
Jeanne Traduction

Grand Jury – Session 6¹

Eugenics, arguments and overview

February 26, 2022

Reiner Fuellmich
Lawyer



1-2-3-4-5-6

Rui da Fonseca e Castro
Judge



1-2-3-4-5-6



¹ <https://odysee.com/@GrandJury:f/Grand-Jury-Day-6-en-online:7>

The witnesses of the Grand Jury

Dr Bryan Ardis



3

Thomas Binder



3

Ilana Rachel Daniel



6

Alexandra Henrion Caude



4

Sylvia Behrendt



2

Arne Burkhardt




4

Matthew Ehret



2-6

Ulrike Kämmerer



3

Werner Bergholz



4

James Bush



2

Debi Evans



2

Christian Kreiss



5

Sucharit Bhakdi




4

Dolores Cahill




3

Antonietta Gatti



4

Avital Livny



6

Ariane Bilheran



4

Dr Shankara Chetty



3

Brian Gerrish



2

Leslie Manookian



5

Deanna McLeod




4

Dipali Ojha



1-2

Vanessa Schmidt-Krüger



4

Whitney Webb



2

Meredith Miller



4

Sonia Pekova



3

Vera Sharav



6

Patrick Wood



5-6

Roman Mironov



2

Björn Pirrwitz



5

Astrid Stuckelberger




2-3

Mike Yeadon



3-4

John O'Looney




3

Deana Pollard Sacks



1

Alex Thomson



2

The usual speakers

Virginie de Araujo Recchia



2-3-4-5-6

Ana Garner



1-2-3-4-5-6

Viviane Fischer



1-2-3-4-5-6

Dexter L-J Ryneveldt



1-2-3-4-5-6

Jeanne Traduction



The Speakers at the sixth session

00:00:13	Reiner Fuellmich	Germany and USA - Lawyer Introduction
00:01:29	Rui da Fonseca e Castro	Portugal - Judge Summary of the contents of the 5th session
00:07:08	Patrick Wood	USA - Economist and author Biodiversity, the genetic takeover of all living things What is transhumanism ? Convergence of NBIC Synthesis of transhumanism
00:10:07		
00:11:22		
00:13:48		
	Viviane Fischer	Germany - Lawyer
	Ana Garner	USA - Geneticist, lawyer in New Mexico
	Virginie de Araujo Recchia	France – Lawyer
	Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt	South Africa - Lawyer
01:11:35	Matthew Ehret	Canada – Canada - Journalist, author and historian The nature of eugenics Sir Thomas Maltus, Maltusism, 1799 essay Charles Darwin Sir Francis Galton Sir Julian Huxley The Club of Rome, the growth liùites Sir Alexander King, Club of Rome John Holdren, Ecoscience, population, resources, environment (1977) Questions and answers Q1 – who Q2 – Q3 – Bill Gates and farmland Q4 –
01:15:16		
01:18:59		
01:20:43		
01:22:39		
01:26:38		
01:33:26		
01:35:47		
01:37:33		
01:43:40		
01:43:55		
01:46:48		
01:49:58		
01:52:38		
01:55:23	Ilana Rachel Daniel	Israel - Human rights activist and health consultant
02:28:06	Avital Livny	Israel - Side effects of vaxxin², the testimonial project Questions
02:54:49		
03:12:57	Vera Sharav	Israel - Founder, president of the alliance for the protection of human research
04:09:23		Conclusion
04:13:34		End

2 It is voluntarily that "vaxxin" is spelled with 2 "x" when referring to the transgenic injection to differentiate it from the traditional vaccines whose name it bears, perhaps to deceive the public.




Hello, good evening, wherever you are, welcome to Day 6 of the Court of Public Opinion Grand Jury proceedings. Today, we're going to look at something that hasn't been discussed yet. We began by describing the background of what we are facing, from a historical and geopolitical perspective. Then we looked at the PCR test and learned how it cannot prove anything about infections and that there are alternative methods of treatment. Then we examined the action of the so-called vaxxins. We established that they are neither effective nor safe. On the contrary, they are extremely dangerous.

Today we are going to discuss a completely different topic, one that deserves attention and is related to all the side effects, all the adverse reactions, that can no longer be swept under the rug.

Before we get to the heart of the matter, Judge Rui da Fonseca e Castro will summarize the last session. Judge!

Good evening to all. Summary of day 5 of the Grand Jury proceedings, February 2022. To begin, Patrick Wood showed that the 2030 agenda is in reality a plan designed to destroy capitalism, intended to establish a new international economic order, which claims to be sustainable development. The latter, promoted by the World Economic Forum and the United Nations, advocates scientific management of resource consumption, a digital technology underlying the financial system, using the blockchain³, cryptocurrencies⁴ and for total surveillance.



End of private property, resources monopolized by a world body, prohibition of the privative notion. It was also stressed that sustainable development, also called "*technocracy*", is absolutely incompatible with the free market. The latter cannot disappear naturally, which does not make it easy for the globalists, he said. So they have found ways to destroy capitalism, such as energy disruptions, resource disruptions, supply chain disruptions, trade disruptions, financing problems, limits on consumption, limits on innovation, creation of big cataclysmic events. They have created slogans to tout the new economic order, which we see on a regular basis, such as "*You will own nothing and be happy*", "*start from scratch*" and "*build back better*."

Then Leslie Manookian explained how this came about, the controlled demolition of both our political and economic systems, via the confiscation of power, centralized control of corporations, assets and natural resources, by unelected and unaccountable leaders, a caste⁵ of the super-rich (the "*m4*"), while the class of serfs, without any resources, suffers the reset of the political and financial system.

3 A blockchain is a growing list of records, called blocks, that are linked together cryptographically. Each block contains a cryptographic hash of the previous block, a timestamp and transaction data. The timestamp proves that the transaction data existed at the time the block was published for inclusion in its hash.

4 A cryptocurrency, also known as cryptoasset, cryptocurrency, or cybercurrency, is a digital currency issued peer-to-peer, without the need for a central bank, usable through a decentralized computer network.

5 The caste: we often speak of "*the elite*"... By whom is this term chosen? Caste would probably be more appropriate to talk about the "*m4*", the tiny minority of machiavellian multi-millionaires... We could add malicious, mafia, mobsters, macabre, mummified...

Why is this happening now, in the United States? Because following the financial crisis of 2008-2009 and the explosion of the American debt, there were problems with the solvency of American pension funds, the crisis of the repo market⁶ in 2019 and the difficulties of developing countries indebted in dollars. In Europe, the situation is similar, the financial crisis of 2008-2009 did not spare the banks and the European debt has not been consolidated. The European Central Bank holds more than 40% of European debt, there are negative interest rates since 2014 and public pension systems have funding problems.

This situation cannot go on much longer and if you want to control the population, you have to get rid of the small employers. This is why small businesses are being destroyed, the covid crisis was just an excuse for this. At the same time, the pharmaceutical industry is buying influence in medicine, politics and the media. How are they going to top that off? Through a cryptocurrency issued by a central bank. This is what is planned, through forced transitions, universal basic income, elimination of small and medium sized businesses, through banking and big techs, disappearance of cash and surveillance. The main reason why all this is covered up is bad management.

Björn Pirrwitz has also shown that the demand mechanism, which leads to creating money out of thin air, moving rotten assets from the banks' balance sheets to the central bank, leading to negative interest rates, inflation and an inevitable collapse, lies behind the drive to implement the Great Reset. It is about transferring the debt to the central banks.

To solve this impossible equation, the globalists are redoubling their efforts to get rid of cash, via digital identity and the creation of a global central bank in charge of crypto currency. There is an instrumentalization of the financial crisis.

Christian Kreiss described the implications of growing inequality, its consequences and the growing impact of lending and money printing explosion, all these solutions that world leaders have put in place, debts, inflation, financial crises, depression, hijacking and war.

Finally, Meredith Miller offered an explanation. The interaction between psychopathic leaders and citizens has turned into an abusive relationship, leading for example to the Stockholm syndrome and there is an ongoing struggle between the forces of good and evil. That's it for the summary of day 5.

00:06:46 Reiner Fuellmich


Thank you, Your Honour. Now we'll turn to Patrick Wood, who will introduce the topic we're going to discuss today. It's about eugenics and genocide.

07:13 Patrick Wood



My name is Patrick Wood. These are the three books that are relevant to today's topic. They're available all over the world, through electronic bookstores and bookstores that can order books for you.

We're going to go through a number of things briefly in the time I have available.



I title my presentation of the day: "*Biodiversity*", I will explain this term as I go along. Subtitle: "*The Genetic Takeover of All Life*". This is going to be an updated perspective on what has happened in the last 25 to 30 years, how eugenics has intruded among us, in the most unexpected way. Nevertheless, it is here, in front of our eyes. To tell the truth, I imagine that we have it inside our arms, mostly.



⁶ A repo or repurchase agreement is a contract in which two parties agree to sell securities for cash and buy them back at a later date and price agreed upon in the contract. In other words, it is a temporary transfer of securities. It is also a loan for effect between the investors and the sellers.

You all know the contents of this board. This statement: *"the pandemic", said Klaus Schwab, "represents a rare and narrow window of opportunity to rethink, reinvent and reset our world."*

This is described in detail via the World Economic Forum website. Since it is a boring read, very few people will actually look at it. Time Magazine did, in late 2020. This cover shows the world being rebuilt. At this point, it's no longer about foresight. The scaffolding is up, the doors are open, and things are being done in the world that no one has voted on, but that doesn't stop them from happening. That's the full picture, the real full picture of what's going on.


I'll give you a quote from the European Academy on Religion and Society. They wrote recently, *"While most of humanity is still immersed in the coronavirus crisis, the highly influential members of the World Economic Forum have a plan for what should follow. It's called the Great Reset, and it projects - get this - a decidedly transhumanist future for all of us."*

This is something that hasn't really been emphasized, in the discussions around the Great Reset. A lot of people think it's about economics, or it's about politics, essentially. It is this angle of transhumanism that we will focus on today.

What is transhumanism? Here is the definition of the founder of modern transhumanism, Max More⁷. He wrote: *"Transhumanism promotes an interdisciplinary approach", or NBIC⁸, I'll come back to that in a moment, "to understand and evaluate the opportunities for improving the human condition and the human organism made possible by the advancement of technology. The focus is both on current technologies, such as genetic engineering and information technology, and on anticipating future technologies, such as molecular nanotechnology and artificial intelligence."*

Max More, by the way, is a thoroughly brilliant guy, even though I disagree with almost everything he says. He has a PhD in philosophy, which is his field of interest. So it was a purely philosophical definition at the time. Now it has turned into something more tangible, thanks to the advances in technology today. We all know that technology is advancing at a dizzying pace.

Let me just go back for a second to what convergence or NBIC means, so that you can understand it.



You can see 4 quadrants, with a left side that corresponds to physics and a right side to biology. When you look at the demarcation on the right side of the screen, there is also a distinction between hardware and software. On the outer contour, in light brown, you see the words *"atoms", "genes", "neurons" and "bytes"*. This refers to the very origins of NBIC: neurons, starting from the bottom right, neurons, bytes (bits), then atoms and genes in nanotechnology. The blending of these traditionally

7 Max More is a philosopher and futurist who writes, speaks, and consults on advanced decision making about emerging technologies. Today, he lives in Arizona not far from Patrick Wood's home.

8 New emerging sciences of humanism, the NBIC include Nanotechnologies, Biotechnologies, Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Sciences. Nanotechnology includes all research on the properties and principles existing at the nanometric scale (atoms and molecules). In this perspective, the nanomaterials used are intentionally produced nanoparticles: silicates, polymers, ceramic carbons, metals... Used in the field of health (see article on the bionic eye), nanotechnology hopes to revolutionize medicine and advance research. To do so, it proposes to integrate functions into the human body in order to optimize its functioning and to compensate for the declines due to possible diseases and aging. The implanted elements would be nanorobots whose size varies between 0.1 and 10 micrometers and which are composed of dwarf elements, based on DNA or molecules. Injected into the human body, the nanobots are small enough to penetrate a living cell. Their role would then be to repair or replace organelles, modify nucleic acids (including the genetic code), avoid microsurgeries, extend human life and prevent certain diseases such as cancer.

separate sciences in universities⁹ around the world has brought together people from each of these different departments to form a new academic discipline. This is called convergence or NBIC.

The underlying idea is that they are very closely related, especially through data and the ability to model these different disciplines with computer technology. We've talked about this before. Now it's a new thing, which is what I was getting at. It is something relatively recent, which did not exist 30 years ago and which is now quite major. Because of the convergence of these sciences around the world, almost every major university now has this famous NBIC convergence as a goal and they are working on it. There has been a huge research program over the last few years, where papers, scientific papers and advancement papers have been written. These kinds of articles from academia that no one ever reads, because they are really only available to academics. They have progressed. We are faced with the result, the fallout of this program.

To summarize transhumanism: *"The basic premise,"* you'll note this comes from the World Economic Forum, *"the basic premise of transhumanism is that biological evolution will eventually be overtaken by advances in genetics, wearable and implantable technologies, which artificially accelerate the evolutionary process."* This statement is full of various ideas and I just want to comment on a few of them.

About 25 or 30 years ago, the global caste (the "m4") realized that they had the opportunity to use the new tools of biotechnology, to essentially take control of what was considered biological evolution, which they more or less consider to be 100 million years of biological evolution, by which Man emerged from the primordial soup, to where we are today.

The m4 believes that evolution has been cruel to the world and to Humanity in general, because it has developed a lot of imperfections, according to their argument, like causing wars, that kind of thing and shaping other personality traits that they don't like.

So they suddenly saw the possibility of taking control of evolution, the process of evolution, not letting it be random anymore, but rather designing it in an intelligent way, dominating biology, or what was considered biological evolution.

That's exactly what the World Economic Forum is saying. It's not a new idea for them, it's been around for a while, that biological evolution will eventually be overtaken by advances in genetic, portable and implantable technologies, which will artificially accelerate the process of evolution. So they want to change the evolutionary process. They want to accelerate it. The intelligent design that they want to project on the Living is the one that has germinated in their minds. This is the mechanism of reinvention. What do you want to be in 20, 30, 50, or 100 years? Whatever the answer is, they will say, *"All right, we can achieve it now, we have the tools."*

Now, a little backtracking. I will refer you to a book that was written in 1994, two years after the Rio de Janeiro conference¹⁰. It was the first Earth Summit, the unced conference, or United Nations Conference on Environment and Development. It was a major event, because this giant conference produced the *"agenda 21"*¹¹ document that we already talked about, when I talked last time about the trilateral commission and sustainable development, how they came about and how they were introduced. In fact, the Agenda 21 conference produced two documents, which we will now discuss. The first is the Agenda 21 book itself. The second is *"The State of Biodiversity"*, a giant book on biodiversity, about 1,150 pages long. We'll come back to that in a minute.

There were also notable critics of the overall Agenda 21 process at the UNCED conference, which had very high ambitions at the beginning of the negotiations, with the assurance that something positive would come out of it. These critics predicted that in the end, nothing positive would happen.

9 e.g. IT used to have its own department, as did genetic engineering, etc... there has been a merging of these disciplines over the last 20 / 25 years

10 At the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED, Rio Conference or Earth Summit), held in Rio de Janeiro in June 1992, public opinion became aware of the global dimension of the issues of biodiversity conservation and its economic value. 178 national delegations as well as 117 heads of state and government attended the Earth Summit that concluded this meeting. It was adopted an Earth Charter for a sustainable development integrating in particular the data of the environment (Agenda 21), the convention on the biological diversity, the declaration on the protection of the forests and the convention on the climate changes.

11 Agenda 21 is an action plan for the XXI^e century adopted by 182 heads of state at the Rio's Earth Summit in 1992

They wrote a book called *"Brokers of the Earth"*. I recommend this book to anyone who wants to get a different perspective on what happened in Rio. This is just my opinion, but it is the first serious scientific book that has been published about what really happened at that conference in Rio de Janeiro. In this book, they made some startling revelations. One of the first things they said, which sort of ties in with last week's presentation, is, *"We say that the unced¹²", i.e. the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, the conference was dedicated to these themes, "We assert that unced has stimulated precisely the kind of industrial development (technocracy) that is destructive to the environment, the planet and its inhabitants. We anticipate that as a result of unced, the rich will become richer, the poor poorer, while an increasing portion of the planet will be destroyed in the process."*

All I can say is that this is pretty much exactly what has happened. Since then, the rich have gotten richer, the poor have gotten poorer, while more and more of the planet is being destroyed in the process. For every environmental issue you can see around the globe, you really have to dig for improvements, in most cases. In fact, there has been no improvement, but worse than that, it has gone the other way.

They went further and that brings us to the real topic of the day: the biodiversity convention. The convention on biodiversity was held in parallel with the conference *"Agenda 21"*.

In other words, it was in Rio de Janeiro, with the same participants. It was just a different program. You can see this today in various conferences around the world. If it's a very large conference, you'll notice that there's different programming going on in parallel within the same conference, probably to address certain areas, and that's what happened at this Rio conference: the convention on biodiversity was going on in parallel, both were ultimately the same conference, but they produced two different synthesis documents.

The authors of *"Earth Brokers"* wrote this on page 43, it's really worth looking at carefully: *"The convention implicitly assimilates the diversity of life, i.e. animals and plants."* Let me remind you that technocratic thought, transhumanist thought, considers humans as nothing more or less than animals. Therefore, Humanity too is included in this

statement. It is written that *"They equate the diversity of life, of animals and plants, with the diversity of genetic codes. Thus, diversity becomes something that modern science can manipulate. This makes biotechnology appear as an essential element for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity."*

This is a new definition of biodiversity that most of us had probably never heard of before, or were not aware of. It is, however, the most accurate definition of what the United Nations envisioned when it created the biodiversity doctrine. The average person usually thinks of a set of forest species, the different varieties of trees, animals, insects, etc.

That's one way of looking at it. They changed that definition, officially, in 1992. Preoccupation with genetic codes, taking control of Life, so that *"diversity becomes something that modern science can manipulate"*, that's what they said in their testimony, and without making any value judgments about whether it's a good thing or a bad thing, but these two authors just reported what they saw... admittedly, they disagreed with it a little bit, but they're not trying to impose their view on us. They just report what they observed.

On the other hand, we find another statement on page 42, which says, *"The major issue raised by the biodiversity convention is the question of ownership and control of biological diversity... The major concern," listen to this, this is what they say, "The major concern was the protection of the pharmaceutical industry and the emerging biotechnology industry."*

That's kind of surprising, because in this case it wasn't about the environment, or making the Earth a better place to live. The authors wrote that the main issue, not a minor issue, the main issue raised by the convention on biodiversity, is the question of ownership and control. We're talking about intellectual property, if you ever need that little clarification, and control over biological diversity. So what about this biological diversity? It was only about control over the genetic structure of life.

Their major concern, the real reason for their approach, *"the main issue was to protect the emerging pharmaceutical and biotech industries"*. To be honest with you, if I had read this book in 1994, as soon as it was published, I probably would not have picked up on this passage. Because who was able to understand

12 L'unced, la conférence des nations unies à propos de l'environnement et le développement.

what the emerging biotech industry was? I didn't know it at the time. I knew about agriculture, having grown up in the agricultural world. We understood early on that there were things like biologically modified tomatoes, with the goal of growing them better and maybe using mechanical machinery better to harvest them. If you asked anyone on the street, or even in academia, what the emerging biotechnology industries were, they would have looked at you with round eyes. Today, it makes sense to us because we have a pretty good idea where they came from. That's where we are today.

So the convention on biodiversity was about control over genetic codes, through the implementation of genetic engineering, to manipulate and rearrange them in these biotech and pharmaceutical industries. From today's perspective, I would say that the pharmaceutical and biotech industries have converged over the years, since 1992. So even though they're mentioned separately in this excerpt, we've seen them intertwine over the course of modern history, to create all sorts of things that have brought us to our current life as it is. For example, let me go back just a minute.

The example of seed control. Monsanto became famous for that, they expanded their hold on virtually every food crop at their fingertips. They made certain genetic modifications to the seeds, to the DNA of the seeds, in order to obtain characteristics that could be reproduced in the field.

For example, in the case of cotton, they introduced a natural phenomenon called Bt¹³, which repels insects. They were confident. It was regularly sprayed on the crops, either with hand sprayers, or via helicopter, or whatever. Now we don't have to do that anymore, we can design the seed itself, so that when it's planted, it produces this Bt as it grows, so that the insects don't get near it. Indeed, this strategy has worked very well, by the way, I won't go into details.

