two countries expressed reservations, saying that they did not fully agree with this regulation, because they wanted to apply their global security at the national level and you can guess who it is. The United States and Iran. So it's interesting that two countries managed to put a reservation on this. How come the others didn't?

05:21:48 **Reiner Fuellmich**

That's because their people haven't spoken yet, but they will. Debi?

05:21:52 **Debi** **Evans**

Oh, thank you. I would just like to mention very quickly, when you mentioned the UK, that we also have a serious problem here with non-vaxxed people, because anyone admitted to the hospital positive for covid-19 appears or would appear to be placed in an accelerated end of life care program and it appears that patients are being given Midazolam and morphine. Without their consent. Without their family's consent.

These decisions are made by the practitioners alone. And the families and the patient have no say. So I wanted to make sure to include the victims of this absolute disaster who are not vaxxed. And also, just to bring your attention to cepi. It was founded in 2017. Cepi and gavi have worked closely together, in fact, financially very closely.

When Cepi was launched in 2017, Bill Gates at the World Economic Forum launch said that basically they were going to remove safety from clinical trials, it would only be and he said it outright and in fact the UK news column reported it.

But Bill Gates said that the safety and manufacturing data would be reduced, which would allow the *100-day* mission to go ahead and deploy the vaxxins within 100 days of the declaration of a pandemic by who. So I wanted to mention that. And also, regarding Whitney talking earlier about darpa, we have our own diluted version of darpa, if you will, we have our own, but we also have wellcome leap which Whitney got a lot of information on when it comes to buying weapons and making biochemicals.

I'm also going to go back to the patent, because the Rothschild patent from 2015, if you look at the full document, everything we see today is part of that patent that was approved in 2020. But it was given priority in 2015. So it was written in 2015. And what we see today is everything in that patent, so with the spars pandemic and the 2025 to 2028 spars pandemic, there was a coronavirus and some of the names are the same as in the John Hopkins futuristic scenario, like corovax. So that has a lot of similarities. I know we talked, you talked about parallels, but I would just remind people that spars pandemic 2025 to 28, gives a monthly breakdown.

If you look at when we started, in March, when we had the first case, in our country anyway, or in December in Wuhan, it is literally progressing month by month. So the prediction for the future seems to be antimicrobial resistance, which is already written in the spars. We're finding that many people in this country are not able to access antibiotics and GPs and doctors here don't want to prescribe them. I just wanted to add that. Thank you.

05:25:35 Reiner Fuellmich

So we have many reasons to be concerned about our sovereignty. One of them is

and not the least is the international health regulations of who. That seems to be the main theme. It's about how, through their constitution and the revision of the international health regulations, they seem to be trying to take over the rest of the world, including, of course, all 196 member states. Is this assessment correct?

05:26:09 Silvia Behrendt

Yes, at least I think so, because in the first part of my expertise, I pointed out that this agenda included the military aspects, but they were not named. That's why we now have a kind of non-medical, disproportionate, political response to the pandemic. We don't realize that. Because they have included this bio-terrorist scenario and adapted the language to global health security, & also this civil bio-defense center or something like that.

In the dark winter days, it was called Johns Hopkins global health security center, center for civil bio-defense, I think that was the correct name. And they also had a journal called bio-terrorism, or bio-defense. Now it's called global health security. So we should not forget that the work on bio-defense can continue at least. So it is much more political, as the politicians themselves agree.

05:27:19 Astrid Stuckelberger

I will add two points: on the one hand, we should be concerned about the whole of the United Nations, because the Sustainable Development Goals are 17 goals⁷⁷ and 169 targets. This is the 2030 agenda. All of this is intertwined especially with climate change for example, but there are many other mechanisms that should be looked at. The second one, the un alliance for sdg financing, what is that about? When you dig into it, you find a lot of very mysterious elements that we need to understand.

The other interesting point...let's remember that the pandemic or public health emergency of international concern has four typologies that I was dealing with in the case studies and it was very important to distinguish them.

⁷⁷ The ODGs are the 17 sustainable development goals established by the member states of the United Nations, goals that are gathered in the 2030 Agenda.

That's what we should be doing with what's happening now, because we're all focused on the biological factor.

The first is infectious biology.

The second is food biology. There is a whole organization behind it called infostan.

The third one is chemical and chemical is mercury, maybe metal, etc. I invited an expert Karsten Gutschmidt, a German. He always presented very good reports, but it was very difficult to find experts there.

The fourth, which is very important, is nuclear radiation. And it is even more difficult to find experts.

I realize this, because in our courses we have invited people who deal with this. They are the International Atomic Energy Agency based in Vienna, experts in the field of radionuclide, Chernobyl, Fukushima, they are the first ones concerned.

What shocked me when we were doing the Fukushima case studies, we had also asked what who had done. They said no, who was not allowed to go to Fukushima, they were denied the visa. Because the first to be there is the iaea [international atomic energy agency].

What is very strange is that they have an agreement or at least a written statement that gives them power over who. So I went to see what they are doing with covid today. So I am very happy or very scared to tell you that they are in charge of the RT-PCR kit. I can't, it's a quiz.