There are many disastrous failures, where Bt cotton has had the opposite effect. They've designed virtually every crop seed you can think of, rapeseed, canola, soybeans, wheat, corn, pretty much everything you consume has been designed by Monsanto. Every time they designed a seed, they put a patent on it and they said, *"This seed belongs to us*

now and you can't grow it without getting our approval." So much for seeds. Seeds are living material. So they fall under this definition of biodiversity.

On the other hand, we now know that insects have been modified, too. They genetically modify mosquitoes, for example, to be able to eradicate mosquito-borne diseases. We know that other insects have been modified, for various reasons, such as locusts, genetically modified to become a potential source of protein for human food. I'm not thrilled with this idea. That would be a meal I would gladly do without.

There are also fish that have been genetically modified. Salmon can now be raised in farms to grow twice as fast as they used to. More meat production and more profit. There are also other animals, such as cattle and pigs, that have been significantly genetically modified. Sheep and pigs are modified, for example, with human genes, in a kind of transgenic process where they take human genes and implant them in pigs. Then the pigs produce organs that can be transplanted into humans. If someone needs a kidney, a heart, whatever. It can be produced in a pig and then taken and transplanted into a human.

So animals have been genetically modified. Just about everything else, in fact, birds, chickens, turkeys, have also been modified. When you look at the whole thing, so far, absolutely everything except humans has been genetically modified in a big way, with the seed companies in the lead. The only thing that has escaped it, the only living thing that has escaped modification is the human.


Do you see where I'm going with this? If the tools of today had been available to, say, Adolf Hitler, at any time during World War II, the result would probably have been quite different. We see that this vision is being revived today. While Hitler's means of purifying the gene pool were rather crude: *"Get rid of anyone you don't like! You don't like their appearance? Fine, kill them. You don't like the way they think, fine, kill them too. You don't like the color of their skin, that's a good reason to kill them. If there's any other scapegoat to demonize, just like what the Jews had become then, kill them to just get rid of them, remove them from the gene pool, because then they won't multiply and they won't contaminate it anymore."* This was just his simplistic view of eugenics: clean up the

13 Les cotonniers Bt sont des cultivars de cotonnier de l'espèce *Gossypium hirsutum* qui ont été modifiées génétiquement par l'ajout du gène leur conférant la capacité de produire un insecticide.

gene pool. According to his own conception, of course, he adapted it to his own way.

Today it takes a different turn, but it's the same old mechanism: *"How are we going to clean up the gene pool? Whenever we can intervene directly, we can change, edit, so that the things we don't like are changed into new things. We can create life in our own image, whatever we want."* You have to admit, it's very disturbing, when you look at it, but the technology of today is being directed at the human population for the very first time in such a big way, at least on such a large scale.

This is very disturbing. Today's technology is targeting the human population for the very first time in a very significant way, or at least on a large scale. Some genetic therapies were available before this, don't get me wrong. With today's pandemic and with the messenger RNA injections that are being used around the world today, this is the first massive, experimental use of this method on the entire human population.




So let's talk about the two books that came out of that 1992 conference. The first one I want to talk about is the book *"Agenda 21"*. It is available. You can see that it says on it *"United Nations Rio Action Program"*. At the bottom, you can see the logo of the United Nations and that of UNEP¹⁴ as well. This is our official book. It is still available on sites where you can buy it. I advise people to take it so they can see that we are not talking nonsense.

I did a search. Fortunately, I have an electronic copy of this book. I searched for several words, because the frequency of words and documents is very important to me as a researcher. I like to see what is mentioned most often, because that is what indicates the topic being researched. If you count the words

a little bit, when you're researching, you can figure out where the author is coming from and what underlies their basis, their theory.

For example, in the book *"Agenda 21"*, I searched for certain words. They are listed here: genetics, genes, biotechnology, DNA, ribosomes. I found that the word genetics appeared 22 times, even though the book is only 351 pages long. Genes were mentioned twice, biotechnology 23 times, DNA once, and ribosomes once, for a total of 49 mentions in this particular area. Out of 351 pages, this gave a saturation rate of 14%. In other words, 14% of the pages in the *"Agenda 21"* book dealt with this concept of biodiversity in relation to genetic issues, genes, biotechnology, etc.

That's not really worrisome. If you just read this book, it's a minor theme. It's an important theme, of course, or they wouldn't have mentioned it in 50 pages out of 350. You wouldn't say it's the main theme or the main issue of *"Agenda 21"*. Indeed, if you read this book, it is not the main theme. Just important!



That said, when you get to another book, *"The Assessment of Biodiversity,"* it's this huge book that came out of this conference. It's the size of a binder and 1,152 pages. If you drop this book that's four inches thick on your foot, it hurts. Well, I looked up the same words in this document. Figure that the word genetics was mentioned 518 times, the word genes 162 times, biotechnology 112 times, DNA 97 times, and ribosomes 17 times. So, out of 1,152 pages, 900 of them contained at least one reference to genetic issues. This is a rate of 78%. This confirms without a doubt that the authors of *"Brokers of the Earth"* knew exactly what they were talking about even though they were mere witnesses.

14 The unep (union nationale des entreprises du paysage) is the professional union of the landscape sector created in 1963. This lobby, the world's leading authority on the environment, has a variety of missions around the landscape industry: communication, promotion, representation of the profession to young people and the general public. Unep acts more concretely to obtain official recognition of the specialties of the profession, publishes professional rules, reference documents, and rules of art that serve as technical benchmarks. Unep advocates for the development of plants in cities.

They did not make any value judgments about it. This confirms, for me at least, that their assessment was 100% correct.

The main issue of the global convention on biodiversity, and this assessment book came out of it, was specifically about the genetic modification of life. By the way, you can see on the cover of this book little fish in the water. I don't know exactly what that represents because it's an addendum, but when you think biodiversity, think genetic modification. This proves that the whole concept of modifying genes and living things is a very important issue, if not the main issue since 1992.

It went unnoticed for many years. Now, because of the situation in the last two years, it has come to light. That was the plan. The original plan. All other life forms have already been genetically modified. The final frontier, the last one, was humanity itself. That is exactly what is happening today.



So I want to make the connection between last week and this week, just so you can understand where Klaus Schwab is coming from. Because he is pushing both technocracy, which is sustainable development, and transhumanism at the same time. You say to yourself, *"Well, okay, there are the people and there is the system in which the people live."*

Well, technocracy is the societal structure, if you will, that will determine how the economic system will work, how the social system will work, but it's a whole new system. As he said, the great reset is not something we know from the last century. The great reset is entirely new. Technocracy is to the structure and functioning of society what transhumanism is to the humans who will live in it.



The transhuman condition is therefore a kind of genetically modified person, which could be compared to Hitler Superman, who is in fact the origin of the Superman character in cartoons. Transhuman man is no different from the Superman that came out of Germany in the 1930s and 1940s. So there is nothing new here. The concept is the same, it is just expressed in a different way.

For Klaus Schwab, transhumanism is the idea that these kinds of people will live in a restructured world.

This implies that those of us who belong to the old world while the new world is being created will no longer be adapted or compatible with these new machines from the transformed world. We must therefore be transformed ourselves to live in this new world.

I want to say that Hitler... By the way, I'm not an expert on Hitler or World War II, but I want to point out that Hitler was very specific about this, that the Superman, the super race that he intended to create was the race that would inhabit his so-called millennial Reich. These are the people who would enter this thousand-year Reich to populate the world and reform the whole thing from the ground up. Again, nothing has really changed.



Now we have people coming out of the World Economic Forum. For example, this woman here,

Ida Auken¹⁵, is a member of the Danish parliament. She's speaking at a world economic forum, with a green plant in the back of her head. That's probably appropriate here. But she says *"Welcome to 2030."* I own nothing, I have no privacy and life has never been better. You see, this is the whole vision of Klaus Schwab, the World Economic Forum, the technocrats, the Trilateral Commission and the whole global caste (the *"m4"*).

Today, their idea is that the world will be so transformed that you will own nothing and be stripped not only of your physical possessions, but also of your privacy. The last bastion of privacy is your mind, the thoughts you cultivate. They want to take that too. They don't just want to own our possessions, they want to own the very thought process that inhabits our brains.

She proclaims, of course in an overplayed way, that life has never been better. Let me tell you that if she really thinks that life has never been better, it is only because there is a staggering void in that pretty little blonde head that is incapable of processing thoughts. It's impossible to be happy unless you've been completely brainwashed as you should be when you have nothing left, not even your private life. You may also no longer own your own body, because over time, these genetic engineering companies claim patent rights and intellectual rights to their own creation. So it may be that when you say *"I don't own anything,"* you really don't own anything. Neither the external physical things nor the internal physical things.



I would like to conclude with this slide, because it sums it up and it is unequivocal. This is the Moderna website. I've posted the full image here so you can check it out for yourself. Just go to the Moderna website, then to the *"About Us"* page and you'll see this, *"Welcome to Moderna. We believe that messenger RNA,"* that is mRNA, the precursor to protein

manufacturing, the template for protein manufacturing in your body, *"is the software of life. The software of life."*

What do we do to software? Well, we create software. We constantly want to improve it, we release new versions when we make changes to it. Of course, we go through the process of debugging to correct any problems that arise. Life goes on. The software of life, they tell us. Well, the software of life mentality applied to human beings is exactly what we are seeing right now.

If people don't understand what this *"About Us"* page means, they either can't reason or have no logical ability. This is probably one of the most revealing and frightening comments you'll ever see about a vaxxin, or excuse me, whatever you call it, not a vaccine, but an RNAmessenger injection. It's the scariest thing you can see because from their point of view, they're not inoculating seeds or fish or animals and they're not killing humans, but it's the software of human life.

The software of life has now become the playground of the global caste (the *"m4"*). They are trying to create a humanity that will enter their own millennial Reich in some way. This is exactly what we have seen before in history: the names have changed, the sophistication of the presentation has changed. The underlying ideology, the principles and objectives have not changed. So I'm going to thank you and stop sharing my screen. If there are any questions and answers, I'd be delighted. That's what I wanted to say to you today.

00:42:40 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you very much, Patrick. On the face of it, this is another example to me of their use of euphemisms to get concepts into people's heads. Biodiversity, as I learned from your presentation, really comes down to getting total control over humans. Everything else is currently, at least according to them, under their control regarding humans with the help of the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry. My question is, isn't this clear enough for people to realize what is going on? Or why hasn't there been an outcry, at least from the churches? They should indeed consider that these industries are trying to play God.

15 Ida Margrethe Meier Auken (1978-) fut ministre de l'environnement de 2011 à 2014. Elle est toujours membre du parlement danois. Avec le forum de Davos, elle envisage un monde décrit [ici](#)

00:43:26 Patrick Wood

Yes, they should, they are really playing God. Today, when these people look at living beings, they only think of one thing: to improve them. This is the formula they use: *"We can do better, go back and do better"*. This indicates, of course, that they do not believe in intelligent design in the first place. They will tell you that whatever God may have created, if they believe in God as an intelligent creator, he really screwed it up. He messed it up, he goofed, he came up with it and he messed it up, so we can do better, we can fix those problems that he planted in the system.

We can create the life we want to live on planet Earth. When transhumanists start talking about eternal life, it gets really scary, because they're looking for technology to advance to the point where they can literally offer immortality. They say it openly. Max More has written a lot about this. So it's not a passing thing, as if our goal is to cure heart disease or whatever. No, it's not. That may be part of it, but the ultimate goal is immortality and of course God has something to say about that, in the Bible at least.

Man dies, then comes the judgment. While everyone will be dead on planet Earth, they think they're going to be the first group of people to survive, to continue to live in a computer and in avatar form or maybe just through their own bodies, if they can continue to fix it, by putting it together with wire.

00:45:14 Matthew Ehret

The cloud has become paradise.

00:45:17 Patrick Wood

Right, heaven is the cloud.

00:45:20 Viviane Fischer

I have a question. The vaxxins that are currently being injected don't seem to be improving people's health, but rather causing them problems. I see three options. One, they could be used as a way to claim patent rights on these people with this injected mRNA. A second option, are the people who are not going to be in the crowd going to be immortal?

Or will they disappear rather quickly? I mean, is this maybe part of a larger project that first injects this product for now, even though we don't really know what's in it? Then they add something that makes them part of this realm of enhanced, immortal beings? What do you think about that?

00:46:20 Patrick Wood

I am not a scientist or a doctor, just a whistleblower who is analyzing the whole situation, like all of you. This injection does not improve people's health. This is clearly not the case, you have already documented this very well.

If the purpose of the injection is not to specifically boost the immune system and if even the accidental results have resulted in serious injuries to people all over the world as a result of their injection, then I would say that if that was their stated purpose, that they wanted to improve people's health, they have completely failed.

So that indicates that there is another reason. It's crystal clear that there's another agenda behind the scenes that has nothing to do with improving people's health, they must have an excuse for that needle to stick your arm to inject the mRNA. Now in India, there's vaxxin DNA being produced. People say, *"Oh, but RNA doesn't affect DNA"*. If you think it's going to stop at RNA, you're crazy.

They've already gone further than that. An Indian pharmaceutical company has already gone to injecting DNA under the skin. I see someone nodding here: they're probably of Indian origin.

It's absolutely true. They are going after the human genome. I don't think it has anything to do with the promise of *"We will make your life better"*. The United Nations is used to doing that with their 17 sustainable development goals to transform the world.

The first thing they focus on is saying that they are going to eliminate poverty everywhere. Really, it's a grandiose promise: it's never been done. We are poorer, the world is poorer now than it was in 1992.

All the other promises they made at the beginning like, *"We're going to provide jobs for everybody, dignified jobs, we're going to provide lifelong educational opportunities and everybody will have a home to live in."* All of these promises that they made were absolutely empty. They weren't kept and they weren't true. They didn't come true and they can never keep them.

Now we have the same scenario unfolding before our eyes with these injections. They promise you that it's going to strengthen your immune system, that it's going to keep you from getting the disease and from having severe forms.

But it hasn't happened. It's a false promise. Again, this indicates that there is another agenda at work that has nothing to do with human health and even less to do with the United Nations' intention to eliminate world poverty. It was never their intention to eliminate poverty.

It was just propaganda. Just like the one that these vaxxins, these shots, are going to save the whole world from this terrible virus. It's absolutely meaningless. It's not true. It's propaganda. I think they know exactly what they are talking about. Because they produce the propaganda, they know it's propaganda.

00:49:51 Ana Garner

I have a question. Thank you, Mr. Wood, for your excellent presentation. It seems to me that they are trying to play God when they talk about taking control of our evolutionary process. I was struck by the analogy to software, what we have to do to software, continually update it to read an improved version of human 2.0 or 3.0. It seems that these injections will never stop until people understand what they are doing, until they say "*stop*". I feel like this was a great experiment to see how many people would capitulate to the fear-mongering and lies they put out.

In the end, there was no informed consent. They forced people to line up and make them think they were being injected voluntarily, when they weren't... Do you think this will ever stop?

00:50:58 Patrick Wood

It can't stop. That's a great question. It can't stop. I'll tell you why. Maybe it's a nod to what you originally thought, but once you're injected with this, obviously your immune system is altered. Maybe not in the same way for everyone, but the immune system is altered when you get several of these injections. This means that in the future, it won't matter if the viruses are human or not

When future viruses arrive, your natural immunity will have been disrupted by these vaxxins. If you want to be saved from this new horrible virus that will come one day and really kill a lot of people, you will have no choice but to get another shot to

eliminate or protect yourself from that virus: there will be no end to it. That's perfectly clear in my mind.

They have so altered the natural immunity of the world that the world is now immunocompromised. Everyone who has been injected is now immunosuppressed.

I think of the AIDS debacle, where the only way to treat AIDS for a long time was this giant cocktail of drugs. They mixed a whole bunch of different things, it cost a fortune to get that cocktail and they said, "*This will fight the HIV virus in your body.*"

Maybe it did for some people. I didn't get it, so I have no idea. I wasn't close to the community. The same kind of mentality is at work today.

In the future, if you want to be safe from getting this potentially horrible virus that might be circulating one day, you're going to be at the mercy of whoever has something to inject into your body to give you some kind of temporary immunity. I think they have to think about that.

Once the conduit in your arm is established, there is no logical stop. Even if you rebelled five years later and said, "*I don't want to do this anymore. I'm never going to get another injection.*" That's fine, but you might die prematurely because you've got a virus, but you have no natural immunity to fight it.

00:53:35 Ana Garner

That is unfortunate. Thank you.

00:54:05 Virginie Arraujo-Recchia

Thank you, sir, for your testimony. It was very important. I would like to illustrate the links to the perpetrators. You mentioned seeds. I would like to raise a point about the doomsday bunker. On February 28, 2008, the Svalbard¹⁶ World Seed Bank was officially opened. It is an underground vault located on the Norwegian island of Spitsbergen, designed to store the seeds of all the world's food crops.

Those who organized this, I quote the article: the Norwegian government, the Gates Foundation¹⁷,

16 Le Svalbard est un archipel norvégien situé dans l'océan Arctique, entre le Groenland à l'ouest, l'archipel François-Joseph à l'est et l'Europe continentale au sud. Il constitue la territoire le plus septentrional de la Norvège.

17 The Gates Foundation, an American humanist philanthropy, was established in 2000. Globally, its primary goals are to improve health care and reduce extreme poverty, while in the United States, the foundation's primary focus is to

DuPont¹⁸/Pioneer, syngenta¹⁹, Rockefeller's CGIAR²⁰, Monsanto²¹, the Global Crop Diversity Trust²² and a French organization that is skeptical about the reason for the creation of this bunker. The founders of the project are all lobbyists for the seed industry, whose current policy is not oriented towards greater biodiversity. On the contrary, it seeks to reduce access to existing biological and genetic resources and thus contributes to their suppression. I think that illustrates what you were saying about biodiversity and intellectual property. That wasn't a question; I'm getting to that! The second point also illustrates what you said because Professor Peter McCullough²³ explained yesterday that a scientific study by Alden et al. from Lund University in Sweden confirms one of our worst fears. I read what he said: "*Exogenous genetic material encoding the dangerous spike protein is retrotranscribed into the human genome, possible long-term constitutive expression/synthesis of the lethal disease-promoting spike protein*". So that's exactly what you say. This is a scientific study published on February 25. So, yesterday. It's very disturbing.

00:56:52 Patrick Wood

I remember the first time I heard about this seed bank under the ice up north. I thought it was a bit disturbing at first and then I thought, "*Maybe it makes sense after all and we should store these heirloom seeds as they are called. These are the original seeds before they were modified.*"

As they modified all the seeds and spread them around the world, they realized that there could be some kind of worst-case scenario that could cause serious problems. So they took samples of all the

heirloom seeds, the unmodified seeds. That's what they put in the vault. I have no proof of that and I have not read anything about it. In relation to what you just said Virginia, I wonder, given what is going on, if they are not storing sperm and eggs there already now, for humans. Maybe they should keep some of the original human DNA with all the other seeds in that bank. It's just an idea that crossed my mind.

00:58:04 Reiner Fuellmich

Well, considering that Bill Gates is or was closely related to Jeffrey Epstein, I don't think your conclusion is far-fetched.

00:58:20 Patrick Wood

That is true. For the purposes of this particular conference, I know we have a lot of facts to hold on to. There may be more facts that will come out in the near future. What's going on right now is very damning. And it requires specific investigation on very specific points. We have established the basic theory fairly well. We will strengthen it further with the testimony of the other participants here. The theory is good. Some details are already on the table. Now we have a lead to go into the details, to see where these conspiracies actually took place and where things were actually written about.

So there is a need for a multitude of FOIA requests, at least in the U.S. and possibly other countries, to flesh out some of these emails, conversations, tapes and recordings, etc. that may have taken place over time, in order to find not only the smoking gun, but also the bullet that was fired.

expand access to education and information technology. However, its investments run counter to the foundation's goals. On the other hand, under the pretext of charity, Bibill imposes the law of finance even in the areas of international solidarity with the passive complicity of the guarantors of the collective good.

- 18 DuPont de Nemours is a U.S. company formed from the merger between Dow Chemical and EI du Pont de Nemours & cie in 2017. EI (Éleuthère Irénée) du Pont de Nemours & cie was founded in 1802 in Delaware and became the primary supplier of gunpowder to the U.S. Army. The company, whose main shareholder is The Vanguard Group, was condemned in 2005 and 2008 for concealing the toxicity of certain components of Teflon. It is ranked second in the top 100 air polluters in the US. [here](#)
- 19 Syngenta is a Swiss chemical and food company, formed in November 2000 from the merger of the agrochemical divisions of AstraZeneca and Novartis. It is the world leader in agriculture-related research, in particular the production of crop protection products and seeds. It is the producer of the neurotoxic pesticide Cruiser, which is partly responsible for the excessive mortality of bees.
- 20 The cgiar is a global partnership of international food security research organizations that includes 15 research centers, donors and advisory bodies.
- 21 Monsanto specializes in biotechnology for the agricultural sector. In 2018, the German company Bayer absorbed it.
- 22 The Global Crop Diversity Trust is an international organization that supports the conservation of plant genetic resources in gene banks and their use for food and nutrition security.
- 23 Peter A. McCullough, born in 1962 in New York State, is an American cardiologist. During the covid-19 pandemic, he spoke out against the scientific consensus on vaxxin and treatment.

00:59:39 Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt

Mr. Wood, thank you very much for your testimony. I have no doubt that your testimony today was focused on the 1992 United Nations conference in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. So I would like to ask you if from 1992 to today there have been any major biotechnological advances related to humanity. Can you think of any major advances, other than the mRNA vaxxins that we are going to talk about?

01:00:20 Patrick Wood

Well, in terms of advances, do you mean positive or negative advances?

01:00:26 Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt

No, positive.

01:00:30 Patrick Wood

Okay. Well, some fortuitous positive improvements may have occurred. I say incidental because it may not have even been planned in the first place, or they just did it by accident. At this point, and this is just my opinion, the overwhelming majority of genetic modifications that have been made have had negative results. In other words, it didn't help anything, it didn't help the ecosystem. You know, when corn was first genetically modified, there was a great fear in America. All of a sudden, people started buying mountains of tortilla chips at the grocery store. Big bags, made from corn.

All of a sudden, people reacted violently and had to go to the hospital, etc. because this genetically modified corn was making them sick. Why couldn't they have figured that out before they threw it in a store and started making as much money as possible? Well, maybe they could have, but they didn't. Negative results like this have been happening, all over the landscape. I wish I could find more positive trends, but I can't.

01:01:45 Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt

Right! To date, to the extent that the evidence can be established with respect to this vaxxin mRNA, do you consider it to be the most advanced in terms of technological advancement, specifically with respect to humanity?

01:02:04 Patrick Wood

Right. This is the Holy Grail of transhumanism right here. The Holy Grail. Besides, during the Second World War, Adolf Hitler had the same idea. He just didn't have the means to achieve it.

01:02:19 Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt

Okay, so I'd like to quickly go back to the evidence presented so far to the Grand Jury for consideration. I am referring to Professor Antonietta Gatti. I want to give the jury a quick perspective. She has a PhD in experimental physics from the University of Bologna, Italy. D. in biomedical technologies, bioengineering at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Bologna, Italy. I want to focus on the evidence related to a technocracy of biotechnological progress. The ones you gave earlier. You focused on technocracy aligning it more with biotechnology.

We have actually presented evidence to the jury that this is the first time ever that nanoparticles have been used in medicine. It is a dangerous product. The body cannot counteract these particles that can create a magnetic field. What connections do you make between biotechnological advancement, specific problems, death and the evidence presented to the jury?

01:04:15 Patrick Wood

Yes, absolutely, things are aligned. You remember the chart I just presented on NBIC. The N stands for nanotechnology. Nanotechnology is the manipulation of matter by external means. That is, we think of taking a hammer to construct a building or a house. Nanotechnology is about being able to artificially assemble atoms in different configurations and create different types of matter, devices or whatever. Universities have been experimenting with this for a long time now. I would say that this technology has been advancing probably for the last 15 or 20 years and a computer, for example, can change the structure of something remotely by sending it commands.

So it goes hand in hand with biotechnology. That's what I'm saying, the two disciplines are combined in universities. Would they think of using that in today's injections? I don't know, why not? So it seemed to be perfectly compatible with the existing science that they have been working on for years.

01:05:34 Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt

Mr. Wood, you have presented evidence, it all comes back to NBIC. We hear what Moderna has said. That evidence is admissible in this Grand Jury proceeding. When Moderna said that mRNA is the software of life, that means it can be hacked. In conclusion, can you simply say, yes or no, does this

request fit the scenario played out in 1992, in Rio de Janeiro? We are in the process of fulfilling the manifestation of technocracy, a plan and an agenda that is played out in every country in the world.

01:06:34 Patrick Wood

Yes.

01:06:36 Dexter L-J. Rynedeldt

Thank you very much. Thank you.

01:06:40 Viviane Fisher

I have one last quick question. You just mentioned that you only see destructive or accidentally positive developments regarding bioengineering or GMOs. Do you think that maybe they are hiding all these positive results from us? Maybe they are keeping something really positive up their sleeve? Or are they in complete denial that it doesn't work, that they won't be immortal and no one will be? Maybe they don't have the technology at their fingertips?

01:07:18 Patrick Wood

Well, that's a good question. I'm not sure they care whether there are positive or negative outcomes, in a sense, but the overwhelming evidence at this point, from my perspective, is that the evidence of harm is hidden, not the evidence of success. They would have every reason to put forth the evidence of success right now. Tangible success to convince people that it really works. They can't do that. What they hide is all the damage that's happening. In almost every agency, there have already been scandals where unpublished data, intentionally unpublished, was discovered months later because it would have tainted their narrative. So I think the evidence is going the other way, they're hiding the bad data. They just don't have good data to publish.

01:08:21 Reiner Fuellmich

That makes perfect sense, Patrick, because one of the things that we seem to see through the evidence presented to this Grand Jury is that vaxxin-induced immunity doesn't even exist. In this case, because there is no immunity. On the other hand, natural immunity is perfect. How can they deny that? I guess you won't object to the conclusion that all they are doing right now is lying to us in order to prevent us from understanding that they can't do what they promised to do.

01:09:00 Patrick Wood

That's exactly right. I'm going back a bit. Whatever they promised to do at the very beginning, it was most likely a lie from the start. They never had the intention or the ability to produce what they said they would produce. I think they knew that perfectly well at the time. You're going to have to prove it, but I think that's a logical way to look at it, they knew they were lying from the beginning. They intentionally deceive people into doing something that they wouldn't have done otherwise.

That's the nature of propaganda anyway, we should know about propaganda after a hundred years of propaganda. We've had nothing but non-stop propaganda, from the beginning. I will not believe anything if I can determine that something contains propaganda. I will tear it to shreds and throw it away. I don't want to pollute my mind. What they said from the beginning, *"Oh, we're going to keep the disease out of your body."* That's what they said. It's just the opposite that happened.

How can any scientist be stupid enough to think they can get away with saying something like that? Without supporting evidence? It wasn't tested. It didn't pass any testing regimen, by the FDA or anyone else. Yet they were able to say it was 95% effective, that you would not get the disease if you received the injection. A blatant lie! This is false, completely false.

01:10:35 Reiner Fuellmich

Yes, I suppose that's the only conclusion that can be drawn. So, if they are lying about that, there must be other objectives present. Thank you. Thank you very much, Patrick! Virginia!

01:10:53 Virginie de Araujo-Recchia

Sorry. The Gates Foundation, along with the UN and Kofi Annan²⁴ in the beginning, have been developing GMO farms for many years in Africa. They have been developing gmo corn to vaccinate through food and make people infertile. That's what they started doing in Africa.

01:11:20 Patrick Wood

Yes, this is the case.

24 Kofi Annan né en 1938 à Kumasi au Ghana et mort en 2018 à Berne, est un diplomate ghanéen. Il est le septième secrétaire général des Nations unies et le premier à sortir des rangs du personnel de l'organisation. Il occupe cette fonction de 1997 à 2006. Le 10 décembre 2001, il reçoit le prix Nobel de la paix.

01:11:21 Virginie de Araujo-Recchia

We also have this concern with this gene therapy injection.

01:11:29 Patrick Wood

Yes. Yes.

01:11:33 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you very much, Patrick. Let's see if we can dig a little deeper. Let's hear what Matthew Ehret has to say.

01:11:42 Matthew Ehret



Yes, thank you for inviting me to this rich panel. Thank you Patrick, for this very important information. I'm just picking up on some of the things you've said. I know that time is limited. I will do my best

to keep it to 20 minutes.

The personality of Maurice Strong²⁵ is, I think, an interesting introduction for many people. He's a figure who in many ways was the godfather of the modern green new deal²⁶, the project that is part of the 2030 agenda²⁷, what do you call it? The farm to fork strategy²⁸ to try to introduce a monsanto gmo program for Europe, as part of a drive for decarbonization. It's also linked to various nonsense policies, when you look at the advice given to many of these transatlantic governments to decarbonize.

According to these computer models, we just have to do it by 2030, 2050, to save nature. We figure the

effect will be mass death and the impossibility of sustaining human life, let alone life in general. So either it's incompetent, or there's an intention behind it, to create this effect. When we look at the evidence, the intent is actually detectable. People who say, "Oh, it's a conspiracy theory," conspiracy theories immediately discredit you. All they say is "I've been brainwashed into thinking that everyone who says that intentions and ideas are causal is crazy. I have to turn off my brain and stop thinking." They have worked hard to get people to do this.

Indeed, there are intentions. We can detect bad intentions, bad ideas. However, we have to think at a very different level than our system conditions us to think. Maurice Strong was not only one of the main organizers of the Rio Summit and the 2030 Agenda. He was also a co-founder of the World Economic Forum and Secretary General of the first UN Conference on Environment and Population in 1972. A very important figure, who was also president of the Rockefeller foundation in his time and director of the world bank, as one of those technocrats who are just installed to get things done, as a kind of hitman.

In a 1990 interview, he asked what would happen if a small group of world leaders concluded that the main risk to the earth came from the actions of rich countries? What if, in order for the world to survive, those rich countries had to sign an agreement reducing their impact on the environment? Would they do it? I quote here. *"The conclusion of the group is that no, the rich countries will not, they will not change. So, to save the planet, the group decides: isn't the only hope for the planet the collapse of industrial civilizations? Isn't it our responsibility to cause it?"*

25 Maurice Strong (1929-2015) was a Canadian businessman and politician. He was one of the founders of the iEGC. He unannounced his resignation in 2005 because he was personally targeted by the investigation into the oil-for-food program in Iraq. After leaving office, Strong went into exile in China.

26 The green new deal, or green pact, is the name given to several global investment projects, particularly in decarbonized energies, aimed at meeting major environmental and climate challenges, while promoting social justice.

27 Adopted in 2015 by the heads of state and government meeting in Rio at the special summit on sustainable development, the 2030 Agenda sets 17 sustainable development goals broken down into 169 targets to address common challenges. They are based on the three dimensions of sustainable development - environmental, social and economic. They combine the fight against extreme poverty and the reduction of inequalities with the preservation of the planet in the face of climate change. They transcend the sustainable development issues of all the countries of the planet in a global and universal approach. They are the result of an unprecedented consultation of actors from civil society, the private sector, local authorities, the research community, etc. Let's go! We believe in it...

28 The "Farm to Fork" strategy : this roadmap was established by the European Commission as part of the European Green Pact and voted by the Parliament in Strasbourg and by the Council. Its objective is to move towards "a fair, healthy and environmentally friendly food system". It aims both to guarantee access for all to a balanced diet and to minimize the impact of agriculture on biodiversity and CO2 emissions. Models estimate that "Farm to fork" will lead to a decrease in yields. The commission responds that these models are incomplete, while environmental groups consider the current model to be unsustainable.

This is from West magazine in 1990. In a later interview, I think with Glenn Beck²⁹, he says, "*Oh, but I was just talking about a fiction book I was thinking of writing.*" If you read the context of that interview, you'll see that he's literally describing a World Economic Forum conference going on, and he's amused by that. Again, I think when you look at his actions, which he was also involved in as vice president of the World Wildlife Fund³⁰ with Prince Philip Windsor, for several years among others, he has devoted his entire life to building institutions and practices that accomplish exactly what he says there in that statement.

I have prepared a few slides with some quotes that I would like to share now. To get across the nature of eugenics. I may have to go a little faster than I'd like, but whatever.

"*How did the unthinkable become thinkable?*" Behind it is the image of a pro-eugenics propaganda poster from the 1930s "*Eugenics and the Awakening of Sleeping Monsters.*" This propaganda calls for "*releasing the grip of hereditary diseases and unfitness.*" Who determines what unfitness is? That's what people don't like to talk about. It was a big issue. Eugenics was the science of population control and selective breeding to create, as Patrick pointed out, a new race, a race referred to as superior, sort of like a series of Supermen who would take over the chaotic flow of evolution in a controlled, scientifically managed way. By eliminating the unfit, the undesirable. Usually you'll find a lot of these people like Margaret Sanger³¹, Planned Parenthood³², the eugenic society of Great Britain and America, they usually focus on the darker skinned races, but of course they are not discriminatory overall, when it comes to killing the unfit.

The context for the emergence of eugenics³³ as a science, which I will discuss, is important. At the end of the 19th century, there was a very strong scientific and cultural optimism around the idea that

the human mind was a natural phenomenon. That the human mind makes us make discoveries and translate these discoveries into new technologies that benefit and improve the quality of life of citizens and also of nature by greening deserts for example! This idea formed a very strong paradigm.

Some imperialists disagreed, because they considered that the human population was too large. Overpopulation is still happening. Why are the demographic constraints today different from those of 100 or 1,000 years ago? It is a matter of scientific and technological progress. The two approaches to dealing with population crises, when people outgrow the ability to support themselves, are either to do what the British Empire did before the days of eugenics, which is to impose, to cause artificial wars, famines, diseases, etc.

Here, on the left, is an image of some of the terrible effects of British imperial policy in India. Controlled famine is part of the British imperial game as a world empire that dominated throughout the 19th and even 18th centuries. What they did to the Irish during the potato famine is another example. This was done with the idea of a scientifically managed system using what they thought were mathematical principles of population control. The other way to manage excess population is not to kill people to satisfy the limit, but rather to encourage, as I said, new discoveries.

On the right is an engraving from the 1876 exhibition in Philadelphia, U.S.A., celebrating the new discoveries that were emerging and spreading from the U.S.A. to Germany, Russia and beyond, countries that were embracing protectionism, long-term credit and the idea of defining the economy not around the cult of money, but rather around getting people out of poverty and giving them new sources of wealth through new inventions, new discoveries.

29 Glenn Beck is an American polemicist (1964-) fox news commentator and writer.

30 The wwf or World Wildlife Fund is an international non-governmental organization created in 1961, dedicated to environmental protection and sustainable development.

31 Margaret Higgins Sanger is an American activist who fought for contraception and freedom of expression. She founded the American Birth Control League, which became Planned Parenthood.

32 Planned Parenthood, founded in 1916, is one of the leading family planning organizations in the United States. The organization is tax-exempt under the internal revenue code. It advocates for sex education, access to health care and reproductive health.

33 Eugenics in the United States grew out of the social movement to improve the genetic characteristics of human populations through selective breeding and sterilization. It is based on the idea that it is possible to distinguish between "*superior*" and "*inferior*" elements of society.



So again, Malthusianism³⁴, the term Malthus, or Malthusianism which is what Maurice Strong is helping to revive in the early 1970s, late 1960s, comes from the simplistic theories of Thomas Malthus, a British East India Company economist who taught at Haileybury College of the British Empire, training generations of imperial economists. He observed that population grows geometrically and food production grows only arithmetically, on average. Social engineers can predict with mathematical formulas when a population crisis will occur and act preemptively; as Malthus describes in harrowing detail in his 1799 essays on the population principle, to encourage the death of misfits, the poor and even babies deemed unfit.

He says that parishes should stop supporting to make room for others, it's horrible, everything he prescribed. It has been done. The idea is always that nature and resources are relatively limited. You can't create new resources, it doesn't take into account the quality of the human mind to transform an environment by introducing a new discovery like electricity. It doesn't exist in his equations, it messes up his equations, in fact.

People like John Stuart Mill³⁵ add the idea of the diminishing rate of return, i.e., that human economies are constantly in tension, with the strong and able better able to control diminishing rates of return, while the weak are subjugated by the powerful imperialists.

Charles Darwin³⁶ (people wonder where this theory of natural selection came from that was later applied by eugenicists on a social scale) was describing the fossil record and the flow of life in evolution; the Darwinian interpretation of evolution, his model of

natural selection, he got, as he describes in his autobiography: *"In 1838, 15 months after I began my systematic investigation, by chance, for my amusement, I read Malthus on population, and being prepared to appreciate the struggle for existence which is going on everywhere, by long observation of the habits of animals and plants, I was struck by the fact that, under these circumstances, favorable variations would tend to be preserved, and unfavorable variations to be destroyed. The result would be the formation of a new species. So at last I had a theory to work on."*

Darwin says this is the source of his discovery of the mechanism that causes these creative mutations to introduce new qualities into species, defined around the idea, 1) of chance, ultimately mutations are absolutely random and therefore unknowable by virtue of their randomness and 2) gradualism, there are no creative leaps to the best possible. In fact, this is a problem, because in the fossil record, we don't see gradualism. We see, in fact, a lot of creative leaps, just as we see the introduction of new ideas into human economies and human societies, you don't come gradually, incrementally to a new discovery, you have it in a flash. The effect is that when you apply it, the ability to, like a quantum leap, allows more people to have a better quality of life. If you have a type of society that is not based on this disgusting view of human beings as being some kind of talking cattle.

From there, Darwin's cousin, Francis Galton³⁷ converted Darwin, Darwin first had a problem with the social application of his views in the science of eugenics. Later, Darwin wrote to Galton, *"You have made a disciple of me."* And Galton says in essence: *"Okay, using the Mendelian science of genetics, using some Malthusian concepts of population growth, we can now formulate the queen of all sciences, the best of all social sciences."* He said in 1904 *"Eugenics must be introduced into the national consciousness as a new religion. It has, indeed, strong claims to become an orthodox religious dogma for the future, for eugenics cooperates with the mechanisms of nature in guaranteeing that humanity will be represented by the fittest races... I see no impossibility of eugenics becoming a religious dogma within humanity."*

34 The Thomas Maltus maltusianisme.

35 John Stuart Mill (1806-1873) was the most influential English-language thinker of the 19th century. He was an advocate of a moral system known as *"utilitarianism"* and affirmed the identity of general happiness with individual happiness. In economics, he is attached to the classical school.

36 Charles Robert Darwin (1809-1882) was an English naturalist and paleontologist whose work on the evolution of living species revolutionized biology with his book *The Origin of Species* published in 1859.

37 Francis Galton (1822-1911) was a British anthropologist, explorer, geographer, inventor, meteorologist, writer, proto-geneticist, psychometrician and statistician. Considered the founder of eugenics, he is also the founder of differential or comparative psychology.

This is so important, this has always been the design and intention, even when Thomas Huxley was organizing the X club³⁸, of which Darwin and his theories were a fundamental part. In 1865, with this X club in which Galton later appeared, the idea was to take representatives of all the branches of science: mathematics, biology, astronomy, sociology, and to unify them, trying to create a unified science around mathematics, and to impose a mathematical cage of description on all the branches of science, giving them a kind of appearance of science, but without more discoveries. Because the real discoveries happen when you push yourself to the limits of the unknown beyond which mathematics, the language of mathematics which is always evolving, cannot venture.



In two Canadian provinces, British Columbia and Alberta, thousands, thousands of people were sterilized, on what basis?

grandson, Julian Huxley⁴², who became the creator of unesco, where he wrote in his manifesto: *"The moral of unesco⁴³ is clear. The task entrusted to it of promoting peace and security can never be fully achieved by the means assigned to it, education, science and culture. It must consider some form of world political unity, whether through a single world government or otherwise, as the only sure way to avoid war."*

Of course, they don't say that it was these Anglo-American oligarchic networks that funded the war that should never have happened in the first place, they treat it this way: *"Oh, no, the war was a natural consequence of the existence of sovereign nation-states. It's just natural in a Darwinian struggle for survival, they are designed to want more, to suppress weaker nations and impose their hegemony. It's the nature of man. The nature of nation-states. So we need a solution."*

Of course, again, they always avoid the fact that you have these financiers from JP Morgan⁴⁴, Brown Brothers Harriman⁴⁵, banking institutions that are funding this and assassinating leaders that are not to their liking, to artificially cause these political effects. They don't talk about it. But then they say, as he does, that the only way to avoid war is one world government. *"In its educational program, it can emphasize the ultimate need for world political unity and familiarize all peoples with the implications of transferring the full sovereignty of separate nations to a world organization,"* all for peace, of course.