Before you say how they presented it. They are independent of the United Nations. They have their own international treaty. They report every year to the UN General Assembly and the Security Council in the context of nuclear bomb threats that could kill the planet.

So they say that the aiea has developed a nuclear-derived diagnostic technology that can help detect and identify covid or whatever in us, in humans and in animals. Because animals are

treated by veterinarians and by fao, the food administration organization. They developed this very efficient test. RT-PCR because it's a polymerase chain reaction and a rapid test, they think they're the experts, especially for Ebola, Zika and African swine fever virus.

So, I read this just today. To me, it rings alarm bells, because they are now offering the PCR test kit and their lab. So they are tied to labs. And we were talking about, that's where the power is and where things need to be, I think, looked at more closely, I don't know what you think, it's concerning.

05:31:53 Reiner Fuellmich

You mean that other private or semi-private organizations or most of these organizations, which we have talked about tonight, almost all international organizations are more or less controlled by private citizens, by private groups, charities, etc. This brings us back to the theme we heard about today, that the city of London, big finance, controls everything, through its emissaries, private people who try to control the rest of the world. Again, we need to take back our sovereignty, that's all it says to me right now. We also need to take a closer look at PCR testing, which we will do in tomorrow's session.

05:32:44 Astrid Stuckelberger

Maybe also look at all the ngos. Because who runs the world alliance of hospitals, the world alliance of alliances of alliances. A lot of British people are in charge. You should also know that there are a lot of military people there, because the cdc in the US used to be military. I think it still is, by the way. So, I think we need to untangle the global ngos, or bingo, the financial interests of the ngos, because these mechanisms make them very hard to find. And they have a lot of power, more than we think.

05:33:27 Reiner Fuellmich

People have to learn that they have to disconnect and watch, look, start grassroots democracy, look at their regions and their communities. They know best what is good for them. It is already very late. I know that Dipali is a few hours ahead of us, three or four hours, right? Dipali?

05:33:52 Dipali Ojha



Yes, four and a half hours.

05:33:54 R. Fuellmich

Oh, my

G

od! It's been a very long day. And unless there's

Any other questions, I think we should close this session for tonight. Yes, go ahead, Dexter, please.

05:34:07 Dexter L-J Ryneveldt

Yes, I just want to talk to Dr. Silvia, you mentioned global health security. And I just want to maybe put it in perspective with the World Health Organization definition changes in 2009.

05:34:25 Silvia Behrendt

Well, you mean you refer to this third criterion of pandemic?

05:34:31 Dexter L-J Ryneveldt

That's right. Yes.

05:34:34 Silvia Behrendt

My opinion is that they just realized that whoa has realized that they don't need a definition of pandemic anymore. Because all that is needed is a sham, an international public health emergency, because they want to make vaxxin.

It doesn't matter how the pandemic is defined in the non-legal documents. So they lowered the very high threshold because they realized that they could, at any time, make the public health emergency an international one. As long as they have diagnostics.


So they didn't pay attention to that theme, I think. And that's our problem. And also for the lawyers who are still looking for that definition of pandemic. There are no legal consequences associated with the definition of a pandemic.

On the other hand, the legal consequences are enormous if you proclaim, if the Director General takes this authority and proclaims a public health emergency of international concern.

05:35:43 Dexter L-J Ryneveldt

Thank you very much,

05:35:47 Virginie de Araujo Recchia



Does this perhaps explain why they use Sir Ferguson's models to increase fear and explain that there is a

pandemic because with the epidemiological mathematics they have

used on this, it's not useful? It's used wrongly to study the spread of the virus, they use this synthetic information from these models, maybe, because it seems very strange that they use Sir Ferguson's models and it doesn't work at all.

These models have been duplicated in other countries, such as France, for example. We have taken it for granted. It's not at all based on experience in medicine, it's just mathematics. We can't use that at all. But I would like to know if this is why the who used these models, to increase the fear of the pandemic and to manipulate the masses.

05:37:12

Silvia

Behrendt

I don't know the details, because actually they had everything they needed to proclaim a public health emergency and the national governments needed the population to accept, I guess. So they needed it for the population, because there was also the first declared sham in 2009, where vaxxins were made, but nobody was threatened. Anyway, I wasn't threatened by that mock because there were no press releases threatening us. Now they needed the population to stay home during the lockdowns to get vaxxed at the end, that's my personal explanation, I don't know.

05:37:53

Virginie

de

Araujo

Recchia

Yes, according to Sir Ferguson's model, vaxxins were the only solution, no treatment at all, just vaxxins.

05:38:07 Astrid Stuckelberger

I might add, they changed a lot of definitions or scientific definitions, not just the pandemic, they changed the definition

of health professionals, it's everyone. They have changed the confidentiality of data, there is no more confidentiality of data. They have not done ethics, for example, in communication in the course of implementing the international health regulations. *"We should do some, to reassure people: 'we don't know, we're looking for something, you're going to be fixed, you're going to know what's going on.'"*

Little by little, we keep people informed. There, it was fear, right away. Not only was it a psychological operation to instill this fear, this constant fear with cases, with images of death that were not deaths, because we know today that there are no more deaths in 2020, but also with contradictions already mentioned. This is in Melanie Klein psychology, you make people and children psychotic when you say *"I love you, but I hate you"* and you pressure or say *"I love you and I hate you"* at the same time by your behavior.