Keep in mind that Julian Huxley is also the head of Eugenics. He's not just the head of unesco. He is

the president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain, which later became the Galton Foundation, now very much integrated with the Gates Foundation and gavi⁴⁶. Whitney Webb⁴⁷ talks about it in his impeccable research. Again in the same seminal document, he says a little further on, *"At the present time, the indirect effect of civilization is likely to be dysgenic⁴⁸ rather than eugenic,"* i.e., getting worse rather than better genetically. *"In any case, it seems likely that the dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability, and predisposition to disease, which already exist in the human species, will prove too great a burden to make any real progress. Thus, while it is quite true that any radical eugenics policy will be impossible for many years, politically and psychologically,"* as people have seen the effects.

You know, once Hitler lost and people could see the logical consequence of such actions globally, they were naturally horrified and their minds were tested. Eugenics as a respectable science will therefore be psychologically impossible for many years. *"It will be important for unesco to ensure that the problem of eugenics is examined with the utmost care and that the public becomes aware of what is at stake so that much of what is now unthinkable can at least become thinkable."* The question, then, is what Julian Huxley did. In what way has he repackaged and renamed eugenics to achieve the same effects as those desired by the leaders of the Thule Society⁴⁹, the corporate Nazis and their financiers from London to Wall Street?

So let's look at some of the key points of his actions. Keep in mind that all the way up to 1962, Julian Huxley was president of the British Eugenics

42 Julian Sorell Huxley (1887-1975) was a British biologist, eugenics theorist, author and internationalist, known for his popular books on science. He was the first director of unesco and founded the wwf.

43 unesco is an acronym for the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. It is part of the united nations, a global institution whose original purpose is to maintain peace in the world. Founded in 1946, after the Second World War, unesco contributes to bringing nations together through education, science and culture.

44 J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. is the result of a multitude of mergers and combines four of New York's largest and oldest financial institutions: JP Morgan, Chase, Chemical and Manufacturers Hanover.

45 Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. is the oldest, one of the largest private investment banking organizations in the United States. It is also notable for the number of influential U.S. politicians, government appointees and cabinet members who have worked at the firm.

46 The Vaccine Alliance (gavi) is an international organization created in 2000 as a public-private partnership on immunization issues that aims to accelerate progress in poor countries in increasing children's access to immunization and the range of vaccines available.

47 Whitney Webb is an American investigative journalist, regularly censored in all social media because she is particularly interested in Google, Jeffrey Epstein, Bill Gates and the caste of the silicon valley and their desire to develop the surveillance of the masses by reducing freedoms. She testifies in the Grand Jury-Day 2.

48 Dysgenism is an increase in the frequency of deleterious genes in a population due to the treatment of inherited diseases of individuals in that population.

49 La société de Thulé ou l'ordre de Thulé est une société secrète allemande de Munich, qui à l'origine était un groupe d'études ethnologiques s'intéressant tout spécialement à l'Antiquité germanique et au pangermanisme aryen dans le cadre du mouvement völkisch.

Society, 1962. In 1947, he founded the International Union for Conservation of Nature, the largest and most powerful conservation organization in the world, designed to change society's values.


In 1947, he also helped to set up the who with G. Brock Chisholm⁵⁰, a devotee of world government, he writes about the need to cleanse society of the belief in the family, the nation-state and religion. He believes this is the reason for poor mental health. A quote I just pulled from Chisholm: *"The reinterpretation and eventual eradication of the concept of right and wrong that has been the basis of children's training, the substitution of intelligent and rational thinking, of scientific thinking, for faith by trust in the elders, these are the late goals of virtually all effective psychotherapies."*

He's basically saying that true psychotherapy, the goal of the advancing science of the mind and mental health should be to free us from the belief in good and evil and the obsolete traditions of the ancients to logical thinking. So this is part of a program of atomizing the baby boomer generation, particularly those who are targeted: those who grew up after World War II and who are going to be subjected to a new kind of condition; a new kind of educational and cultural experience that is going to detach their identity from these larger issues of nation-states, religion, religious and cultural heritage; which makes them easier to predict.

You know they'll tend to do predictable things, like more easily buy into groupthink, instead of standing on their own. In 1954, Julian Huxley gives this name to the science of transhumanism and with that comes the idea of cybernetic scientific management of society around some of the ideas that emerged after World War II. In 1961, he went on to found the World Wildlife Fund (wwf). Remember, this organization I mentioned, Maurice Strong was also vice president along with Phillip Windsor and Bernhard of Lippe-Biesterfeld to develop Malthusianism.

The new ethical paradigm is increasingly moving from the fringe to the mainstream, designed around

the concept of saving nature, essentially saying that instead of having an ethic of saving humanity from empire, slavery and scarcity... No, no, this is the old wisdom! The new wisdom will be to save the nature of humanity. The way they're doing it, using the development of digital binary computer systems and modeling, is to try to say that we're going to assume that all of ecology, all of humanity and even the whole universe, cosmology, will be something that can be represented using linear systems in computer models, using very simplified variables that will then allow us to predict everything that will happen, everything that could happen, with assumptions assumed as the natural state being equilibrium, stasis, non-change, that's what they say is now natural.



Here is an example on the left and on the right, we have already seen the Malthusian population model.

"Limits to Growth" by the Club of Rome⁵¹, the neo-Malthusian model that emerged from the Forster and Meadows mit⁵² studies funded by the Club of Rome and Canadian funding under the sheer aegis of a Trudeau, which simply added a few more variables, but which still justifies the same thing: whenever you have more people, you have more pollution and therefore more problems, a more destroyed environment, and therefore the most enlightened social engineers should always scientifically manage society, make sure that the populations are as natural as the unchanging environment. If we are overpopulated, we must limit the population to what is called the carrying capacity, that is, the mathematical carrying capacity of what currently exists is all that could exist and all that we should allow.

- 50 George Brock Chisholm (1896-1971) est un ancien combattant canadien de la première guerre mondiale, médecin psychiatre tavistokien et premier directeur général de l'oms entre 1948 et 1953. Il indique en 1955 qu'il faut pratiquer le métissage pour créer une race unique dans un monde unique sous un gouvernement unique.
- 51 The Club of Rome is a think tank that since 1968 has brought together scientists, economists, national and international civil servants, and industrialists from fifty-two countries concerned with the complex problems facing all societies, both industrialized and developing.
- 52 The Massachusetts Institute of Technology is an American research institute and university specializing in science and technology.

So, new discoveries have also become problematic: discoveries of the atom, discoveries of space technology, discoveries that actually solve and cure problems, have become things that cause an imbalance, because those who have monopolized these fixed resources and fixed sets of formulas and discoveries, they have not monopolized what has not yet existed. So every time you introduce a new discovery or a new resource, it changes everything, it upsets this supposed perfect equilibrium, which their mathematical models require. That's why a lot of scientists are killed, a lot of scientific research is subverted, the de-funding of nuclear fusion, the de-funding of space, Apollo, its cancellation and so on.

To be clear, these people don't really care about the environment or pollution. When you look at one of the co-founders of the Club of Rome, Sir Alexander King⁵³, who also introduced cybernetics⁵⁴ and information systems theory into the OECD⁵⁵, when he was the head of it. This was also introduced into NATO⁵⁶ earlier as a new control system, a control science, which I don't have time to go into. He basically admitted in 1981: *"In looking for a new enemy to unite us (describing the origins of the Club of Rome and the models we just saw above) we got the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine, etc. would do the trick. All of these dangers are caused by human intervention. Only through a change in attitude and behavior can they be overcome. (His greatest revelation) The real enemy then is humanity itself."*

The real problem is that they don't really care about pollution or environmental preservation. Every time human beings apply scientific and technological progress, to improve our conditions and overcome the limits of our growth, in a legal way, by building, say, a hydroelectric dam, or some other piece of vital infrastructure, it changes the ecosystems. Yes, it changes them. Does that mean it's intrinsically unnatural? Not intrinsically. Of course, human beings can destroy nature. I'm not saying they can't.

What they're saying is that they can't. What they are saying is that it is intrinsically unnatural. The human mind is unnatural, because the human mind causes these non-linear changes. These are people who are bowing down to the altar of a mathematical religion, as Galton said, that's really what it is.



One last big quote and I'll stop. This is important, John Holdren⁵⁷, who became the science czar under Obama, here's a picture of John Holdren in the background, with Biden and Obama, he was the science czar of the United States for several years. He co-authored a book with Paul Ehrlich, the guy who popularized the population bomb using the Club of Rome models in the 60s and 70s. He was his student. In *"Eco science, population, resources, environment"* John Holdren writes: (it's a big book, over 1,000 pages, hard to read, but there's disgusting stuff like this that comes out, that's what he describes. 1977) *"Perhaps these agencies combined with the UN environmental protection agency (created by Maurice Strong, by the way) and the UN population agencies (created by Maurice Strong, by the way) could eventually be developed into a planetary regime, a kind of international super agency for population, resources, and the environment. Such a comprehensive planetary regime could control the development, administration, conservation and distribution of all natural resources, renewable and non-renewable, at least to the extent that international implications exist. For example, the regime could have the power to control pollution, not only in the*

53 Alexander King (1899-1965) was an English scientist and co-founder of the Club of Rome in 1968.

54 Cybernetics is the study of the information mechanisms of complex systems.

55 The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is an international organization that works for better policies for a better life.

56 The politico-military organization of the North Atlantic Treaty, set up by the signatory countries of the treaty, in order to fulfill their collective security and defense obligations.

57 John Holdren (1944-) is a professor of environmental science at Harvard University, an expert on the dangers of nuclear weapons and an American essayist. In 2008, at the age of 64, he was appointed by President Obama to head his team of science and technology advisors in charge of climate change policy. Holdren explored the theme of overpopulation by advocating Malthusian policies, notably in a 1969 article with Paul R. Ehrlich. In 1973, he encouraged a decline in fertility. In 1977, he suggests solutions to overpopulation, from voluntary family planning to forced sterilization for women after giving birth to a predefined number of children.

atmosphere of the oceans, but also in bodies of fresh water such as rivers and lakes that cross international boundaries, or flow into the oceans. The regime could also be a logical central agency to regulate all international trade, including perhaps aid from developed to less developed countries and including all food on the international market (by controlling the food, why not). The planetary regime could be given responsibility for determining the optimal population for the world and for each region and for arbitrating the shares of individual countries within their regional boundaries. Control of population size should remain the responsibility of each government, but the regime would have some power to enforce the agreed-upon limits."

Of course, you can't just have Cop 26⁵⁸ agreements as a nice thing that people sign. You have to have enforcement procedures. That's what the people who run things like the green new deal, Cop 26 or the Paris agreements are saying. So you have a misanthropic group right now, an ideology that is religious in nature. Engaged, as Patrick pointed out, in a fear, I think, of their own mortality.

There's a certain inability of human beings who become mature, to deal with the fact that we all die, right? We have certain qualities that in our souls, in our minds, we can tap into and discover universal laws and universal principles of nature that are beyond the world of limitations, justice, freedom, gravity, equality as an idea, something that you can't cut in half. Isn't it? I can't cut justice in half and put it in my pocket, it has no beginning and no end.

The human mind, when functioning with its reason and conscience as a sovereign and mature being, can tap into these eternal qualities. Our bodies and brains have a physical weight, they perish. There is a before and an after. So we must accept the reality of our finitude, but also our infinite nature and leave something behind.

We receive traces from past generations and we can leave some behind, in varying degrees, for those who will come after us. This is the best way to satisfy our happiness and our reason for being. The founding fathers who started the idea of the United States risked their lives for this idea, a republic based on consent of government and the inalienable rights of all people. This is very anti-Darwinian.

It's against the idea of their personal survival. It's something that has frightened this oligarchy and has always inculcated it as it represents, a proof that the fundamental system of oligarchy itself is wrong, that humans can do this. We can make these quantum leaps that are not gradual, it's not random. It's tied to a certain belief and faith in a purpose. It's not predetermined, it allows free will, it allows beauty. It's something, again, that is at the center of the struggle and still today.

They are afraid of that, they all want to upload their soul, their being into a digital cloud to overcome their own fear of death. In the end, they have built a religion around it (passing it off as science) and a political structure (passing it off as democracy), but that is obviously not the case. So we are in a situation where the consequences of the Second World War did not end in 1945 at Nuremberg. We still have the same type of institutions with a new ideology reconditioned. It takes different forms. It's still as evil and as bad scientifically and morally. I think humanity is going to have to face a test of whether or not we can overcome this using the God-given powers of creativity and morality, do it in a coherent way, with other countries that don't want to be sacrificed on the altar of Gaia today, which is the current plan.

Everything that is happening around Ukraine, the attempt to militarily encircle Russia and China, to erect a new iron curtain, to separate east and west, I think has a lot to do with the fear of civilizational powers that do not want to sacrifice their ancient traditions on this religious altar today. That's what I was getting at! I may have gone over by a few minutes. Sorry about that.

01:43:40 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you very much, Matthew. That was very informative. It builds perfectly on what Patrick finished. Colleagues, any questions?

01:43:56 Dexter Ryneveldt

Thank you Matthew, you've given us a very good overview. For me, what stands out in your testimony today is that you have made a connection with the world economic forum and the world

58 The conference of parties (cop) is the conference of countries that are committed to the United Nations convention on climate change. In 2021, in Glasgow, the 26th conference (cop26) took place, which found that the world was not on the right track to stay below 2° (or even 1.5°) of warming compared to the pre-industrial era (Paris Agreement, the first international agreement adopted in 2015 after the cop21 negotiations).

health organization. Your testimony explains that Julian Huxley was one of the co-founders of the who. Is that correct?

01:44:40 Matthew Ehret

He helped set it up. Through unesco and who, he also created the world mental health federation in 1948 with another Tavistock⁵⁹ psychopath, so-called psychiatrist, John Rawlings Reese⁶⁰, who is also a world governance and depopulation fanatic, who called for psychiatric shocks to be installed in various government bureaucracies to redefine world society in order to rewire its values. He is very clear on this subject. Yes, Julian Huxley played a very important role in organizing the creation of the who.

01:45:22 Dexter Ryneveltdt

Okay, and you mentioned the role of Julian Huxley in the establishment of the WHO. Would you say, then, that his ideologies have been transplanted into who, specifically, when it comes to his eugenic dogmas?

01:45:48 Matthew Ehret

Based on the writings of G. Brock Chisholm's work, which has detailed commentary on what his vision of mental health and real health should be, as well as the political structures to implement it, it is clear, but also based on the policies and actions of who itself, its approaches to funding the health sciences adopted in the 1960s and 1970s, the answer is yes.

There seems to be more work to be done. There is not as much compelling evidence as I would like in my research linking Julian Huxley directly to the head of each of these policy actions. So you have to step back and look at things a little more dynamically. There is some, but not as much as one would like in that sense, to expose it in a court of law.

01:46:42 Dexter Ryneveltdt

Okay, that's exactly what I was getting at. He played an important role in the creation of who. Now, based on your research, let's go deeper, when it comes to its influence, whether it was kept as a vision or a mission statement, because if it was, obviously, then we can come to the conclusion that the foundation of the world health organization is eugenics and genocide for states.

01:47:23 Matthew Ehret

What I would say, and I think it's useful to look at it this way, is that the science of information systems theory, which has been applied, sometimes called cybernetics, to every possible area of human knowledge, biology, health, science, ecology, everything and also the human economy, the idea was to over-bureaucratize every possible system and compartmentalize it into small, tiny specialized and sub-specialized domains with only a small group, leaders, a small executive, who were allowed to see what the whole thing did, so that most people couldn't see what they were part of.

This was done intensely in the creation of the big pharmaceutical complexes in the early 1970s. The legal documents, the scientific justification for this redesign, with who playing a very important role in this and organizations like the whitehead institute⁶¹, the Broad Institute⁶², all these different organizations that became leaders in genetic manipulation, food and everything.

Especially in terms of genetic coding, we all come out of these complexes. So when you look at people like Eric Lander⁶³, Rhodes School graduate, science czar, under Biden, until a few weeks ago. He was a close friend of John Holdren. He was the father of the human genome project, which has always had at

59 The Tavistock Institute's main focus is the study of group and organizational behavior. Some authors present it as a structural element leading to a new world order.

60 John Rawlings Rees (1890-1969), psychiatrist and director of the Tavistock Institute of Medical Psychology in London in 1932, developed a theory of psychological control of the masses based on the deliberate formation of neuroses.

61 The Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, located in Cambridge, Massachusetts, is dedicated to improving human health through basic biomedical research. Its areas of research include cancer, stem cells, immunology, developmental biology, regenerative medicine, genetics, and genomics.

62 The broad institute (Eli and Edythe L. Broad institute of mit and Harvard) is a biomedical and genomic research center located in Cambridge, Massachusetts. The institute is independently managed and supported as a 501 organization, exempt from federal income tax while making donations tax deductible for donors.

63 Eric Steven Lander (1957-) is an American biologist and mathematician, professor of biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He participated in the sequencing of the human genome. An advisor to Biden as head of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, he resigned in February 2022 after accusations of harassment in the workplace.

its heart the intention of sequencing the human genome in order to modify the human species, as crispr⁶⁴. there are people who announced crispr at the big conference in 2015, David Baltimore⁶⁵, who is his friend and president of the whitehead institute, we have now made the unthinkable thinkable.

We can now control the DNA programming of the species and steer it in an organized direction. It's based on eugenics, there are so many ways to look at it. I think for me, that's the approach I would take and also the environmental dynamics that Julian Huxley spearheaded with Maurice Strong, I think that has a lot of value. If you really want to take something to court and prove its invalidity on many levels. That's another successful approach to take.

01:49:57 Dexter Ryneveldt

Okay, my last question is about the evidence you gave about the nature of eugenics. Starvation is one of the methods. I'm thinking about Bill Gates in particular, in the same set of common causes, because what you see all over the alternative media is that Bill Gates is one of the largest owners of farmland in the United States. Agriculture is food production. Would you say that when it comes to a statement like that, one of the largest owners of farmland on the one hand and then starvation one of the methods of eugenics on the other, it is indeed hardly possible to find a positive correlation between the two? He owns the majority of the farms in the United States.

01:50:57 Matthew Ehret

Yes, it's part of this green new deal, building back better for the world, which is a crazy program to try to have 30% of the planet's surface off limits for any human development by 2030. This is part of the 2030 agenda, including in the United States, where Biden has signed an executive order to that effect. We need the cooperation of private organizations like Bill Gates' to buy up farmland. Then banning large parts of it from real food production, or simply imposing artificial modes of genetically modified

products, which are beneficial to the caste (the "m4"), the so-called "elites" (the "m4") in the making, they have the same thing for Africa and beyond.

Part of it is also taking away farmland to turn it into windmills and solar panels, which require large areas of land, which is also what they are already doing, in Europe and North America. They are paying farmers to kill their livestock and not plow their soil, so they can make money installing wind turbines and solar panels, which are themselves very inefficient forms of energy sources that will limit our ability to support our population on earth.

This is also part of the design. It's scientifically proven, the energy return on investment of these things is so low, that you won't be able to sustain life in an industrially advanced civilization, with a life expectancy of 80 years on average, you can't do it. That's the effect.

01:52:34 Dexter Ryneveldt

Thank you very much, Matthew.

01:52:38 Reiner Fuellmich

Matthew, in conclusion, if we look at the totality of the evidence, as you have described it to us and as Patrick has presented it to us, we find that Julian Huxley's influence, not only on unesco, but also on the world health organization, is still there. We see that someone like Bill Gates, whose family came from eugenics, has a very strong influence on who today. If you look at everything we've discussed, would you say that eugenics plays an important role in who today?

01:53:31 Matthew Ehret

Oh, yes, absolutely. I know Whitney Webb didn't get a chance to speak today. She had an emergency. In her research, she has studied this very well on many levels. Organizations like Engender Health⁶⁶, which was once the Sterilization League for the Betterment of Man, has completely changed its

64 Crispr is a powerful DNA or gene editing tool. It was born in 2012 at UC Berkeley in California. It allows DNA to be cut at specific locations, renewed or replaced in a precise and targeted way. The Frenchwoman, Emmanuelle Charpentier and her American colleague Jennifer Doudna received the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for this "*pair of large sewing scissors*" that would make cuts in DNA.

65 David Baltimore is an American biologist who won the 1975 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for his work leading to the discovery of reverse transcriptase, an essential enzyme in retroviruses such as the human immunodeficiency virus.

66 Engender Health, founded in 1943, is based in Washington, DC. It works in the field of women's health and sexual and reproductive health in nearly 20 countries in Africa, Asia and the Americas. It provided access to voluntary surgical contraception in the United States during its first 25 years.

name, but it is a deeply rooted part of USAid⁶⁷ and the Gates Foundation, as well as the Galton Institute⁶⁸, which has just changed its name after being the eugenics company, once again integrated with gavi. Bill Gates' own father, William Gates⁶⁹ was the head of Planned Parenthood, which Margaret Sanger, a dedicated and racist eugenicist, pushed down the path of American eugenic societies.

These corporations, I have not found any evidence to establish that their modus operandi has changed based on the effects of creating population crises, war, artificial famine over the last sixty years, in particular, even though we've been told that globalization is going to feed the world, provide housing for everybody and all these great things, we're going to have free trade together and everybody will be happy. No, the effect has been debt slavery, massive depopulation. Yes, I think that's really the case.

01:54:52 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you very much, Matthew. I know Ilana Rachel Daniel has time constraints. So, again, I want to thank you. This was very informative, horrible in parts, but we need to see the enemy, look them directly in the eye. What you said here is very, very helpful. Thank you again, Matthew.

01:53:20 Matthew Ehret

Thank you for seeing me.

01:54:52 Reiner Fuellmich

Ilana. Did you hear what Matthew said? Did you have a chance to hear what Patrick said?

01:55:32 Ilana Rachel Daniel

Yes. I heard them both.

01:55:34 Reiner Fuellmich

So what do you think of all this in the current situation in Israel?

01:55:39 Ilana Rachel Daniel



Well, that leads perfectly to what I want to talk about. I'm going to start at the beginning, as much as possible, the pre-covid period and the last two years, it's imperative to understand how everything that happened in

the last few years, happened first in Israel. You have to understand the background of Israel before the covid. This is a country that has not only been in a state of emergency since its modern creation, having experienced war after war and regular attacks on all fronts, a country that has abandoned husbands, sons and daughters to keep this homeland intact.

Not only does this country have a history of going into action when duty and the generals call, but it also has a unique Jewish experience of having been persecuted throughout history, to the point of systematically annihilating six million Jewish souls and millions more deemed undesirable. Having with Israel a mixture of hope and trust, histories, ideologies, theologies, spiritualities and realities placed in our government, allows us to finally be in a supposedly safe space for the Jewish nation to flourish without prejudice.

At least that was the plan as far as the flesh and blood of this new ancient state understood it. We, the people of Israel, have marveled, given ourselves and sacrificed for a collective that has been exploited and abused by its government. The Jewish people have historically served the world as guinea pigs, we act as a reflection. When things go wrong here, it is a sign that there are flaws in the world. We know, and have seen many times, that any adversity that begins with the Jewish people never ends with them. It is a fire that spreads to consume us all. There is a good reason why all eyes are on Jerusalem. We are not alone in this.

67 The U.S. Agency for International Development (USAid) has been the primary U.S. agency providing economic and humanitarian assistance to developing countries and countries "in transition" since 1961. The USAid website candidly states, "*The primary recipient of U.S. foreign assistance has always been the United States.*" The promotion of GMOs is officially part of USAid's mandate.

68 The Galton Institute is a non-profit learned society based in the United Kingdom. Its objectives are "*to promote public understanding of human heredity and to facilitate informed debate on the ethical issues raised by advances in reproductive technologies.*" The society engaged in advocacy and research to promote its eugenics goals and members were involved in lobbying parliament, organizing conferences and producing propaganda. From 1909 to 1968, the institute published "*The Eugenics Review*", a scientific journal dedicated to eugenics. The Galton Institute website currently states that "*the Galton Institute categorically rejects the theoretical basis and practice of coercive eugenics as having no place in modern life.*"

69 William Gates (1925-2020) is Bill's father, not Robert Gates (1943-) as first reported by Matthew.

We, the people of this land, now have the opportunity, in the face of these profound losses, to see each other without the blinders and guidelines that the powers that be would have us believe about each other. For example, in the most severe confinement to date, at least at the time, we were the first to be restricted to one hundred meters from home, only one in three countries enforced mandatory masking outside.

We were also the first of the few to close our airports and create an open-air ghetto, and security bracelets were offered for hire to monitor the movements of returning travelers, instead of the police. So you have this unique context of trust in our government and an audience of captive citizens who were receiving information keeping them in this confinement and only doing so in Hebrew.

In the most exquisite betrayal, then Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu in December 2020 informed and I say informed the country on national television that we would all be vaxxed by March. He then did everything in his power to implement that statement. So what followed was a revelation.

There was a contract already signed and sealed between Pfizer⁷⁰ and Israel. Pfizer, as we know, is a horrible, multi-conviction pharmaceutical company with roots in Wyeth⁷¹, formerly American Home Products, a subsidiary of Sterling, when Sterling and Bear bought each other's companies. Of course, Bear was originally IG Farben⁷² and thus Auschwitz⁷³. Pfizer had to choose this people once again, for its complete and digitized medical records of its citizens, going back decades. We are in a small, hermetic environment and this seems to satisfy the government medical officials.

The contract was, of course, entered into without any prior notice, public discourse or vote, which is not what one would expect from a so-called

democracy. To date, only a heavily redacted version of this contract has been shown to the public, one in which we paid two and a half times the price per vial, compared to other countries, and which would make us liable for Pfizer's penalty clauses, restrictions and relative exclusivity.

In another travesty of democracy, the minutes of the corona commission, which determine the ever-changing policy to which we continue to be subjected, have not been made public and have been classified as top secret under a 30-year confidentiality law. The state has been quoted as saying, quote, *"the public's right to know is not absolute."*

The corona commission recently made headlines again it was a panel of some 90 experts, less than half were physicians; 16 lacked any relevant clinical experience; 50 others were a combination of agents and caregivers, veterinarians, spokespersons, and communications researchers. What is interesting are the remaining 26 identities, nearly a third, who continue to be hidden because of their positions in security and research. What followed was an operation to inject as many Israelis as possible, but this new technology, for the first time, was not only a violation of the Nuremberg Codes, which resulted from the torture of human testing on these same people, but clearly irrefutably violated the most basic principles of do no harm and informed consent. Informed consent is (perhaps was) the foundation of modern medicine. It is the response to the atrocities of the Holocaust and the conclusion of the Nuremberg trials and their subsequent codification. Informed consent is defined as *"the process by which a health care provider informs a patient of the risks, benefits, and alternatives of a given procedure or intervention that is rooted in the patient's right to decide what happens to his or her body, the patient must be competent to make a voluntary decision, and documentation of the process is an essential element. Informed consent is both an ethical and legal obligation for medical practitioners."*

70 Pfizer is an American pharmaceutical company founded in 1849. Present in more than 150 countries, the group is, in 2013, the world leader in its sector with a turnover of 51.58 billion dollars. Its workforce: 81,800 employees worldwide. Pfizer is known for its mergers with many competing companies. Its director is the veterinarian Albert Bourla, who was awarded the Genesis Prize by the Israeli government (see note 76).

71 Wyeth, LLC was an American pharmaceutical company. The company was founded in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania in 1860 as John Wyeth and Brother. It was then known in the early 1930s as American Home Products, before being renamed Wyeth in 2002.

72 ig farben stands for *"interessengemeinschaft farbenindustrie aktien gesellschaft"* or community of interests of the dye industry limited company. See [here](#)

73 Auschwitz is the largest concentration camp complex of the Third Reich, both a concentration camp and an extermination center. Formerly a military camp, it is located in the province of Silesia, about 50 kilometers west of Krakow, Poland.

The Israelis were not given informed consent. They were not asked about their medical history or the genetic treatment they received, were given an injection, and sat for 15 minutes at best before being sent home. No list of potential side effects was given, nor was there a number for a doctor to call in case something went wrong after the injection. The injections were given at a breakneck speed, plunging the elderly population weeks away from the next one and the next one, depending on the age group. Anyone accompanying an elderly person was often allowed to receive a dose as well, so as not to be wasted at the end of the day.

In Israel, the campaign of fear, coercion, threats and incitement only worsened with the change of government to Naftali Bennett, who was showered with praise when he echoed Biden in proclaiming that his tolerance for the non-vaxxed was exhausted, or on another occasion, how he wanted parents to fight among themselves to comply. He encouraged people to call their neighbors to do their "*civic duty*." He violated the sanctity of the parent-child relationship on several occasions by going on national television and directly asking our young people to vaccinate themselves lest they be forced to restrict their summer vacation.

This was in the early weeks and months of his tenure as Prime Minister. The aggressive marketing of the old cult motto, "*safe and effective*," even included outright lies. Like in February 2021, when Netanyahu made a video that directly claimed that the injection had been approved by the fda, long before that dubious approval was actually given. This perpetual media campaign attacked the singular facts that we knew to be true in the whole covid narrative, namely that most infections, for the elderly and those with comorbidities are disproportionately risky, while they are not for the young. And so, in the days leading up to the next targeted age group, the media bombards the channels with frenetic news of hospitalizations and disastrous health consequences, overnight and for the first time.

First pregnant women, then 16 year olds, then 12 year olds and finally another point of no return for our 5 year olds. Healthy young children who may have already had a covid infection and recovered from it in previous years, with their whole lives ahead of them, were led to believe that they should rush in and take a product that was still being tested

in their cellular system, without any existing data on long-term health safety.

No system was initially set up to record adverse events following injection, and only recently, rather belatedly, has such a database been established. To date, its existence is virtually unknown to the general public. Only with the heroic efforts of Nakim.org, the People's Committee of Israel and Avital Livny's documentary⁷⁴ The Testimonies Project, did the happy narrative we had in Israel crack.

In fact, it's quite infamous, when the Ministry of Health posted on facebook that the recall appeared to be both safe and effective, they received over 27,000 comments from Israeli subscribers making catastrophic reports of damage or death from the injection. They, their friends and relatives, some of them posting documents to prove it. The Ministry of Health completely panicked, disabled the English translation and started deleting these comments, claiming that they contained insults, but despite this many were saved with screenshots. This is only one aspect of the data concealment.

We ourselves submitted a freedom of information request last March to find out how many people had died after the injection. The response from the health department was that they didn't know. Not only was there no chance of recording the deluge of side effects that were all too real. Not having received informed consent, the average person could not understand if there were any adverse effects correlated with the injection taken in the previous days, weeks and months. Even less so for those who understood what had happened to them.

The incentive was so strong in the population that people genuinely feared for their relationships and livelihoods if they openly questioned the official narrative. I would argue that in order to convince the rest of the world to promote the injections, no knowledge of the damage done ever had to reach the public, which should have left the people of Israel entirely in the dark, having been subjected to human testing on the one hand and denied recourse or even recognition of the damage done on the other; damage that would continue to be revealed for years to come.

In fact, if you look at the parts of Pfizer's contract that are visible, you will see that the primary

74 Avital Livny is one of the Grand Jury witnesses. See page 37 of 53

objectives of the research were not to discover safety signals or a lack of safety at all, but rather an epidemiological effort to test for potential herd immunity. Of course, the herd immunity concept here is a complete false flag. It was well known to Pfizer, Moderna, Fauci, the chief scientist of who and the surgeon general of the united states, to name a few, that this technology never had the capacity to stop transmission.

Netanyahu bought 60 million doses at the very beginning. For a population of only 9 million people! Well, in my opinion, he was well aware of that too, since we shouted from the beginning for four doses per person. Even I didn't think it would be within just one year.

January 2022 had more covid positive cases than the entire year of 2021. We could probably conclude that this was a colossal failure and a colossal shock. Instead, there is an open recommendation for a fourth dose to the general population 16 years and older. This fourth dose was administered to Israelis without fda authorization, or even our own completed studies at Sheba Hospital⁷⁵. These studies at the Sheba hospital did not actually begin until after the fourth dose was launched. It was Prime Minister Naftali Bennett who went on television to assure us that it was perfectly safe to take dose number four, of this thrice-failed product. Bennett made his position absolutely clear when he admonished even the Ministry of Health in charge for taking too long to start that fourth injection. As he stated in Davos only a few weeks ago, his approach is *"act fast or you could lose."*

Bourla, the president of pfizer, recently received, to our embarrassment, the Genesis Prize⁷⁶ from Israel. In a video, in the manner of a propagandist, he is praised for his foresight in not taking fda funds so that he could shortcut and bypass the bureaucracy in place to get approval. To show that we have entered a lawless kingdom that has lost its mind, the government of Israel is again in talks with pfizer to get its citizens to sign up for the vaxxin omicron trial. This while we are still beholden to the current trial we are currently being tested on. This, even though the mainstream media has reported that over half of

the Israeli population has had and recovered from omicron. This is an absurdity for which words are not enough, not to mention the exponentially increased risk of injecting an already recovered body.

Yet schoolchildren and employees are forced to pay out of pocket for antigen tests several times a week, which health officials have openly admitted are extremely inaccurate. This is a mild statement considering the 97% potential false positives of PCR tests. These tests that continue to change behavior and hold the country hostage to enter schools, work spaces, a long list of cultural activities and our departure or return home on the rules of the day. Masks are a ubiquitous requirement, but the most sinister of all is that they are still required for even young children inside and it is a cloud that has descended on us since we covered the light of expression or faces.

It should be noted that it is almost impossible to receive an exemption. I have not heard of a single person, regardless of their pre-existing condition, who has been able to obtain one. A mother asked me for help for her son who had suffered two unrelated blood clots and yet no doctor dared to issue one. Instead, they offer an AstraZeneca injection, the same one that is banned in 18 different countries.

While the whole world was well aware and it was openly remarked, along with Bourla, the BBC and Bibi Netanyahu that the people of Israel were in fact the world's laboratory, here on the ground it was taboo to say so. The experiment was not only on our physical bodies, but it was a social experiment on a small interdependent nation with the installation of medical apartheid overnight.

The health pass; the population that up to that point and it has only increased since, was subjected to such overwhelming dissonance of hot-cold, day-night, changing regulations and restrictions, did not know what was law and what was just a recommendation. It was really believed that this health pass had been legislated, as well as not receiving family or friends, they restricted access to the hospital, university, cultural events, clinics and, of course, in the terrible

75 The Chaim Sheba Medical Center, often referred to as Tel HaShomer Hospital, is the largest hospital in Israel.

76 The Genesis Prize awarded for the first time in 2013 by Israel and whose first recipient is the Mayor of New York, Michael Bloomberg recognizes outstanding human beings who represent Jewish values in their contributions to the good of humanity. The Genesis Prize was created by 3 Russian billionaires Mikhail Fridman, Pyotr Aven and German Khan with Stan Polovets and Alexander Knaster, with a \$100 million foundation.

downfalls that it caused for your health, threatened some people to prohibit them from care if they were not injected.

This, even as our Minister of Health, Nitzan Horowitz, was literally caught on camera saying that the injection had no epidemiological value. As was already true with the third dose, all the injected were one dose short of the pass. A study by Ruppin Academy College showed that 58% of people took the injection for which they knew nothing and had no medical indication and for which they did not personally feel the need, solely because of the health pass. One in four did it to keep their job and 78% of the newly dubbed "*anti-vaxx*" people were vaxxed by most of these fake vaccines.

The story of the separation of newborns from their mothers, the elderly from the family that gives them a reason to live, the destruction of trust between doctor and patient, co-workers and friends, and newly recruited soldiers terrorized in the middle of the night and herded into the canteen, forced to take the shot in the name of health pass. While we ate, prayed, exercised next to the same people for the entire previous year.

The introduction of this gene therapy to the vast majority of the population has done nothing to allay the fear of this disease. Rather, it has reinforced it as a permanent part of life. It is these petty crimes and silent atrocities that accumulate from place to place that systematically dismantle the confidence, hope and optimism that bind this country together. The health pass is like a wet rag left on the laundry pile that has created a mold from within, whose damage, like the injection, continues to unfold.

My first experience in understanding the power of psychological warfare, no matter how conscious one tries to be, it surrounded the societal horror that is the health pass, it was renamed, cancelled and reinstated. The health pass should be suspended again in the next few days. This is a totally meaningless move, as an originally Nazi full power law was renewed only a few weeks ago, by our Knesset, until the end of 2022. This gives the government of the day the power to suppress basic and God-given civil liberties, with the sole need to declare the fear of the coronavirus.

In February last year, a law was passed to allow the sharing of medical data with municipalities and local authorities, which was later withdrawn. But in fact, 97% of all requests from public bodies to receive

data on private citizens have been granted. The Israeli government has passed sensitive private information about millions of its citizens to more than 250 local and other government agencies, including names and addresses of people who are ill or quarantined, test results, contact tracing, and information about vaxxinal status, all without the knowledge or, of course, consent of the individual concerned.

Here in Israel, as we watch the surveillance system being placed permanently in a global infra-structure across the country, and as we hear of countless citizens being targeted by illegal spyware, we see that we have already lost our privacy and that our ability to withdraw money or transfer money in small amounts without express permission is already limited. We know that we are not currently in control of our assets.

So when the Nimbus project is signed, it is a massive seven-year project that will replace the data management infrastructure of government identity departments. When they see the migration of all of Israel's unclassified data and computerized applications, everything from government military payroll to government welfare payments to government pensions, as well as the personal medical records and corporate tax returns of private Israeli citizens, instead of the previous dozens of decentralized sites, it will all be in one basket in the cloud of Mordor, the conglomerates, amazon and google. With the open parenthesis that global economic forum has infiltrated all governments around the world, we know that outside interests have direct influence on our sovereigns or sovereign nations.

We know that the databases will grow and continue to grow as they collect our medical information on digital passports. There are 100 billion photos already ready for facial recognition of every person on the planet. Every click, like and real-life response on social media algorithms leaves no aspect of the human experience too small to be collected for the construction of its digital twin.

This is the demolition of our world as we have known it. While we seem to be heading there as if it were inevitable, I think it is a picture of the future determined by Hollywood more than by God.

Those with power seek to access and control more and more of the essential sources of life by breaking virtuous circles. With the broken promise of never

again, we have picked up the thread of the past and find ourselves on the path of destruction that will come to harm not only the whole of humanity, but everything that walks and crawls, lives and unfolds, biological; fertile life! Those of us who are witnessing these changes around the world do so with gratitude for having had the eye to see the changes that are taking place.

With this gratitude also comes the obligation to do everything in our power, thought, action and will to witness the continuation of creation in *"Human Species 1.0"*. For a world where we maintain the sanctity of the human experience, such as the relationship between mother, father and child, the ultimate self-determination of the body, the relative intimacy of one's own spirit, the sacred communion with nature and other human souls, and a world of dynamic free choice and opportunities to learn, change and do better.

It is a world that is yet just within our grasp, unless only we decide to put ourselves there en masse, for humans, with their inherent and ever-present flaws, can still be an exquisite representation of source and God. Thank you.

02:18:06 Reiner Fuellmich

Thank you, Ilana. Has anyone in Israel made the connections? Did anyone see or even notice that it all started in Germany, again, with a professor who invented the PCR test that everything is based on, including the vaxxins? Did anyone see that the vaxxin, the original BioNTech vaxxin, the technology was, again, invented in Germany?

02:18:40 Ilana Rachel David

I think that the activists who are well awake have made the parallel 100% and there are the others. I would even say that the division is very frank. With the rest of the people, it's absolutely taboo to talk about it that way. I've hardly ever made comparisons with the Holocaust or any of these things until now.

This is, really, the right time to do it. Because if you do it, you raise the memory of those who were martyred. To ignore it is literally to cause a second death. So I would say that with the injection of the 5 year olds, with the fourth dose, they went too far and they lost a lot. They lost their audience.

02:19:46 Reiner Fuellmich

So what's next? Are people starting to understand that something is wrong or is the majority of the population in Israel still in agreement with the government, despite all the side effects? Apparently, from what you say, most people don't seem to make the connection with the vaxxinations.