So they have manufactured a delusion of coherence, no control, no sense of control. That's also another concept in psychology. And people are totally insecure, which lowers their immunity, by the way, and psychologically, they have also removed all religions and all belief systems. It's something that keeps people alive. They censored religion, they censored death. They banned the ritual of death which is one of the most important if you want to grieve properly. I mean, consistency and healing. So they did a lot of things. Not just this manipulation. I don't know Mr. Ferguson, but I think I could add one, they've completely reversed the values.

It is a bit like Melanie Klein's psychotic syndrome, I call it Hansel and Gretel syndrome, *"because I love you, I'm going to give you this, I'm going to be ethical, we're going to treat you right with vaxxin"* and they kill them. And they completely repealed the ethical research guidelines that we developed between 2006 and 2009. I was involved in that. So all the values are also a psychological operation. They changed the values and the definitions.

05:40:50 Reiner Fuellmich

Certainly, it's not about health.

05:40:55 Debi Evans

Regarding Professor Ferguson, if I can just clarify the Professor's point in 2002. He modeled the occurrence of 50,000 deaths in the UK because of mad cow disease. He modeled it completely incorrectly, so we incinerated all our cattle because of 150 deaths. So that was very incorrect.

We were very surprised in the UK when we learned that Ferguson was responsible for modeling this pandemic. He had been very inaccurate in the previous one. He was also in the news several times and had to resign from his position at sage because he was caught breaking containment rules. I wanted to add that to his comment.

05:41:38 Reiner Fuellmich

The question is: how come he is still in office?

05:41:41 Debi Evans

That's a very good question. And I can't answer it. I'm afraid it is. It shouldn't...

05:41:47 Astrid Stuckelberger

Conflict of interest.

05:41:50 Debi Evans

Absolutely.

05:41:51 Astrid Stuckelberger

Or nepotism...

05:41:53	Debi	Evans
-----------------	-------------	--------------

He also works closely with Saudi Arabia. I forgot to find the name, I can't read or pronounce it. But he works at imperial college which has the largest number of Chinese alumni. When President Xi came on an official visit, the only college he visited was the imperial which has huge ties to China. They also bought out a number of our hospitals, so we now have an imperial nhs trust⁷⁸.

So the imperial, I could go on for hours about the imperial and Professor Alice Gast who is the president. She gave a lecture based on 1984, George Orwell. A lot of things

⁷⁸ The nhs trust is an organizational unit within the national health services in England and Wales.

happen at the imperial. I could say a lot more, but Ferguson was discredited in 2002 for mad cow disease and I just wanted to mention that. Thank you.

05:42:55 Reiner Fuellmich

It is amazing indeed, the number of people who are totally incompetent in their field. One of them is the person who heads the European Commission, she has failed in every position she has held. It's amazing how many incompetents are kept in office, obviously by those who put them there. These super rich people, somehow, seem to be fed and kept alive by the city of London and its fifth columns that seem to be all over the world. We will have to take a closer look at all these events.

05:43:43 Astrid Stuckelberger

I just want to add one thing, we were talking about mckinsey and von der Leyen's son works for mckinsey and Fabius' son in France works for mckinsey.

Do you know nepotism? It's a term used for Popes.

05:44:03 Virginie de Araujo Recchia

Ursula von der Leyen also had a problem when she was defense minister in Germany, I think.

05:44:07 Reiner Fuellmich

When she was a family secretary, I think, or something like that. She always had problems. She was always retained in her position.

05:44:17 Virginie de Araujo

This was already a problem with the mckinsey contracts.

05:44:21 Reiner Fuellmich

That's major. I think mckinsey is a major institution and all because we heard from another expert that Bill Gates uses mckinsey to make sure that his advisors become the advisors of, for example, Ursula von der Leyen and all the other major political figures, through mckinsey and their network.

05:44:55 Astrid Stuckelberger

Yeah, it's amazing that we have the exact same messages two years ago in stores and airports and now. You know,

"Wash your hands, put your mask on," I mean, in Europe, at least for those who have these measures and it's the same voice. It's like a marketing agency.

05:45:15 Reiner Fuellmich

Absolutely.

05:45:16 Astrid Stuckelberger

So you have to analyze that too, if it's the same voice everywhere, you know. Something weird.

05:45:22 Reiner Fuellmich

We are also looking at this issue. But tonight has been a very long day. And I know that Dipali needs sleep, as we all do. So unless there are any other questions that need to be answered urgently, I think we should close our session for today. I really want to thank everyone, this has been extremely valuable. Thank you, Astrid. Thank you, Virginia. Thank you, Silvia. Thank you, Dexter, Ana and Dipali. And of course, thank you, Debi. Thank you very, very much. A big step forward. Thank you.