02:20:08 Ilana Rachel David

Well, I would say that the constant testing, I think even Bennett said it when he was in Davos, he said, *"Of course, we've had so many positive results. 460,000 people are tested every day."* So they're pushing people too far, even for two years. Again, what I wanted to say is that it's hard to accept that our government, especially this government, wants to harm us. As Professor De Smet ⁷⁷ talks about, you have the people on this side, the people on that side, and then you have this collection of people in the middle who are...they're angry, they understand that a lot has been hidden from them.

02:21:01 Dexter Ryneveldt

Hello, Ilana, thank you very much for your testimony, you have given us a good overview of what is going on in Israel. You mentioned some very important points. You mentioned that most, or a fairly large part, of the contract between Pfizer and the Israeli government was never made public. Can you tell the jury what the reason was that the public was not invited? What was the reason that the government did not put the public in confidence by saying, *"This is the contract we signed"*? Your government made a very strange statement: *"the public's right to know is not absolute"*. Can you explain that to us?

02:22:08 Ilana Rachel David

Um, well, because, I would say... because we were the testing ground. There was no pretense of choice. Eventually, other countries got to where we went from: *"please come and get this injection, please come and get a shot, you better come and get this injection,"* it came later. Here. As I said, the contract was already signed, it was already a signed agreement, ready for the market. Netanyahu just announced it.

It went on like that, with the impossibility of getting informed consent or any disclosure whatsoever. Again, the contract was heavily redacted. The findings of the corona committee were blacked out.

⁷⁷ Professor of Psychology Mattias De Smet of the University of Ghent is a specialist in mass training, typical of totalitarian regimes.

In doing so, they classified it as top secret for 30 years. A 30-year confidentiality law!

02:23:03 Dexter Ryneveldt

That's extremely low in itself. I think if you're talking about 30 years, the public won't know, but we're talking about the public who are currently being injected with a substance, as you pointed out, that they can't even give informed consent for. Are there any organizations still in the pipeline that you would be in contact with that would identify the core of this mRNA vaxxin program in Israel?

02:23:48 Ilana Rachel David

On a legal level, I don't know personally if there are any plans at this point. I know that there has been a long list of attempts, to try to get the Supreme Court to honor this decision, but only very belatedly. In fact, it was with what I mentioned last February, when they tried to allow our personal data to be given to local authorities and it was only very late that they resisted in any way.

So I'm not aware of any FOIA going on. Because the general statement of the Supreme Court is that we can't say yes or no, when it comes to the world of medicine and science. Therefore, the Department of Health is free to do whatever it wants.

02:24:40 Dexter Ryneveldt

So the Supreme Court, on its own, has made a clear statement. They will not be involved. Do you know of any specific cases that have been instituted in the Supreme Court to say, *"You know what? The government can't say we don't have an absolute right. The government can't say that we gave informed consent, because obviously we don't even know what's in these mRNA vaxxins against covid19."* To your knowledge, is there an application currently before the Supreme Court?

02:25:18 Ilana Rachel David

No.

Dexter Ryneveld

Or is it just relative to the law of Israel, in the sense that I think it's going to be a losing battle, there's no chance that we're going to get even a hearing in the Supreme Court, which I think is the highest court in the land.

02:25:34 Ilana Rachel David

Again, it's the specifics of what was tried and what was stopped. I know that the mask requirement and

those kinds of things, those are questions that would be best asked of the people directly involved, because a lot of these things have happened without any press articles or announcements coming your way. I know they made their attempts and even in one case the judge was so upset about being judged that he made the lawyer pay for the entire two parts of the court case.

02:26:09 Dexter Ryneveld

Thank you very much, Ilana.

02:26:13 Reiner Fuellmich

Does this mean, Ilana, that the judicial system in Israel no longer works? Um...

02:26:23 Ilana Rachel David

You could put it that way. There was the hope that it was just a few ramblings, that they fell asleep largely due to the mindset that was established in the first year. I can't even imagine how we got our hopes up. They were not entirely useless when you consider all that was reported to them.

02:26:52 Reiner Fuellmich

Well, thank you. Thank you very much. Again, shocking testimony, I must say, especially when you see the connection between what Patrick and Matthew have told us and how it seems to be, at least at this point, invisible to much of the Israeli population. If it's true that Israel is like the canary in the coal mine, then we should all be extremely careful. I think it's true because your testimony coincides with what Michael Swinwood, our colleague from Canada, says: *"Now we're all Indians"* or what our friend from Australia David Cole said: *"Now we're all aborigines"*. It seems that we are all in their sights, as targets. We need to be aware of that. We must also make the Israeli people understand this before it is too late. Thank you very much Ilana. Avital Livny, you also have something to say about this. I'm afraid it's not very positive either.

02:28:18 Avital Livny



No, it is not. Good morning, everyone. First of all, I'm honored to be here. Thank you for inviting me. When vaxxin, the so-called "vaccine," I use that terminology because I deal with people who use it and I have to talk to them, even though I don't

think they are vaccines at all anymore. When vaxxin started to be used in Israel, shortly after, I think, two or three months after, I started hearing about people having side effects, people I know in my circle. The numbers increased as time went on.

I started noticing people posting on facebook about what happened to them after being injected. The tens became hundreds. Yet, in the Israeli media, there was nothing, everything is perfect, no side effects. I noticed that every time someone posted a message about what they had experienced, the side effects they had experienced, they immediately received comments like *"fake news, lies from non-vaxxed people, antivax"*. I knew right away that the only way to stop this and get the truth out was through video testimonials. Once you see the person's face, speaking from the heart, about their tragedy. You know it's not faked.

Other organizations were involved at some point in the testimonies and in collecting the details and the data. When I contacted them, they told me that it was impossible to get people to testify on camera because they were afraid. So I waited, hoping that someone would do it. Finally, when I saw that the Israeli government was not going to stop, that they were not going to investigate any of these reports, on the contrary, they were going to go ahead and start injecting 16 and 18 year olds. As a mother of two, I decided I had to try to do it myself. I got into private facebook groups of people who have been injured by vaxxin, that was the title of the group and started going through it. There were already thousands of testimonies, comments, messages, I went through thousands of them and I contacted hundreds of them by messenger, introducing myself and telling them about the project I wanted to do. Most of them didn't want to do it. They were too afraid to tell their story. Ilana already mentioned that. You have to understand that the atmosphere

in Israel, since the so-called vaxxination started, is so violent, so toxic, with a lot of hate and fear. Families are being torn apart, divided between vaxxed and non-vaxxed.

It's not surprising because, as Ilana told you, our own Prime Minister's attack on the non-vaxxed is unbelievable, he was filmed saying, early in his tenure as Prime Minister, that non-vaxxed people are like people who go out in the street with a machine gun and spray a delta virus everywhere. As Ilana put it, he said, *"when the kids had to start being vaxxed in schools, I want the parents of the vaxxed kids to fight with the parents of the non-vaxxed kids."* No wonder people were afraid for their jobs, of what their colleagues and friends would say, they didn't want to have any trouble. To give them a sense of confidence and security, I gave them my word that I wouldn't publish anything until I had at least 40 stories.

Three and a half months later, the project was ready. It became a heartbreaking one hour and seven minute documentary. It was released five months ago, already translated into 15 different languages. On our website alone it has reached 2 million views. Yet in the Israeli media, nothing!

The majority of Israelis have never heard of *"The Testimonies Project"*. We have the impression that they wanted to cover it up. They don't want anyone to know about the side effects. As Ilana said, they were mandated by the Israeli Ministry of Health, they deleted thousands of comments from people talking about what is happening to them, instead of contacting them and checking the information. Few people know this, but in Israel there is no official system⁷⁸, no effective system for collecting data and reporting side effects, unlike the American vaers system. Most people don't even know that they should or are supposed to report. The people in my

78 Established in 1990, the vaccine adverse event reporting system (vaers) is a national early warning system to detect potential safety problems with vaccines licensed in the United States. vaers is co-managed by the centers for disease control and prevention (cdc) and the food and drug administration (fda) of the united states. Anyone can report an adverse event to vaers. Health care professionals are required to report certain adverse events and vaccine manufacturers are required to report any events they become aware of. The vaers is a passive reporting system, which means that it relies on individuals to report their experiences to the cdc and fda. It is not designed to determine whether a vaccine has caused a health problem. However, it is particularly useful for detecting unusual or unexpected patterns of adverse event reporting that may indicate a potential safety problem with a vaccine. For several months, cases of myocarditis and pericarditis have been downplayed by authorities, regulators and the media. While they do not deny the cases, they downplay the severity of the cases, calling the phenomenon rare or mild. Myocarditis and pericarditis are potentially fatal inflammations of the heart. This pathology cannot be considered benign, especially since 90% of the cases recorded in the vaers had a stay in a health facility with cardiac assistance. The vaers data show an exponential increase in myocarditis cases since the beginning of the vaxxination.

project, when they tried to register a report, they told me it was impossible. At that point you had a word limit and you could only choose from a few side effects.

If you had something different, you couldn't put it on the statement! Most importantly: no transparency. You have no idea what happens to your return, you can't access other returns and compare data. The idea of transparency in the American system, the vaers, is that researchers, doctors, scientists can look at this data, they can do their statistics and they can see if there is a trend they can then determine that it is probably from the injection.

In Israel, we are the laboratory of the world, but we don't have any system worthy of that and in the American vaers it's basically the doctors who send the reports.

A woman in my project whose name is Estie had heart problems. She asked her doctor, *"Will you report this?"* He replied, *"It's not my place to report; if you want to, go ahead and report it."* Most doctors don't even want to write on the same page, on the side effect page, where they're supposed to put all the data, that this person got the pfizer injection two days before. They don't want to write that down. Like I said, you get the sense that they tried to gloss over it.

A few months ago, it's amazing, one of the guys in the media sphere, kind of a celebrity, he's not politically correct, he says whatever he wants. He was being interviewed by one of his colleagues and he said, *"Come on, let's talk honestly; you and I know that as members of the media, we were instructed from the beginning never to say anything against vaxxins."* He was saying publicly. They're not allowed to talk about it pejoratively. The doctors...and this whole atmosphere, you know, the first thing that the people in my project felt the need to tell me, when I started taking the testimonies, the first thing they said to me was, *"First of all, I have to tell you that I'm not anti-vaxxin,"* which is the most absurd thing since they took the injection into their body. How could anyone ever suspect them of being antivax? The mood and hysteria are such that they feel the need to defend themselves.

As I said, in the American vaers, it is assumed that the goal is transparency of statistics. I don't have the vaers, but only of my project and the people I talked to. I'm not a doctor, but as an ordinary person, I

could see patterns, I could see different side effects very clearly. That's, by the way, the way I put the documentary together.

In the end, I distinguished 7 side effects: I had heart problems, neurolo-gical problems, which are the two main ones; vagal discomfort, miscarriages, skin problems, blood clots, infections and an explosion of diseases, usually autoimmune. As soon as this was published, I found that no one was going to stop and investigate.

They were going to focus on the 12 to 16 age group. So I went straight into another round of testimonies to this day, where I have tried to focus on the younger cases. Unfortunately, I am now seeing cases of teenagers and others who got sick after doing the recall.

I was mentioning the patterns. I can describe some of them to you. I'm sure that people, the viewers who are listening to us, will see some of the things that are similar in people around them who have heart problems. There are a lot of disorders, tightness in the chest and strong, irregular heart palpitations. The main and most serious side effect I see is heart attack with cardiac arrest... and myocarditis. I had forgotten, it came back to me. Cardiac arrest is mainly in people in their forties. Every two or three days in Israel, we hear about someone who fell asleep and never woke up again, but of course, it has nothing to do with the injections!

As for myocarditis, it is particularly common in young men and boys. For them, for teenagers, it is only a few days after the injections. I have two cases of 15-year-old boys who developed myocarditis just a few days, less than a week after their injections. Their parents won't testify. They are afraid for their jobs. One thing I was able to do was make a video with a 14-year-old boy who had a heart attack less than a week after being injected. And, by the way, it was his school that forced him to get the injection, because he's in a special school, that told the parents that without the injection, he would have to leave school.

To talk about the health pass and all the constraints on the majority of Israelis, there was the questionnaire. I think you mentioned it, Ilana and the majority of people did...I think it was 6 months ago, they filled out a questionnaire and about 65% of people said they only got injected because of the

health passes. Most of the people in my project said they were threatened by their employer to get fired if they didn't take the injection.

I have the most mind-blowing testimonials on this health pass. In the end, the person was too scared for me to publish it. A 28 year old man chose to get the injection because he was afraid they wouldn't let him go to his own wedding. Yes, his own wedding. The result, two weeks before the wedding, he got myocarditis. He spent his entire wedding sitting down. You see, it's amazing. It's a neurological pattern. Most people who have had it say it started with something near the face, like ear infections, sinus infections, terrible headaches. We also have a lot of cases of tinnitus.

Then it spreads to the spine, with terrible pain. One of the women in the project, whose name is Nerit, said that she felt like she was going into labor, so much pain was unbearable. So it extends to the arms and legs, which she says become very heavy, almost numb, to the point that climbing stairs is impossible. Some people have been almost paralyzed. I have a young mother in her 30s who spent five months in bed because she couldn't move. Her children fed her and gave her something to drink, because she couldn't even lift her arm to hold the cup: five months!

It's amazing! Almost all of them complained about a numbness in their fingers and toes, electric sensations in their veins. All of them! Most of the people in the project, even if they have heart or skin problems, all of them complain of severe fatigue. How severe? I have a young mother in her 30s named Alit, I asked her, *"What do you mean by severe fatigue?"* She told me, *"I can't get my little boy out of the bathtub. I can't put him in his swing."* These people say that a simple activity such as washing the dishes requires them to go to bed for two hours afterwards to recover. Vaginal bleeding was one of the most common. The majority of women have experienced heavy vaginal bleeding within hours of the injection, sometimes right after the very first one. Hours, a day or two after, heavy bleeding, usually for two weeks with hard clots, sorry for the details, I think it's important.

They were divided into two groups. One group is for women who have heavy bleeding every period and some have even complained that it is coming earlier and earlier. A few weeks ago, I shot some new testimonies and posted them on our site. Liora

now has her period every two weeks, a strong and unbearable period.

The other group is the opposite. They have the first heavy bleeding and then, as they describe it, they have nothing, once every five months, for two or three days. They say they feel like they've lost their fertility. Some have blood tests as if they are menopausal, even though they are young women. The amazing thing is that all these side effects, at the very beginning of this so-called vaccination, many doctors tried to warn people and say, *"Look, there are going to be side effects, based on what we're seeing. We're concerned that it's going to damage fertility, that you're going to develop heart problems, myocarditis, etc., etc., etc. Also autoimmune diseases."*

Immediately, the Israeli Ministry of Health started to say *"Wrong, wrong, wrong!"* Now they are coming to see that everything is coming true. I don't know if it's right in Israel, but we have a saying that the difference between a conspiracy and reality is six months.

That's what they say. There, just two weeks ago, Ilana, I'm sure you saw it, two weeks ago, the Israeli Ministry of Health, it was in the newspaper, they sent out a questionnaire and they found that after having the booster shot, one out of ten women complained about problems in their menstrual cycle. I'm sure the real numbers are higher. But let's say it's 10%. And now we're talking about it? What are the hundreds of thousands of young women, teenagers and five year olds supposed to do with this information? Now they are telling us that all heart problems are unrelated to vaccination.

Surprisingly, we're now starting to see defibrillators, those things that give electric shocks to the heart to get it going again, in places where there never were before, like gyms, playgrounds, schools, but, of course, no connection to injections.

Even if you don't look at the patterns, but just the cases, the testimonials in my project are themselves mind-blowing. Take Ali, he's in his 40s, he was a very athletic boxer. After getting injected for the second time, it was his birthday, he got a nice birthday present a few hours after the injection. He had a very severe ear infection that put him in the hospital for five days. After he was discharged, a few days later, he had a stroke. Seven months later, another stroke and after the project was over, I kept in touch with several of them, he had a third stroke.

Today he is in a wheelchair. He has a five year old daughter. His whole left side is paralyzed. He has terrible headaches. No medication can relieve his pain. A nightmare.

Speaking of autoimmune disease, Elana, a 34-year-old woman, was injected because she had to get back to the gym. Sports were her life. She ran 10 kilometers every day. In short, she developed an autoimmune disease, the body attacks her muscles, dissolving them. She was in the hospital for eight months, two weeks of which she was intubated and unconscious. A doctor told her she was 100% disabled. At 34 years old. We were talking about the Holocaust.

A short time ago, I shot the testimony of an 87-year-old woman who survived the Babi Yar massacre⁷⁹. I don't know if you have any idea. His whole family was slaughtered. After the recall, it may not seem important, but it is. Sorry for the details. She has diarrhea all the time; she lost 10 pounds. She is a very small lady. Now she weighs 39 kgs, she can't leave her house. She was very active, she gave lectures on the Holocaust. A remarkable lady. She controlled all the technologies, like facebook, so she was an active and passionate person. Now she can't leave her house because she has to be near the bathroom and she's losing weight every day. She needed a CT scan. She was told, *"Yes, we have a slot available in three months."* She had to go and do it at her own expense.

There is, by the way, a difference, despite these models, these patterns. I see a big difference between the testimonials in the documentary and the new testimonials. In the documentary, I had the testimony of a person who was harmed. In the new testimonies, I have several, like someone who talks about himself, but also about several family members who are also victims. Yes, one of the most amazing testimonies is Esther: her husband, her brother and another woman in the family, all three died of a stroke. Statistically, how is this possible?

And speaking of statistics, when they said they wanted to inject children from 5 to 11 years old, the Israeli Ministry of Health started doing zoom sessions for parents in schools, to tell them how good and safe it was. I signed up for one of these

zooms and after the doctor's presentation was over, I asked how many cases of myocarditis she knew of in children under 16. She told me that in the United States of America, according to the vaers, where they had already given the injections, I think it was 1 or 2 million children under 16 at the time. *"We have 8 cases of myocarditis"*. I said, *"Excuse me, I'm a little confused. Can you help me understand how this can be statistically possible, if in the entire United States you only have 8 cases and I myself am not a doctor, but I personally know of 3 cases, 2 15-year-old boys who had myocarditis and 1 14-year-old who had a heart attack."* She quickly changed the subject and from then on it was no longer possible to ask questions.

That's when you see that they don't want us to know anything about it. You know, we were talking about the health pass. I'm sorry, but I have to relate it to the Holocaust. For me, in Israel, the worst heartbreak for our society is that Holocaust survivors cannot come to a Holocaust memorial ceremony because they do not have their health pass. At the Holocaust Museum Center, it's a shame, there's a sign that says you can't come in without a health pass. I can't understand it.

I am coming to the end of my testimony. I have to say that in the new testimonies, there is another thing that I see and that is more and more cases of tumors and cancers, maybe because it takes more time. I shot a testimonial a couple of months ago of a young woman in her 30s. Her name is Adele, a few weeks after her second injection, she started to feel a lump on her neck. When she went to have it examined, she was found to have a three and a half centimeter tumor on her thyroid. Just think, two weeks later she came back for a checkup and it was already four and a half centimeters. The doctors told her it was growing at a rate of about four millimeters a week. When she did the math backwards later, she saw that it started exactly after the second injection. In the end, they had to remove half of her thyroid to remove the tumor. In the same testimony, she stated that 2 weeks before, her grandfather had died of a lung tumor. It was gigantic, about five centimeters. The doctors told her that, for this kind of tumor, it takes years to grow. He had a CT scan eleven months before he had the injection. On that scan, the lungs were completely healthy.

79 Babi Yar is the name of the ravine where at least 34,000 Jews who remained in Kiev were shot on September 29 and 30, 1941. On pain of death, they were ordered to assemble at the intersection of Melnikov and Dokterivska Streets on September 29, 1941, with their identity papers, money and warm clothes.

So, I don't know what other explanation these doctors from the health department could offer us, but I find it amazing. Finally, I have to say that besides the testimonies about the side effects, of all these testimonies, I have received many very disturbing ones, on other sides, with very disturbing information, which raise a lot of questions, other questions. For example, many people in the project whose individual testimonies can be seen via our website. They say that when people came to the hospital with side effects, the first thing the doctors tried to do was to get them admitted to covid services, even though they didn't have it, especially the elderly. There was an 85-year-old man named Gilad who was left in the hallway for hours in the winter when he was freezing. He was told, *"Look, we're not going to examine you until you're in the covid ward."*

Why is that? Since we're all here trying to connect the dots, that's what I've been trying to do for the last six months, to understand this horrible situation and of the things that I say, the only thing that I relate to is in my opinion, that for example, a couple of months ago in Israel, a 16 year old whose name, I believe, was Eden, died of cardiac arrest. Two injections. In the media, they wrote, of course, that he didn't die of vaxxin. That he died of a post-covid syndrome! His father went around saying that he had never been sick. I think that wraps it up. Of course, I have many stories and things to tell you, but since time is short, I encourage everyone to go to our site and see it in English and other languages. If you have any questions, I will be happy to try to answer them.

02:54:49 Reiner Fuellmilch

Thank you very much Avital, that is disturbing. Now, if what you are telling us and we know that what you are telling us reflects what is experienced in other countries after vaccination. Now, it seems to me that they have created a problem with PCR testing and vaxxins and now they are trying to create the solution by saying that everything that happens after injection is related to covid, long covid or that kind of thing, so I wonder what the next solution will be.

My question is, we've heard from other experts about this problem, these side effects, even though many people try to ignore it and the mainstream media and governments try to minimize it. It's slowly but surely seeping into the mainstream. How is this happening? It sounds ridiculous, but it's through the insurance companies, because all of a

sudden these insurance companies, at least in the US and also here in Germany, realize that something terrible is happening. The actuaries tell them: you have to get rid of this risk. Which some of them are trying to do. Do you have that in Israel, or have you heard about it? Are there companies that do this?

02:56:31 Avital Livny

I thought about this a long time ago. When this started, I said maybe the insurance companies will save us, because they will have to publish the data because they are losing money. I personally haven't heard anything about that. I don't know if Ilana knows anything about it, but I'm sorry, I don't know. I can tell you what many experts have said... In fact, since they don't publish any information about vaxxed and non-vaxxed. Even looking at the whole picture, if you look at the total number of deaths in Israel, you can see that it correlates with the timing of vaccinations.

If you have a peak of injections in, say, December 2020, January 2021 and now in August, the booster, we can see a peak in the total number of deaths in Israel. So, we don't have any information, they don't answer any of the questions we ask.

There, a few weeks ago, I think they had data on births and miscarriages in one of the hospitals. They indicated a really high number of miscarriages, but without giving details on how many mothers were vaxxed or anything, there is only the total number, so it is very difficult to get information.

02:58:28 Reiner Fuellmilch

Are the Israelis aware of the fact that the pfizer trials, which seems to be the most used *"vaxxin"* in Israel, the biontech-pfizer, that these trials are a gigantic fraud, as we have learned from a whistleblower and scientists who have looked into it?

02:58:54 Avital Livny

Unfortunately, I don't think that the majority of people believe that this is a fraud, but the majority, I think, see it as a *"we tried and it doesn't work"*. Okay, it doesn't work, since everyone in Israel is sick. Everyone. Everyone has got the omicron. Everyone, all of them, all of them are sick. It's amazing. The streets were empty. We all got it, myself, my family, a month ago, my kid... obviously, I don't know what it is because I'm not going to get tested: it's useless. Some kind of flu anyway. Like everyone is sick in Israel and they see that it doesn't work and yet you see celebrities on facebook with a

picture of themselves at their worst. They say, *"I don't know, I did everything right, I wore two masks on top of each other, I only kissed my kids by the legs and yet I still got covid! So, go ahead and put on your masks, go get vaxxed."*

This is amazing. Some people just keep going. The good news, I think, is that the numbers of children being vaxxed are decreasing rapidly. According to the Israeli government, the vaxxination of children is a total failure, because few parents push their children to get injected.

03:00:24 Reiner Fuellmilch

[They don't want their children to pay the price, obviously.](#)

03:00:28 Avital Livny

Yeah, but you, you know, they saw that people didn't want it. So what they did at the beginning of this vaxxination of children was to set up new rules that said, if a class is exposed to somebody who is positive, the vaxxinated children can go back to school after one day, with a negative test. The non-vaxxed ones have to stay, even if they're negative, they have to stay a whole week, maybe ten days, at home. So there's pressure on parents to have their kids vaxxed, just so they're not stuck at home. That they can go to work. That the kids are not isolated at home again. It's... it's amazing. So I think people are starting to become aware, just because of the amounts and the percentage of those who are going to get injected now.

03:01:27 Reiner Fuellmilch

[You alluded to Professor De Smet, who is probably one of the best known experts on mass formation theory at the moment, and who describes totalitarianism as a form of government that is inherently self-destructive, if you want to put it that way. It does seem that this is what is happening, the system seems to be collapsing.](#)

Are people beginning to see that the promises that were made were totally devoid of substance? That is, that is what vaxxins are supposed to do, give you immunity. These so-called vaccines don't do that. Do they understand that or do they not even know that is what vaxxins are for?

03:02:24 Avital Livny

[I think I saw the interview you did on the psychological aspect. So, first of all, they try to make excuses for everything. So, first of all, "No, we need](#)

[to have the health pass because we'll be less likely to get the disease and be infected"](#) and then they find out that they are infected. Then they say, *"Okay, no, no, vaxxination is good against complications of the disease, it protects you from hospitalization,"* but they have no idea if it will work or not, but that's what they say. I think what I heard in the interview that you did, if I remember correctly, one man said that surprisingly, it's the more intellectual people that get the most out of the scheme. The simpler people, start to see the truth before they do. It's true. I went to the market, an outdoor market, a couple of weeks ago. The people there, you know, the vegetable sellers, the clothes sellers, they told me that everybody lies. *"All liars!"* Yeah, none of it makes sense. They know it, but they still put on their masks, just in case.

03:03:51 Reiner Fuellmilch

[So it seems to me that the only reason why this system, not just in Israel, but in other countries as well, has not yet collapsed is because people believe the lies. This system is held together by lies. That's the only thing that sustains it. It also seems to me that, even in Israel, people are beginning to realize that they have to ask questions. Is this true? Or am I wrong?](#)

03:04:27 Avital Livny

[I think that's true. I think if we're talking about psychology,...I think they're at a stage where they're starting to understand that something is really wrong. If they admit that there are side effects, their problem is going to be that they're going to be in a really difficult situation, because, first of all, they're going to have to admit that they've been fooled, which in Israel is very difficult, because the Israelis consider themselves a very bright people and nobody could fool them. To accept the impression that they have been duped is very difficult for the Israelis.](#)

The second thing is that once you understand what is going on with these graphics, you get into a real fear of death. You feel like you have a time bomb in your body. You don't want to admit it. So you say, *"Okay, it's done, I don't want to know anymore."* That's why, from my perspective, it's so important to find some kind of treatment, or something that will help, because if you have a treatment and hope, then it will be easier for people to accept that they made a mistake. That's my feeling. As long as there is no hope, they are playing ostrich and saying, *"I don't want to know anything"*.

03:05:55 Reiner Fuellmich

So we need a real treatment for the fake treatment.

03:05:59 Avital Livny

A real treatment for the damage caused by the fake. Yes, of course.

03:06:05 Patrick Wood

I'd like to add something at this point Reiner, if you don't mind.

03:06:11 Reiner Fuellmich

Go ahead.

03:06:13 Patrick Wood

I think Avital's work is unquestionably the most important work we have here, because as you know and as the lawyers listening to this know, we have to be able to show actual harm before you can even file a complaint. There has to be an injury. It has to be documented and it has to be emotional if you want to present it to a jury. You can find all of this via his website...it can't be attacked. It's not about his testimony. It's about their testimony. These are the people who were harmed.

The other thing that is interesting and I think really essential... By the way thank you for your tenacity, Avital, I appreciate your tenacity and I know that it's often a characteristic of people in your country and it's a good thing that you have it. I'm glad you have it. Keep it up. Don't give up under any circumstances and I know you won't.

Another thing is that the propaganda has come from the highest level of your country and it has come down on us, because we are victims of the same type of propaganda. Well let's say it stopped, it would all fall apart in a few weeks.

Just like in a war, the propaganda must continue to feed the deception in the minds of the people. If the propaganda chain is ever broken, everything will collapse in a few weeks and people will wake up one day and ask, "*What the hell happened?*" We have seen this in other countries and in other situations, this is a key element.

On the other hand, we can't blame an individual doctor for what happens, but the people who control the top of the pyramid, have created a culture of abuse, which has spread to every hospital, every doctor's office, every clinic, and every emergency room in the world. There are some

exceptions, as you know. We know that there are still a few physicians who practice and don't believe in it, but by and large, it has spread. It is a culture of abuse that has been created.

I haven't seen this issue raised anywhere in our country, to date. Who created the culture of abuse in particular so that it would generate stories like the ones you show via your website? That's what I ultimately want to know. Who created the culture of abuse? Created it knowingly, because it can't be an accident. There are no accidents here, I don't believe that at all.

There is someone at the top, we've talked about many of them, I'm sure, but we have to make that connection. This is where the model, where the culture of abuse was intentionally started and passed on to every country in the world. Every country has a counterpart to Anthony Fauci, the director of everything medical to dictate policy and so forth. Where is this stuff coming from? Did it come from who? If so, who? Individually, who is this person?

You raise, you really bring out some crucial questions in my opinion and your explanation of how people are being deceived is just proof that the propaganda has done its job. That's the nature of propaganda. It has done its job. It is designed to deceive people.

03:09:51 Avital Livny

Yes, indeed, and the Israeli Ministry of Health, I think it was published somewhere, spends 250,000,000 Shekels. How much is that in Dollars, Ilana? I would say around... I don't know, let's say \$100 million. It doesn't matter. That's a lot of Israeli money in advertising... in this thing. It's our money, by the way, it's taxpayers' money.

When you also link it to what I said earlier about the guy in the media, he did say out loud that they were ordered not to say anything negative about vaccination. It's daily, all the time. Every show, no matter what they're talking about, whether they're singing or eating, it's "*Go get vaxxed. Go get vaxxed,*" you hear it constantly.

I have to admit, oddly enough, there are signs of truth coming out in the last few weeks. Of course, I remain very suspicious. I am trying to understand what is behind all this, why doctors are suddenly speaking out. The director of the covid unit in the largest hospital in Israel was on the news and he said

that "75% to 80%, the majority, of the critical and severe cases of covid in my unit, in my hospital, are vaxxed with a booster or more." He said these are the most critical cases, 75% to 80%. He said out loud, "This vaxxination does not stop the complications of the disease and vaxxed or not vaxxed is the same." That's what he was saying out loud.

There is also a document from the Israeli Ministry of Health that was published a long time ago and it said that the mask has no medical logic. It was meant to format the population. I think most people are so overwhelmed trying to get through the day-to-day, trying to take care of the kids, trying to bring in money, especially after a year.

I think we hold the medal for the most days of confinement. I think we had four and a half months total, the first year of the lockdown. We had very strict containments. Thousands of businesses went out of business.

People couldn't afford to put food on their plates anymore. They didn't have time to think about anything. They just did it because everyone else did it. They don't have time to look for the information that will tell them this was all a sham.

03:12:53 Reiner Fuellmich

Well, thank you, Avital.

03:12:54 Avital Livny

Thanks to you.

03:12:55 Reiner Fuellmich

It's like taking a magnifying glass, looking at Israel and realizing that this is what's happening all over the world. Let's turn that magnifying glass or those binoculars to get the full picture and talk to Vera Sharav.

Vera, I know you've been listening and we've been talking about this. It's very difficult to answer this, but you have a different perspective because you know where this all came from, not just from reading history books, but from having lived it in life.

03:13:35 Vera Sharav



Yes, but I'll tell you, it's extremely disturbing to hear the details of Kabbalah in Israel, really. It's basically because Israel has lost its *raison d'être*. The government is doing what Mengele⁸⁰ didn't finish. I assure you, that's what's happening. It's unbelievable! People all over the world are shocked. Why Israel, why Israel?

Well, my explanation is that, damn it, Israeli Jews are no different from any other. So when you have corrupt governments, you're going to have all the corrupt elements that will surface. The fact that it's Israel doesn't matter. The other thing is, yes, I keep talking about history because, of course, I lived through this episode of history, but it's really... taking history seriously shouldn't just come down to one witness.

History is really about how you can discern patterns, similarities. One of the reasons why... I'm besieged (I can't even find the term) by people contacting me asking for interviews, really. It's just too much! Part of it is that there is no one left to compare. For example, there's a businessman who has three breweries in Brooklyn and he made a comparison on twitter or something and my God, they were ready to lynch him. He might close his places. Well, I met him and he's finally going to be okay, but the fact is his whole family were either victims or survivors. It doesn't matter.

This gives me the feeling that obviously it's kind of a real, real... how do I put this, it's an ultra-sensitive point. They're terrified that people will start to see the parallels between the prelude and what's going on now. Otherwise, there wouldn't be this intensity from all sorts of individuals and institutions.

That's not what I'm going to talk about today. Today I'm going to talk about something else. As a preamble, I would just like to share what Albert

⁸⁰ Josef Mengele (1911-1979) was a German officer of the Schutzstaffel (SS), a war criminal who worked as a doctor in the Auschwitz extermination camp during the Second World War. An active participant in the Shoah, he took part in the selection of deportees for immediate gassing and carried out various deadly medical experiments on many prisoners. After the war, he fled to South America where he died in 1979 without ever having been judged for his acts. His victims, who witnessed his abuses, nicknamed him "*the Angel of Death*".

Camus, the French philosopher, said. He said *"The only way to fight the plague is through honesty"*.

Well, guess what? As we know, honesty is nowhere to be found in corporate-dominated government and public health agencies. For two years, we were all subjected to the psychological weapons the Nazis used to maintain a state of anxiety. I'm not so sure of the exact approach or who it came from, because one of the things Avital mentioned that is very true is that educated people are the ones who are the most unthinking or protect themselves as ignorant. This is evident everywhere, it seems to me. Which should alert us to the abysmal failure of the educational system, which is contrary to what we expected because the more years you spend in an educational institution, especially in universities, the less willing you are to trust your own thinking, your own critical thinking, in a way and instead you look for an authority to tell you what to do next. That's a very big condemnation of the educational process.

Now, what I want to talk about is... Look, we've been inundated with scaremongering for the last two years and the horrific scenes of police in black uniforms brutally attacking protesters in European cities, in Ottawa, in Australia and in Israel, these scenes are absolutely painful reminders of the Holocaust. The goal under the Nazis and the goal today are exactly the same in every respect, namely to take control of people's lives, conditioning them to obey the government's directives no matter what.

I want to address the centrality of eugenics as a hierarchical, authoritarian ideology that leads to genocide. This is its purpose. Eugenics was conceived and appeals to a new caste in society (the "m4"). It is also present among corporate oligarchs and some government officials. This is the most important.


British eugenics provided the theoretical foundation, which was then used to justify social and economic inequality, to legitimize discrimination and apartheid and violence against dissenters. It is the American financial sharks who provide the financial and practical means to implement public policy and population control laws.

This is the cover of Edwin Black's book, *"War Against The Weak"*. He explains it this way, he says, *"Eugenics was conceived in the early 20th century and implemented by the wealthiest, most powerful, and most knowledgeable men in America against the nation's most vulnerable and powerless."* That's exactly right!

"The titans of American industry used their influence to enact discriminatory laws and public policies that swept away moral principles and stripped segments of the population of their civil and human rights. In 1915, a joint eugenics venture was negotiated by John D. Rockefeller, Andrew Carnegie, Alexander Graham Bell, the inventor of the telephone, and Mrs. E. H. Harriman, mother of future statesman Avril Harriman. They had already consolidated their monopolies in the oil, railroad, steel and banking industries. So they sought to preserve their vast wealth and advance their interests by investing in methods of controlling and reducing populations."

The goal of eugenics was and is to eliminate those whom the caste (the "m4") deemed genetically inferior. They funded a massive lobbying campaign to enact laws to sterilize those they deemed unfit. Sterilization laws were first enacted in 28 states in the United States with the goal of sterilizing 10% of the U.S. population, or 15 million Americans. This was to be accomplished under the guise of improving public health and the human race."

"As you know, American sterilization laws served as a model for Nazi racial hygiene laws. For more than a century, the Rockefellers continued to be the biggest funders and drivers of the eugenics and depopulation agenda."



Now here's what I want to talk about most: the Spanish flu of 1918. It is estimated that it killed between 50 and 100 million people worldwide. This catastrophic pandemic was inserted into a false narrative for over a century. A review of the facts reveals a plethora of similarities between this false narrative of the 1918 pandemic and the 2020 coronavirus pandemic.

The 1918 pandemic did not originate in Spain. It was not a flu, nor was it caused by a virus. By misidentifying it as a Spanish flu, its true nature and origin were concealed.

In 1918, as the United States entered World War I, the army was expanded to six million men. The army grew to six million men, two million of whom were sent to the battlefields of Europe. Scientists at the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research, now Rockefeller University, seized the opportunity to test an experimental vaccine on this new pool of human

guinea pigs. Few people realize that the disease killed many more soldiers on both sides than machine guns and mustard gas or any other weapon used in World War I. In January 1918, an experimental meningitis vaccine, made from horse pus, was tested on soldiers.

In January 1918, Dr. Frederick L. Gates, chairman of the board of the Rockefeller Institute and trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation, began the experiment at Fort Riley, Kansas. In his 1918 report, he noted that the vaccine was administered in three randomized "spitball" doses. This lacks precision...

"...4,792 men received the first dose, 4,257 the second, and only 3,702 received all three doses." This represents a reduction of nearly 23%. Dr. Gates does not say what happened to the 1,090 men who did not show up for the third dose. Gates wrote that shortly after being injected, the soldiers showed flu-like symptoms, including coughing, vomiting and diarrhea.

These reactions, he said, simulated the onset of epidemic meningitis. Dr. Gates dismissed this with a wave of his hand and declared that it was not true meningitis. One wonders on what science Dr. Gates based his statement...

Flu epidemics were reported in fourteen of the largest military training camps. Cured troops carried and transmitted the infection to healthy soldiers on the battlefields of Europe. A 2008 report studied case fatality and mortality rates worldwide during the pandemic. They described how the bacteria spread rapidly from infected people to others, especially in crowded environments such as hospital wards, military camp barracks, troop ships and mines.

Further evidence was obtained from at least 9,000 autopsies worldwide. The autopsies proved that the 1918 influenza was not an influenza. Based on lung cultures taken at autopsy, bacterial pneumonia killed at least 92.7% of the patients.

When World War I ended on November 11, 1918, the soldiers returned home, spreading the deadly bacterial pneumonia around the world. One of the most important eyewitnesses was Dr. Eleanor McBean. She witnessed the human carnage at the age of 13. She and her African-American family did not get vaccinated. They remained healthy throughout the pandemic.



She became a physician and wrote several books, including "The Poisoned Needle" in 1957, "Vaccination, The Silent Killer" in 1977 and "Swine Flu Exposé" in 1977. She wrote very precise descriptions. I'll read you an excerpt... "When there was a spike in the flu, all the stores were closed, as well as the schools, businesses, and even the hospital, because the doctors and nurses had been vaccinated and had the flu."



"The streets were empty, it was like a ghost town. We seemed to be the only family that hadn't caught the flu. So my parents went from house to house, doing what they could to treat the sick, because it was impossible to find a doctor. Since germs, bacteria, viruses or bacilli could cause illness, there was every opportunity for my parents to be infected, as they spent many hours in the rooms of the sick. They did not get the flu and did not bring germs home to attack us children. No one in our family had the flu, not even runny noses and we were in winter with deep snow on the ground."

Dr. McBean identified the experimental bacterial meningitis vaccine as the main culprit. She wrote that *"only those who were vaccinated perished"*. Does this sound familiar...?


Can such a vaccine-generated disaster happen again? I think it really, really does... that this example is particularly important given the protagonists.

In 2018, PBS, the public broadcaster, aired a documentary, *"The First Wave"*. This documentary fills in some of the gaps that Dr. Gates had failed to disclose.



In it, we learn that on March 11, the camp surgeon at Fort Reilly was faced with the first wave of the pandemic. One hundred men a day entered the infirmary with the same disease. About two million American soldiers were injected with Rockefeller's experimental vaccine. The vaccine made them sick and weakened their immune systems before they were shipped to Europe.

You've already seen these pictures, I'm sure.



When the war ended, the Rockefeller Institute sent the deadly meningitis concoction to be used on civilians in England, France, Belgium, Italy and other Western European countries, spreading the epidemic around the world. I guess they didn't send it to Germany, because that's where they were all fighting. Germany may have been spared the Spanish flu. The question I want to ask, and I think this needs to be raised, is this: was it a wise decision to ship the deadly vaccine overseas, once they knew what it was causing? Was it to generate profits first or was it part of the genocidal eugenics program?

Anthony Fauci continues to cite the 1918 pandemic, to create fear and panic in the public about viruses. He uses fear to get people to get vaxxed. For example, in 2007, Fauci ran a fear campaign about the h5n1 bird flu viruses. He warned, and I quote: *"Reinforced by fears in the international community that an influenza pandemic may be imminent, there is concern that a new pandemic as deadly as the 1918 pandemic, or even more deadly, could spread."*



That same year, he wrote an article claiming that *"the genetic sequencing of the entire genome of the 1918 virus has been completed."* Dr. Fauci lied. There was no virus genome to sequence. In December 2020, Fauci,

again raised the specter of the 1918 Spanish flu: *"Coronavirus is a pandemic of historic proportions that can be potentially as severe as the Spanish flu of 1918, in which 50 million people probably died. I don't think we can deny that fact."*

My question is, if a vaccine probably killed 50 to 100 million people, the loss of those lives far outweighs any benefits of vaccination. Doesn't it? The goal of today's globalists, their grand reset project, remains the same as it was in the 1930s, their goal is world domination and total control of the world's natural, financial and human resources. The global oligarchs seek to overthrow democracies and replace them with a corporatist global model. This time, their most effective weapon is high-tech surveillance.

Author and historian Anton Chaitkin points out that *"IG Farben was not only responsible for Hitler's rise to power along with the Rockefeller family. They became part of the caste's (the "m4") plan to take over the world. The current corporate takeover is the culmination of this plan and is a continuation of the eugenics program begun in the 1920s. The goal remains the same: world domination by caste (the "m4") and the calling of the herd. After World War II, the global pharmaceutical colossus was a reincarnation of the IG Farben cartel model, putting profit before safety and the use of drugs and vaxxin as a means of control. The Rockefellers continue to be the most instrumental people in the globalist plan for world domination."*

They've been in charge for a century. They have controlled medicine since the early 20th century. They basically created the modern pharmaceutical empire, to get rid of the petrochemical waste from their refining process.

In the 1920s and 1930s, they funded eugenics research at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute in Germany. The foundation remains one of the most influential institutions, funding genocidal radical eugenics worldwide, including vaxxins designed to end fertility. Their strategy is to impose a global medical tyranny run by Gig Pharma and Big Tech. The covid-19 pandemic was first described in a Rockefeller Foundation publication in 2010.

The manuscript, *"Lockstep,"* laid out the global pandemic scenario quite perfectly, concluding that the only way to mitigate the global pandemic was to follow the Chinese authoritarian police state. The recommended methods were containments. The Rockefeller recommendation for containment was implemented by governments throughout Western Europe, the United States, Canada and Australia. It

was, I think, a great shock to all of us that people were doing it and complying.

In April 2020, the foundation published a plan to create a national DNA database for the entire population of the United States. Bill Gates, a lifelong eugenicist and a major shareholder in the vaxxin business, has declared that the vaxxin covid would be "*the final solution*." Now, you can imagine that as a survivor, I take this very seriously. One of the things we can learn from this is that they really laid out their plans in documents that anyone can read. Most people will say, "*Oh, it's a conspiracy theory*." No, it's not. They've got it all planned out and it's all going according to plan.

We are living at a very critical moment in human history. We are on the verge of a totalitarian dictatorship. This time it is worldwide. This time there will be no saviors. If we do not reverse the trajectory of obediently following the dictates of the government, we will either be annihilated or reduced to the status of slaves.

You can ask me anything you want, but I thought I would jump in on 1918 because I don't know if you've ever heard of it... There are so many similarities really, I haven't had time to, you know, I'm sure you've heard from scientists and doctors, the different dangers of vaxxins, so I don't need to mention it. They are now much more in the public eye, as I said.

I think somehow we need to get the message out. I think one of the things that was said earlier, is absolutely true. We see it with the truckers in Canada, we see it with the people, the working class people have not been bamboozled. They recognize, you know, the ploys to try to bamboozle them. So they don't believe it. Even if they have to wear masks to keep their jobs, they still think it's nonsense. The problem is the educated class, the ones who are bombarded by the high-level media, the New York Times, the Wall Street Journal and all the rest. That's the worst part, because they really, really trust those publications.

There will be a big demonstration tomorrow in Vienna. I don't know what it will be like. I just hope that there won't be again the scenes that we saw, for example, in Ottawa where a woman was trampled by a policeman's horse. The violence is really just below the surface. I would really like to know what is the best way to prevent the violence from exploding...

03:43:04 Reiner Fuellmich

I think that's what you're doing Vera, exposing this and what we're all trying to do. I think we need to follow in the footsteps, we need to expose all these things and make it clear that it's all out there in the open. Everybody can read it. Everybody can read it. It's a matter of time, I guess, until this house of cards implodes. Unfortunately, a lot of people are going to fall victim to it in one way or another. There's nothing we can do about it. I think that's the only way out of it, exposing them, trying to get as many people as possible from that 40% group that are hesitant, to come over to our side, not to save us, we can save ourselves, but to save some of them.

3:44:02 Vera Sharav

The problem I think many of us have with friends, family, I have family in Israel, is getting them to read these things. Don't just listen to me! The answer I got from my sister-in-law was "*I trust the health department*", end of story. I call it willful ignorance. No matter what I said, they were somehow protecting themselves from the inevitable, because Israel was the first to jump on the bandwagon and now they are the ones doing the worst. What's it going to take to get there? Because really, it's... I don't know what caused this kind of, like a paralysis in people, they say, "*No, I don't want to watch this, I don't want to*." What do you mean you don't want to watch? Why don't you look and talk and argue? No. It tells you that underneath this shield of "*oh, I trust authority*," there's this discomfort, I think, because why wouldn't you want to get any information, whatever it is?

03:45:33 Reiner Fuellmich

Because it will destroy everything... I think that's what people are afraid of. It's going to destroy your whole view of the world, as you've learned it over the last 70 or 80 years. That's what Michael Swinwood, one of the lawyers from Canada that we're cooperating with says, "*I was lied to for 73 years*," -that's how old he is- and I talked to one of the experts today, she's a biologist and she understands everything, of course and she has a sister, who's a lawyer. She says "*my sister understands everything as well, but there's one step she won't take... the conspiracy step, because it's too much*".

03:46:26 Vera Sharav

Yes, I know, they don't want... In the 1930s, people didn't believe Hitler either. It's the same thing. They just thought he was a madman, people outside of

Germany. It's the same thing. I mean, a lot of things have been predicted, but people don't believe it.

The problem they have, these psychopaths, is that they can't control individuals like us. That's it, I'm sure that's something they have to solve, because we see how they can control groups, they can control whole countries and they can certainly control heads of state, with nice wads of cash. They can't predict who will be the individuals, you know, who will disagree. Then they don't know how to intimidate us into following them. That's part of what I feel, the whole eugenics model is based on a really hierarchical division and it's, of course, totally arbitrary. The ones who decide are the caste (the "m4") who don't want, don't like any ethnic or racial group, or any working class and they decide to put them at the bottom. The real individuals, when biology is free to do its natural selection and all that, then you can't predict.

They've tried, German psychiatry and American psychiatry have tried for all these decades, since the 1920s, to find a genetic cause for all sorts of social ills, which they've categorized into various psychological disorders and they've never found it. That's all they care about, genetics, except that's not it. It's because they want to, in other words, find what they want to find, they're not doing real science. By the way, it was Rockefeller who funded genetic psychiatry at the institute. They want it to be, I guess, as a validation that eugenics is a science. Well, it's not.

03:49:26 Patrick Wood

I want to thank Vera for mentioning Edwin Black. I just want to expand on that for thirty seconds. His research and the books that he has written over the years, is probably one of the most important collections of information that we have ever had, about the role of technology in the Holocaust and everything that led to what happened in Germany.

It is interesting to note that the original Hollerith computer was assembled, designed and assembled, in the basement of Columbia University in New York. The basement of Hamilton Hall was donated to temporary projects. They happened to like ibm and they gave them half of the basement of Hamilton hall. That's where they put all the brains together and created a Hollerith computer, which eventually made its way to Nazi Germany and did all the statistics, statistical analysis, all the train schedules and everything, ibm was there.

His work was overwhelming, his books are all available wherever you find books. The other half of Hamilton hall, interestingly enough, was occupied by the group of technocrats who happened to be at the university in 1932. They were rubbing shoulders with these people. I'm sure one side talked to another scientist and said, as Martin Luther King said, *"I have a dream, I have a vision for the future."* Here we are today talking about it, 90 to 100 years later, it's just absolutely amazing. Thank you, Vera for your testimony. I would just add that any of Edwin Blacks' books are worth reading for the historical perspective.

03:51:28 Vera Sharav

Yes that's right, it exposes ibm in detail.

03:51:33 Dexter Ryneveldt

Thank you very much for your testimony Mrs. Sharav. I have a follow-up question for you. I thank you for taking the time to testify before this grand jury. You mentioned in your testimony, that the Nazis used exactly the same state of anxiety. Is it possible that you can draw similarities between what you saw and experienced during the Holocaust and what is happening now with the covid-19 epidemic?

03:52:14 Vera Sharav

Well, you know, I was a little girl. I was three and a half years old at the time. Um, what I experienced was the fear that was palpable, everybody was in a state of fear all the time. In the camp, the fear was being put on a list, because the list either sent people to forced labor or they were sent to the death camp. So it was very present, all the time. I was too young to have heard, you know, the propaganda on the radio, because in those days it was basically the radio. I can tell you that the Jewish population, wherever they were, were in total terror, but I think they were also afraid of the German people, because if you didn't follow exactly what you were supposed to do, somebody would turn you in and people would disappear.

There were concentration camps in Germany and some Germans ended up there if they said something and somebody said they said it... the same things happened in the Soviet Union. So you know, when you are under a totalitarian regime, you are always in a state of fear, because they can change the rules suddenly. That's what happened with the lockdowns or the curfews, which were different: one week it was 9 o'clock and another week it was 10 o'clock, that kind of thing. It's all very calibrated and

calculated, to create anxiety and fear. It's to destabilize your ability to feel safe.

03:54:44 Dexter Ryneveldt

So from what you just mentioned, it's clear to the jury that we're talking about fear. They used the same kind of methods during the whole covid thing. You mentioned in your testimony that we are talking about the propaganda that people heard on the news, on the radio. Then you also mentioned list...to make people scared. I can see the same kind of similarity, when it comes to the evidence heard today, that if you don't have a health pass in Israel and that's the case for almost every other country that follows containment. To me, that seems to be exactly the same thing. Do you agree with that, Mr. Sharav?

03:55:49 Vera Sharav

Yes, I agree. I think it's always a matter of degree. I think what's been done now, in the 21st century, takes into account the fact that they've been perfecting psychological weapons all these years. So they don't have to be really brutal. They don't have to be into physical aggression. We see that because, imagine, they've really been able to impose their will on the whole Western world, at least on the West. That's because they have perfected the method, the psychology; look, these are psychologists who have done the torture at Guantanamo and in Iraq, psychology is really part of their business.

Someone asked before, who can we really blame, who caused this? Psychologists and psychiatrists have a huge responsibility in this kind of evil, because they manipulate emotions and thinking, to do evil, it's like a poison. They try to undermine our self-confidence, our self-image and our confidence in our own judgment.

03:57:37 Dexter Ryneveldt

Being a Holocaust survivor, what is the main thing that stands out for you when you look at the landscape of covid-19? Also stories that you have heard from people who survived because obviously you can give us a first-hand account.

03:58:00 Vera Sharav

I remember a lot of things. The first of all, immediately when we were chased out of our homes, right? That they made us wear the yellow star which is the sign of shame, then deported, parked in a concentration camp and starved and everything. When I see now in different countries that they have

prepared camps, that they call quarantine camps, but they are concentration camps. The Nazis demonized the Jews as spreaders of disease. So disease spreaders then and now spreaders of infection. They even use, really, word for word! It is unbelievable!

As I've mentioned on other occasions, one of the things I try to fight against is the attempt by, I call them militias, to throw themselves at people if they even mention the possibility that there are parallels and that we should be aware of that and not go in that direction.

Now what they are doing is cutting the Holocaust off from its connection to history. This, I believe, is a sin, far, far worse than the denial of the Holocaust. Because they know what they are doing. They protect themselves, they put all their effort into protecting their fiefdom. They put it well away in a safe. It is forbidden to touch the Holocaust when you study history. Why is that?

I realized that the reason is, if more people looked at it, they would recognize the similarities of the steps in the years before the gas chambers. Now, the fact that the gas chambers existed, as you know, an Auschwitz survivor, Primo Levi, an Italian, said that it happened and therefore it can happen again. It can happen anywhere.

This is an important lesson. Human beings have not really changed. Human beings, of any group, are capable of being leaders, saints as well as demons, we really have to understand that human nature has the capacity to be both, good and evil and we have to make choices. When we are confronted with evil, we must recognize it and do something about it rather than closing our eyes and not seeing. That is the worst thing.

04:01:21 Dexter Ryneveldt

Ms. Sharav, my last question is to you. In view of the parallels that you have just described, I would like to hear from you about how this pandemic started to spread, -which we said was a plague- when, for the first time, did you start to see the similarities and say: *"no, no, no! To me, it seems, strangely similar to what I experienced as a child and what the Jewish people experienced."*

It seems to be exactly the same trajectory, or path that they took with covid-19, not only in Germany, but globally. When did you start to see similarities?

04:02:07 Vera Sharav

I think, well... first of all, I looked at the idea of confinements and all that and I saw that actually the science was saying the exact opposite: *"Definitely don't do it!"* In fact, there's evidence, so I realized that something was really wrong and then another thing, the confinements, what they actually did: There were a couple of things that the confinements did. They actually made people prisoners, in their homes, isolating them.

Isolation is the worst thing. Of course, a lot of old people really suffered. They died. They demolished the local businesses as well, it's a transfer of wealth. So that everyone now has to use zoom, technology, amazon, just the department stores and do everything digitally. Back then, there was no digital and all that.

There was definitely house arrest and things like that where you couldn't go out and there were curfews. Once they started pushing the vaxxin and before it was even launched, before it was out of the bag, because it must have been in a warehouse before because it was so talked about, you know, as Gates called it... the final solution.

That and of course the fact that it was immediately linked to the health pass. I mean...that was it! That was it! Indeed, the parallel is clear! Right now, I think it was France that invalidated the health pass for people who did not have the third injection! Well, you know, that's what Hitler did. I think it was in 1938 or 1939, when he eliminated the passport of the remaining Jews. That's when the pathways were closed. Before 1938, he really encouraged the Jews to leave. They just had to leave their property. Many didn't leave, others did.

04:04:35 Dexter Lejay Ryneveldt

Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you.

04:04:43 Reiner Fullmich

Well, Vera, what I mean to say is that I'm really glad that someone like you is still on the job because it's really encouraging. So we're not all alone here, but we do have someone there who keeps reminding us where this is all coming from. I think if we don't consider history, history will repeat itself. That's why it's so important to see the parallels. I'm wondering. Would you agree with this: those who have chosen and choose to ignore the parallels, are they not the real Holocaust deniers?

04:05:24 Vera Sharav

Yes, that's what I say. Especially those who call out to people are the ones who make the connection. That's a constant. I mean, that's what happened to Bobby Kennedy, too. In fact, I started to really take any interview I was asked for, after an interview with Bobby, in which I brought it up and he said, *"Well, you can talk about it, but I can't."*

I thought, why not? I mean, talk, clarify. Talking is the way we communicate. I don't think there are any forbidden subjects. No, if somebody forbids me, then that's why I'm going to go and look! Because I realized, finally, that it's very important for them to do it in a venomous way. A lot of Jewish institutions are doing it, trying to keep people from seeing the connection.

04:06:31 Virginie de Araujo Recchia

Mrs. Sharav, thank you very much. You remember me from Dr. Albert Einstein's court, if I had to remain silent, I would be guilty of complicity.

04:06:46 Vera Sharav

It's only fair. It is right. Yes. That's what we have to remember, that we have to not be complicit in silence, because silence means we don't want to see what's happening. It's happening and it's only intensifying.

04:07:08 Reiner Fullmich

Thank you, again, Vera. I think it's very powerful. I think it will, at least for a lot of people, make a big difference. It's the difference between someone who has heard stories and someone who has been there, seen them. That's why it's so powerful. I think we need to keep doing this kind of work, all of us. That's what I hope will inspire a lot more people to speak up and not stay silent because that's what makes a difference. This is what Professor Desmet says: We all need to keep talking about what's happening.

We have to get the truth out, because once we stay silent, it's all over. It will never happen. Thank you again. Thank you for being with us. It was very powerful!

04:08:03 Vera Sharav

Come on, let's go around again, I think we could do this as a traveling show. Really, you want to reach more and more people? Because that's the goal. I mean, I think anyone who connects, you know what I mean, they're opening up to better information.

Obviously, it's credible people. That's important. There's a lot going on and really a lot of people moving. I think this type of format is not enough and I think you should probably do more.

04:08:43 Reiner Fullmich

Thank you, this will probably only be the first step, we have decided that there will be more to come. Again, if you're with us, it's very encouraging. I think it's going to turn a lot of people around and make them decide to get on the right side of the fence, that 40%. Thank you, again.

04:09:12 Vera Sharav

Thank you. Good luck to all of us.

04:09:16 Reiner Fullmich

Yes, good luck to us all! Have a great weekend. So, as Vera said, this is not the end, there will be more, we will take a short break of maybe two weeks or so. During this break, we will publish some of the testimonials because it is always important.

As Patrick said, if you're in a courtroom, especially if you have an emotionally charged trial, what we've also learned is that by having victims speak, they connect with each other. This has a therapeutic value, which we were both surprised to see. So that's what's going to happen over the next couple of weeks and also, we're going to summarize the six sessions that we've done, so that we can see 20-minute clips, which will be easier to digest and a lot more people will be able to see them.

Because these are all real witnesses, real experts, I think it's going to be very, very persuasive. At the end of the next two weeks, we're going to have closing arguments, and then we're going to ask people to vote on what they saw, the jury will decide whether or not the six people, the alleged defendants will be indicted. In the meantime, we will be releasing some of these clips.

We will summarize some of the testimony and that will conclude this long session. Some of the sessions have been very long, tonight's session was not as long and it will be easier to digest. I think it's going to be helpful in coming to a conclusion and making decisions. Also, what we want to see and what we hope to get out of this is that a lot more people in their areas will understand that they have to do it themselves, that no cavaliness is going to happen and that we are going to have to do it ourselves, especially, the judiciary, we have to get the justice

system to do what it's supposed to do or put a whole new justice system in place. That's what we're going to talk about as well. There are some great ideas already. Okay, so thank you very much, everybody.

Your Honor, Judge Rui da Fonseca e Castro. Thank you for staying with us for so long. Dipali, thank you for staying with us for so long. I know you are four and a half hours behind us. Thank you all. Thank you, Dipali.

04:12:04 Dipali Ojha

Thank you all.

04:12:06 Reiner Fullmich

Merci Dipali, Merci, Patrick.

04:12:07 Patrick M. Wood

I need to get a message across...

04:12:08 Reiner Fullmich

Sure, Patrick. Go for it!

04:12:10 Patrick M. Wood

To the young people who are here. There's a lot of gray hair in this group. Let's face it, shall we? I speak for myself. Vera might have more gray hair than she probably has. See, there she is! What we want, what my generation wants, is to see young people involved. I'm so encouraged by the young people that are involved here, I'll tell you frankly, this is the future!

The older people, the older generations can't lead the battles. They don't have, they won't have the energy, they won't have the stamina. There are many reasons for this because all people eventually grow old and die. The younger generation understands this and is rising up. I think of Eric Clapton's song about Britain: "*Rise and Shine*". These young people are rising up and liberating themselves! I'm so encouraged by this that I want to thank all these young people. Go out and find a friend. Involve him in some way, but this is the promotion of youth today and there is a young generation that is really, really starting to understand.

That's the most important thing for the future now, in my opinion. So thank you guys.

04:13:20 Reiner Fullmich

Thank you, Patrick. This is just the beginning. Thank you all and have a good weekend.